

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 6
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 000003	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 07/20/2015	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY NASA/GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER Wallops Island, VA 23337	CODE	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)		CODE

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. NNG15470444R
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 06/11/2015
	10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
	<input type="checkbox"/> 10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE	FACILITY CODE

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
 (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment your desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	E. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

Offerors are required to return a signed copy to the issuing office with their proposal submittal to acknowledge receipt of Amendment 000003.

Please see summary of changes.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Cedric M. Mitchener
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA <i>Cedric M. Mitchener</i> (Signature of Contracting Officer)
(Signature of person authorized to sign)	16C. DATE SIGNED 07/20/2015
15C. DATE SIGNED	

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

The purpose of this amendment is to: (1) remove the term "control services" in Section L.18(3)(B) and in Section M.5(1)(B); (2) clarify the instructions in Section L.18(3)(A) that the offeror's technical approach shall demonstrate an understanding of the "overall" requirements in the SOW and will be evaluated accordingly in Section M.5(1)(A); (3) clarify the submission requirement for the Risk Management Plan (RMP) by correcting the discrepancy on the cover page of Attachment N; (4) clarify the requirements under Attachment A - SOW Section 2.5.2 Discrepancy Report; (5) correct the schedule for the Tri-Mode Command System Implementation Project under Enclosure 2 of Attachment A – SOW; and (6) correct the duration requirement for remote operations under Enclosure 1 of Attachment A – SOW.

This amendment reflects changes using the following change key:

- Information that has been removed from the solicitation is identified using strikethroughs (e.g. ~~strikethrough~~); and
- New information that was not in the solicitation is identified using a gray box (e.g. new information)

1. L.18 GSFC 52.215-210 Mission Suitability Volume Instructions (Competitive) (JAN 2015), Item 3, Mission Suitability Instructions by Subfactor, Subfactor B – Management Approach, in the third paragraph, is hereby revised to remove the term "control services". As such, the third paragraph is hereby revised as follows

Original Showing Markup:

The Government will evaluate the offeror's approaches to provide sustaining engineering services that address non-maintainable or failed components and perform preventive and sustaining maintenance actions for aging systems performance issues that may exist to ensure systems availability for critical range operations adequacy and effectiveness. The Government will also evaluate the offeror's approach to providing engineering upgrades, including its plan for implementing contingency plans, maintenance of systems, providing engineering personnel training, and process improvements, ~~and control services~~ during time periods between mission operations for its adequacy and effectiveness. The offeror's proposal will be evaluated on its understanding of how engineering upgrades driven by operations customer requirements will be managed and how contingency plans will be implemented into the offeror's management philosophy.

Revised Version without Markup:

The Government will evaluate the offeror's approaches to provide sustaining engineering services that address non-maintainable or failed components and perform preventive and sustaining maintenance actions for aging systems performance issues that may exist to ensure systems availability for critical range operations adequacy and effectiveness. The Government will also evaluate the offeror's approach to providing engineering upgrades, including its plan for implementing contingency plans, maintenance of systems, providing engineering personnel training, and process improvements during time periods between mission operations for its adequacy and effectiveness. The offeror's proposal will be evaluated on its understanding of how engineering upgrades driven by operations customer requirements will be managed and how contingency plans will be implemented into the offeror's management philosophy.

As a result of the change noted above in L.18.3(B), M.5 GSFC 52.215-310 Mission Suitability Factor (May 2014), Item 1, Mission Suitability Subfactors and Description of Each Subfactor, Subfactor B – Management Approach, in the third paragraph, is hereby revised to remove the term "control services" from the evaluation factors for award. As such, the third paragraph is hereby revised as follows:

Original Showing Markup:

The Government will evaluate the offeror's approaches to provide sustaining engineering services that address non-maintainable or failed components and perform preventive and sustaining maintenance actions for aging systems performance issues that may exist to ensure systems availability for critical range operations adequacy and effectiveness. The Government will also evaluate the offeror's approach to providing engineering upgrades, including its plan for implementing contingency plans, maintenance of systems, providing engineering personnel training, ~~and~~ process improvements, ~~and control services~~ during time periods between mission operations for its adequacy and effectiveness. The offeror's proposal will be evaluated on its understanding of how engineering upgrades driven by operations customer requirements will be managed and how contingency plans will be implemented into the offeror's management philosophy.

Revised Version without Markup:

The Government will evaluate the offeror's approaches to provide sustaining engineering services that address non-maintainable or failed components and perform preventive and sustaining maintenance actions for aging systems performance issues that may exist to ensure systems availability for critical range operations adequacy and effectiveness. The Government will also evaluate the offeror's approach to providing engineering upgrades, including its plan for implementing contingency plans, maintenance of systems, providing engineering personnel training, and process improvements during time periods between mission operations for its adequacy and effectiveness. The offeror's proposal will be evaluated on its understanding of how engineering upgrades driven by operations customer requirements will be managed and how contingency plans will be implemented into the offeror's management philosophy.

2. L.18 GSFC 52.215-210 Mission Suitability Volume Instructions (Competitive) (JAN 2015), Item 3, Mission Suitability Instructions by Subfactor, Subfactor A – Technical Approach, in the first paragraph, is hereby revised to clarify the instructions and evaluation criteria that the offeror's technical approach shall demonstrate an understanding of the "overall" requirements in the SOW. As such, the first paragraph is hereby revised to clarify the instructions as follows:

Original Showing Markup:

The offeror's technical approach shall demonstrate an understanding of the ~~overall~~ requirements ~~in the SOW~~ and describe the techniques and procedures that will be used to satisfy the requirements in a timely and cost effective manner. ~~As noted in the subsequent paragraphs, the~~ ~~The offeror shall ensure that its technical approach~~ shall ~~specifically~~ address the following critical elements of the Statement of Work (SOW) in enough detail to clearly and fully demonstrate that the offeror understands the requirements and the inherent problems associated with the objectives of this procurement: SOW Section 3.1, Project Requirements Management; SOW Section 4.1, Sustaining Engineering Management; SOW Section 5, Operations and Maintenance; and SOW Section 6.5.1, Airfield Requirements (see additional specific SOW instructions below). The offeror shall define their approach and solutions for the unique Wallops Range challenges by addressing solutions that impact responsiveness to customer requirements and an ability to implement effective technical solutions for the overall safety and success of the missions at the Wallops Range. The unique challenges at the Wallops Range include: range hardware and software systems obsolescence; severely limited budgets to meet ever increasing maintenance initiatives; and operations workload and requirements complexity.

Revised Version without Markup:

The offeror's technical approach shall demonstrate an understanding of the overall requirements in the SOW and describe the techniques and procedures that will be used to satisfy the requirements in a timely and cost effective manner. As noted in the subsequent paragraphs, the

offeror shall ensure that its technical approach specifically addresses the following critical elements of the Statement of Work (SOW) in enough detail to clearly and fully demonstrate that the offeror understands the requirements and the inherent problems associated with the objectives of this procurement: SOW Section 3.1, Project Requirements Management; SOW Section 4.1, Sustaining Engineering Management; SOW Section 5, Operations and Maintenance; and SOW Section 6.5.1, Airfield Requirements (see additional specific SOW instructions below). The offeror shall define their approach and solutions for the unique Wallops Range challenges by addressing solutions that impact responsiveness to customer requirements and an ability to implement effective technical solutions for the overall safety and success of the missions at the Wallops Range. The unique challenges at the Wallops Range include: range hardware and software systems obsolescence; severely limited budgets to meet ever increasing maintenance initiatives; and operations workload and requirements complexity.

As a result of the change noted above in L.18.3(A), M.5 GSFC 52.215-310 Mission Suitability Factor (May 2014), Item 1, Mission Suitability Subfactors and Description of Each Subfactor, Subfactor A – Technical Approach, in the first paragraph, is hereby revised to clarify the evaluation criteria as follows:

Original Showing Markup:

The offeror's technical approach will be evaluated to ensure that the offeror understands the overall requirements in the SOW and describes the techniques and procedures that will be used to satisfy the requirements in a timely and cost effective manner. The technical approach will also be evaluated to ensure that the offeror clearly and fully understands the following critical elements of the Statement of Work (SOW) and the inherent problems associated with the objectives of this procurement: SOW Section 3.1, Project Requirements Management; SOW Section 4.1, Sustaining Engineering Management; SOW Section 5, Operations and Maintenance; and SOW Section 6.5.1, Airfield Requirements (see additional specific SOW instructions below). The offeror's approach and solutions for the unique Wallops Range challenges will be evaluated for efficiency and effectiveness for responding to customer requirements and an ability to implement effective technical solutions for the overall safety and success of the missions at the Wallops Range.

Revised Version without Markup:

The offeror's technical approach will be evaluated to ensure that the offeror understands the overall requirements in the SOW and describes the techniques and procedures that will be used to satisfy the requirements in a timely and cost effective manner. The technical approach will also be evaluated to ensure that the offeror clearly and fully understands the following critical elements of the Statement of Work (SOW) and the inherent problems associated with the objectives of this procurement: SOW Section 3.1, Project Requirements Management; SOW Section 4.1, Sustaining Engineering Management; SOW Section 5, Operations and Maintenance; and SOW Section 6.5.1, Airfield Requirements (see additional specific SOW instructions below). The offeror's approach and solutions for the unique Wallops Range challenges will be evaluated for efficiency and effectiveness for responding to customer requirements and an ability to implement effective technical solutions for the overall safety and success of the missions at the Wallops Range.

3. This amendment is also intended to clarify the submission requirement for the RMP by correcting the the cover page of Attachment N to instruct offerors to submit its RMP with its proposal instead of 30 days after the effective date of the contract to be consistent with Section J.1 GSFC 52.211-1-1 List of Attachments (May 2015) under Attachment N and L.15 GSFC 52.215-201 Proposal Preparation-General Instructions (Jul 2014), Paragraph "(b) Proposal Content and Page Limitation. As such, the cover page of Attachment N is hereby revised as follows:

Original Showing Markup:

~~To be submitted 30 days after contract effective date~~ **To be Proposed (TBP)**

Revised Version without Markup:

To be Proposed (TBP)

4. Additionally, this amendment is intended to clarify the requirements in Attachment A – SOW under Section 2.5.2 Discrepancy Report. As such, the first paragraph under SOW Section 2.5.2 Discrepancy Report is hereby revised as follows:

Original Showing Markup:

~~The contractor shall~~ To maintain continual ~~improvement with the~~ quality of services ~~improvement provided,~~ the ~~The~~ contractor shall ~~implement an~~ ~~utilize the~~ electronic Discrepancy Report (DR) entry and tracking system as ~~that is~~ part of the ROMS ~~within three months after contract effective date.~~

Revised Version without Markup:

The contractor shall maintain continual improvement with the quality of services provided. The contractor shall utilize the electronic Discrepancy Report (DR) entry and tracking system that is part of the ROMS.

5. Further, this amendment is intended to clarify the schedule for the Tri-Mode Command System Implementation Project under Enclosure 2, Core Sustaining Engineering Project Mode, of Attachment A – SOW by removing an inadvertent error referencing a fourth phase. As such, the schedule breakout under Item "X" for the project entitled "Tri-Mode Command System Implementation Project" under Attachment A- SOW, Enclosure 2, Core Sustaining Engineering Project Model, is hereby revised as follows:

Original Showing Markup:

The duration of this project shall be 54 months, which will include ~~four~~ **three** phases. The first phase shall commence on the contract effective date for a period of 24-months. The second phase shall commence on the effective date of the Option Period I, if authorized, of the contract for a period of 24-months. The third phase shall commence on the effective date of the Option Period II of the contract for a period of 6-months.

Revised Version without Markup:

The duration of this project shall be 54 months, which will include three phases. The first phase shall commence on the contract effective date for a period of 24-months. The second phase shall commence on the effective date of the Option Period I, if authorized, of the contract for a period of 24-months. The third phase shall commence on the effective date of the Option Period II of the contract for a period of 6-months.

6. Lastly, this amendment is intended to correct the duration requirement for remote operations under Enclosure 1 of Attachment A – SOW in order to be consistent with the requirements stated in SOW Section 5.2.3, Mobile Telemetry Requirements. As such, the following changes are hereby made:

Original Showing Markup:

~~The contractor shall~~ ~~Temporary/Mobile campaigns will have on-site support duration of 2 months and two (2) Remote Temporary/Mobile Range deployments per year. One of the two~~

~~deployments will always be Alaska.~~ support PFRR campaigns and associated mobile range operations annually and shall support these remote operations at PFRR for a period of twelve (12) continuous weeks.

Revised Version without Markup:

The contractor shall support PFRR campaigns and associated mobile range operations annually and shall support these remote operations at PFRR for a period up to twelve (12) continuous weeks.

(End of Amendment)