

RFP NNH14496602R

ENCLOSURE 2

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE INSTRUCTIONS
(Updated September, 2010)

Sections I through III provide for contract-related descriptive information and identification of the evaluator.

Section IV lists the major work elements within our Statement of Work (SOW). Please provide your assessment of the "relevant experience" and "performance" associated with our SOW evidenced within the contract for which you are a reference. Your assessment of the relevancy should reflect the fact that the principal purpose of our contract is to provide administrative support and coordinate research opportunities between NASA's Mission Directorates and Centers to provide highly qualified postdoctoral researchers for opportunity to conduct research at a specific NASA Center.

"**Significant Experience**" means that a full range of services was routinely performed by the contractor under the associated SOW element. "**Moderate Experience**" describes a contractor who has experience in several aspects of a work element even though the experience may not have been on a continuous basis or directly related to the purpose of our contract. "**Minimal Experience/Did not Perform**" means that, although at least some aspects of the work may have been performed, such performance was limited in scope or frequency, or the work element was not performed under the contract.

Section V evaluates the contractor's technical, schedule, and cost performance and management. (Additional pages may be used for comments if desired). It is very important to keep in mind that only performance in the **past 3 years** is relevant. If you cannot answer any questions, please circle "N/R" for Not Rated.

The following definitions are offered for your use in assigning a performance rating for each of the factors in Sections IV and V:

Very High (VH)	The Offeror's relevant past performance is of exceptional merit ; indicating exemplary performance in a timely, efficient, and economical manner; very minor (if any) problems with no adverse effect on overall performance.
High (H)	The Offeror's relevant past performance demonstrates very effective performance that would be fully responsive to contract requirements with contract requirements accomplished in a timely, efficient, and economical manner for the most part with only minor problems with little identifiable effect on overall performance.
Moderate (M)	The Offeror's relevant past performance t demonstrates effective performance; fully responsive to contract requirements; reportable problems, but with little identifiable effect on overall performance.
Low (L)	The Offeror's relevant past performance meets or slightly exceeds minimum acceptable standards; adequate results; reportable problems with identifiable, but not substantial, effects on overall performance.
Very Low (VL)	The Offeror's relevant past performance does not meet minimum acceptable standards in one or more areas; remedial action required in one or more areas; problems in one or more areas which, adversely affect overall performance.
N/R	Not Rated

Section VI provides for evaluation of the contractor's management of cost and award/incentive fee history.

RETURN THIS QUESTIONNAIRE
TO: **Sandra Grello**
BY: **PROPOSAL DUE DATE**

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
Attn: Sandra Grello, Code 210.H
Greenbelt, MD 20771
Phone: 301-286-5029 FAX: 301-286-0357
e-mail: Sandra.R.Grello@nasa.gov

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

I. Contract Information

- A. Name of Company/Division Being Evaluated: _____
- B. Address: _____
- C. Contractor Cage Code and/or DUNS number _____
- D. Contract Number: _____
- E. Contract Type: _____
- F. Period of Performance (including options): From: _____ To: _____
- G. Total Contract Value: _____
- H. Award Information: Competitive: Yes ___ No ___
Basis of Selection: Technical ___ Cost/Price ___
Other (specify) _____

II. Description of Contract

Briefly describe the services provided under this contract:

During the contract performance being evaluated, this firm was the:

Prime Contractor ___ Significant Subcontractor ___ Team Member ___
Other (describe) _____

Does a corporate or business relationship exist between the firm being evaluated and your organization?

Yes ___ No ___ If yes, please describe: _____

III. Evaluator Information

Name: _____
Title: _____
Agency/Company: _____
Address: _____
Phone: _____ Date Questionnaire Completed: _____
Role in Program/Contract: _____
Length of Involvement in this Program/ Contract: _____

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

IV. SOW Survey: Relevant Experience and Performance Ratings: Please check the appropriate blocks for both Relevance (Significant, Moderate, Minimal/Did Not Perform) and the Performance (VH, H, M, L, VL, N/R) for each SOW Element Listed below (see page 1 definitions and instructions).

SOW ELEMENT	SIGNIFICANT	MODERATE	MINIMAL/ DID NOT PERFORM	PERFORMANCE RATING (Please circle)					
				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
Provide Postdoctoral Fellows to research laboratories				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
- Recruitment efforts				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
- Outreach to underrepresented populations				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
- Promptness in responding the queries about program				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
- Processing non-U.S. citizens (VISA, relocation, etc.)				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
- Administrative support for Fellows (payroll, health insurance, travel, relocation, etc.)				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
Peer Review Panels Support				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
- Assemble Peer Reviewers of national and international prominence				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
- Administering the review process				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
Website for supporting a program				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
Documentation, handbooks and management and financial reporting				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
Customer interactions and communications				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
Knowledge in research areas:				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
- Earth and Space Sciences				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
- Human Exploration				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
- Space Operations Technologies				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
- Aerospace				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
- Aeronautics				VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

V. General Performance Survey

NO	PERFORMANCE QUESTIONS	PERFORMANCE RATING (Please Circle)					
		VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
1.	Overall performance in planning and controlling the program	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
2.	Quality of services and support provided	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
3.	Compliance with technical requirements and performance standards	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
4.	Content, accuracy, quality, and timeliness of technical reports and deliverables	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
5.	Ability to design and/or deliver a product that meets or exceeds performance requirements within costs and schedule	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
6.	Timely identification and mitigation of risks	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
7.	Contractor's ability to identify and correct performance deficiencies in a timely manner	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
8.	Compliance with technical process and control requirements (quality assurance, configuration management, etc.)	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
9.	Ability to recruit and retain highly skilled personnel, including ability to fill key vacancies in a timely manner.	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
10.	Ability to handle fluctuating workloads	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
11.	Adherence to safety and health procedures	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
12.	Overall Safety and Health injury/illness record	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
13.	Demonstrated understanding and compliance with mission safety requirements	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
14.	Communicating and interfacing with Government	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
15.	Ability to effectively manage subcontractor performance	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
16.	Ability to build effective working relationships with associate contractors, subcontractors and the Government in a team environment.	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
17.	Timeliness, quality, and accuracy of schedule reporting	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
18.	Adequacy of Contractor's system(s) for processing task orders and/or changes.	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
19.	Overall responsiveness to Government requests	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
20.	Ability to establish realistic cost estimates	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
21.	Ability to establish realistic schedule estimates	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
22.	If performance based, how successful was the Contractor in meeting the contract metrics?	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
23.	Adherence to estimated costs and contract cost targets	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
24.	Ability to anticipate, identify and control cost growth.	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
25.	Ability to meet Small Business Subcontracting Plan Goals	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
26.	Timeliness, quality, and accuracy of Small Business Subcontracting Plan reporting	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
27.	Ability to meet Small Disadvantaged Business Participation targets	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
28.	Timeliness, quality, and accuracy of financial reporting	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R
29.	Overall evaluation of cost performance	VH	H	M	L	VL	N/R

