

PIKES SOLICITATION (NNG15487642R):

Questions & Answers (3)

April 15, 2015

Q1) Can the Past Performance of a sub or significant subs be counted as Past Performance for the prime?

A1) No, the Prime is required to submit past performance data in accordance with the RFP along with past performance data for a sub or significant subcontractor, which will be considered in its entirety under the past performance evaluation. If there is no relevant past performance data available, then the Offeror should state that in the proposal and the Government would evaluate no past performance in accordance with the RFP.

Q2) **Reference:** Proposal Instructions (Competitive) (p. 49) and Offer Acceptability Evaluation Factor (p. 73). The third bullet in paragraph 3 of the proposal instructions states “Must have proof of adequate line of credit of \$1.5M from a reputable financial institution, including significant subcontractors, to have the ability to fund the requirements in advance.” The third bullet under the Evaluation Factor Description states “Evidence of \$1.5M line of credit from a reputable financial institution, including subcontractors,…”

Question: Does this requirement address the prime contractor and all subcontractors or just significant subcontractors? Is the intent of this paragraph that the prime contractor and all (significant) subcontractors must provide evidence of at least a \$1.5M line of credit?

A2) The requirement of the \$1.5M line of credit applies to the prime contractor only.

Q3) **Reference:** Attachment B shows a Contract Year (CY) 6, yet the solicitation cover letter states the contract will have a five year ordering period.

Question: Can the Government clarify the alignment of the ordering period to contract years? Are we proposing 6 years or 5?

A3) Yes, this is correct. The proposal shall show 6 years of labor rates to account for any tasks awarded in year 5 that may carry-over into a sixth year.

Q4) **Reference: SOW, BACKGROUND, 1st paragraph** “They require logistical, coordination, and management support to successfully conduct these events which may be held at various locations including local, national, and international sites.”

Question: Can you list the possible international sites?

A4) There are no known future international sites for this procurement. Site requirements will be based upon individual task requirements. However, some previous locations have included, Leesburg, VA, Queenstown, MD, Boulder, CO, Sonoma, CA, Atlanta, GA, and Washington, DC.

Q5) In Question 38 it is asked about eligibility of the incumbent to bid considering their size which is larger than the size standard for this solicitation. You answer that "All 8a certified Offerors are eligible as a prime to submit a proposal." Does this mean then that the size standard is being ignored and any 8a larger than 10 million can bid?

A5) No, the Government is not ignoring the size standard for this 8(a) set aside. The size standard, as submitted via the SF 1449, Block 10, is \$11M. Any offeror submitting a proposal will be required to certify their 8A status in accordance with the size standard established by this RFP.

Q6) In your amendments you have not supplied a new SF1449 and instead an SF30 and in A13 you say the 1449 will be amended. So are we supposed to wait for this SF1449 amendment to include in our response? Do we also have to submit the SF30?

A6) Yes, an amended SF 1449, to correct the proposal due time from 1630 (4:30pm) to 1530 (3:30pm), is forthcoming. In accordance with Provision GSFC 52.215-203 Offer Volume, (a) Standard Form (SF) 1449, Offeror Fill Ins, a signed SF 1449 and the pages with the required fill-ins must be submitted.

Yes, a submittal of the SF 30 is required to acknowledge the receipt of all amendments.