NNL15544449R
Simulation and Aircraft Services
Industry Questions/Comments and Government Response to the Request for Proposal

#	Reference	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
1	Section L.9(d)(b)	Please confirm that Arial 9 pt. font is allowed for tables and graphics.	Arial 11 point font is required for the entire proposal submission to include tables and graphics.
2	Section L.13	"…shall include a list of no more than three (3) of the most relevant contracts that the prime as well as no more than three (3) of the most relevant contracts that each significant subcontractor…" And "The offeror shall include a list of the firms that will submit past performance questionnaires…" Please confirm if the "list of references" and "…list of firms…" are included in the page count.	Yes, included in the page count.
3	Exhibit B	There are references to "calendar days," "working days" and "days" in reference to due dates for contract documentation. Please clarify whether "days" should be interpreted as working or calendar days.	Days are considered to be calendar days. See revised Exhibit B.
4	Section L.11(b) MGMT (2.2)	Regarding Section L.11 (b) MGMT 2.2, will the government allow the Total Compensation Plan to be excluded from the page limits indicated for Volume 1, Section L.9 (d), "Proposal Page Limitations", as these "Plans" may be 10 pages or more?	RFP instruction for Section L.9(d) has been revised to include a separate page limit of 3 for the prime and 3 for significant subcontractors, including the ability for significant subcontractors to submit directly to the NASA if needed.
5	Section L.11(b) MGMT (2.2)	Since compensation is proprietary, will the government permit significant subcontractors to submit their Total Compensation Plans as part of their sealed packages?	See 4 above.

#	Reference	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
6	Section L.11(b) MGMT (2.2)	FAR 52.222-46 and NFS1852.231-71 state that compensation plans shall include salaries/wages, fringe benefits, etc. Typically, sensitive cost information such as this is only provided in the cost/price section of the proposal and not in the technical volume. We recommend the Government move this requirement to Volume II Business Proposal in order to ensure the technical evaluators are not exposed to the cost/pricing data.	See 4 above.
7	Section L.11(c)	Because the Small Business Subcontracting Plan (SB Plan) contains actual dollar goals and percentages, including the final proposed price, will the government amend its instruction and allow the SB Plan to be included in the Volume II Business Proposal instead of Volume I in order to ensure the technical evaluators are not exposed to the cost/pricing data?	The Small Business Subcontracting Plan will remain in Volume 1, Technical Proposal.
8	Section L.10(3)	Regarding the Organizational Conflict of Interest Plan (OCI Plan), in Section L.10(3), the instructions state “All offerors shall submit its OCI approach with its proposal submission”. However, Section J.1, Exhibit F, OCI Plan, contains an update indicating the OCI Plan is due “within 30 days of Contract Award”. Will the government please clarify the difference between the “OCI Approach” in Section L.10(3) and the “OCI Plan” in Section J.1?	The reference to OCI approach has been changed to OCI Plan. The OCI Plan, Exhibit F is a proposal submission requirement. See revised RFP Section J.1 and Section L.10(3).
9	Section F.3	Is the contractor required to provide a local facility near NASA LaRC for performing any of the SAS required services? What percentage of SAS contractor personnel might be required to work off-site at the contractor's facility - local or away?	No, the contractor is not required to provide a local facility near NASA LaRC. The Government SOW does not require the contractor to perform services outside of NASA LaRC.
10	Section G.2	How often (weekly, bi-weekly, semi-monthly or monthly) the vouchers can be submitted for payment under the stated procedure? Can the contractor align the voucher submittal frequency with its payroll periods?	See RFP Section G and Section I Payment clauses. Frequency and approach is contractor specific (e.g. large versus small business).

#	Reference	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
11	Section H.18(b)	Is it OK to assume that the SMP is required to be developed and delivered during the phase-in / transition period on day 30th. after contract award? Just to clarify the submittal day, contract award occurs before the start of the phase-in period and contract Start occurs after the end of the phase-in / transition period	RFP Section H.18 has been deleted.
12	Section J.1	What information is required for DoD Form 254" with the offeror's proposal?	Offerors are required to understand the submission requirements for the DD Form 254, including but not limited to FAR 52.204-2, Security Requirements, and NFS 1852.204-75, Security Classification Requirements.
13	Section J.1	Last paragraph - attachments that will not be incorporated in the resulting contract - Will the offeror's technical proposal be also excluded from the resulting contract?	The offeror's technical proposal will not be a part of the resulting contract.
14	Section L.10(3)	This section requires an OCI approach with the proposal, while Section J.1, Exhibit F requires an OCI plan within 30 days after contract award. Is an OCI Approach the same as an OCI Plan or they are different pieces of information to be delivered at different times?	See 8 above.
15	Section L.12(c)	The cost proposal shall present a breakdown of labor hours which correspond directly to and support the offeror's technical proposal." Just to clarify - What should the "breakdown of labor hours be based on? The full scope of the SAS efforts noted in the whole SOW? Only those SOW paragraphs (Sections 2.2.2, 2.2.7.2, 2.2.9, and 2.2.10) listed in RFP Section L.11 and responded to in the technical proposal? or all the efforts implied by the RFP and the SOW combined together?	RFP Section L.11, Technical Proposal, URTA (1) relates to specific sections of the SOW; however L.12, Business Proposal, must be based on the entire SOW. L.12(c) has been clarified as follows: "*The cost proposal shall present a breakdown of labor hours to accomplish all areas of the Statement of Work (SOW) and which correspond directly to and support the offeror's technical proposal*."

#	Reference	Industry Question/Comment	Government Response
16	Section L.12(c)	In other words, Is NASA looking for Base and Option year total costs (CLINs 2-5) just based on SOW Sections 2.2.2, 2.2.7.2, 2.2.9, and 2.2.10 listed in RFP Section L.11 and responded to in the technical proposal? These costs may not reflect the total realized costs of the SAS in the Base and Option years.	See 15 above.
17	Section M.3 Factor 3	Will the Government include / consider any evolution of the past performance (complexity of past experience of personnel) of the Program Manager proposed in the Business Volume of the Proposal (Factor 1, Sub-Factor 2 – Management)?	Per RFP Section M.3, the Government will not evaluate the past performance of individuals who are proposed to be involved in the required work.
18	Attachment 1 - Cost Forms (Form 1)	CLINs 3 & 5 - Cost Reimbursement No Fee - It is understood that no Fee is allowed for these cost; only the G&A or some other similar overhead can be applied to these costs. Is this understanding correct?	No fee/profit is allowable for CLINs 3 & 5. The Government provided a fill-in (plug) number for CLINs 3 & 5 which represents the Not To Exceed cost amount (no fee/profit), which will include the contractor's allowable cost burdens in accordance with its approved accounting system.