

Question Number	Question	Response
1	<p>DRFP Section L.19 (b)(1) requires the submittal of a Safety and Health Plan within Volume II – Mission Suitability. Industry standard is for this document to be developed immediately after the award and Post-Award Conference, which gives the contractor the opportunity to meet the Safety, Fire, Police, and Security personnel on-base in order to add base-specific requirements and to perform an in-depth inspection of all work-related sites and activities so that the Safety and Health Plan will be comprehensive and site-specific. Also please note that in order to meet industry standards, this document will be extensive, generally fitting into its own 3 inch three-ring binder. We respectfully request that this document be required post-award.</p>	<p>After careful consideration, the Safety & Health Plan is important to the success of the Environmental program at GSFC and shall remain as an Evaluation criterion in the Mission Suitability volume.</p>
2	<p>DRFP Section L.22.3 Paragraph 16 requires a written Quality Assurance Plan within the Subfactor A. This is generally a large document, and is developed post-award so that it meets the requirements of the base and any Quality Assurance Surveillance Plans (QASP) that are applicable to the contract. This document will also seriously affect the page count for this Subfactor. It may be more appropriate to have a section on Quality Assurance within the proposal, outlining the contents and major items of the QAP, rather than developing an entire document.</p>	<p>The QASP that is required under this subfactor is for the overall requirements and the SOW and ensuring that there is proper surveillance for the overall effort. The QASP's that are required for individual tasks or in accordance to specific licenses/regulations will be deliverables that are submitted under the contract after award.</p>
3	<p>Are there any specific labs we need to use?</p>	<p>The SOW does not specify specific laboratories</p>
4	<p>Can we see copies of their current permits to determine sampling and lab costs?</p>	<p>Yes, please see the Electronic Library, all relevant permits and existing sampling and analysis plans are provided.</p>
5	<p>Can we suggest that the 1 yr base contract is too short a time period for this scope of work, especially for 8A firms who may have to get teaming partners? Can they change to 3 yrs for base with just 1- 2 yr renewal?</p>	<p>The periods are set up parallel to Government Fiscal years due to the severability of services. The performance periods/effective ordering periods are set up to maximize efficiency and performance and therefore will remain as is in the final RFP.</p>
6	<p>The documents say that the max payment</p>	<p>\$3,400,000 is the maximum IDIQ amount for the</p>

	during the effective period is \$3.4M. Is this for the 1 yr base or the 5 yrs total?	base period. At the time of any exercised options, the clause will be revised to reflect the new maximum ordering value.
7	Who is the incumbent? How many subcontractors did they need to employ? Who is the incumbent contractor?	The incumbent contractor is Straughan Environmental. Subcontractor information is proprietary to the prime incumbent.
8	1.3.4 Environmental Management Paragraph g. Regulatory Fees. "The contractor shall process payment of regulatory fees applicable to the GSFC including permit fees, emission/discharge fees and other fees established by regulators, rules, and regulations in support of the Center Environmental programs." Does the contractor pay the fees and file reimbursement or just process payment through the government. If the contractor must pay the fees and file for reimbursement how much are these fees per year?	Yes, the contractor shall pay the fees and submit the fees on their 533s for reimbursement. The sample 533s shall be updated to reflect these costs.
9	1.3.4 k. Data Management. What types of data bases/software are used? Does the contractor have to have a Facility Clearance?	See the Electronic Library, screenshots of existing databases and existing user guides/instructions are provided. In accordance with clause H.8 for onsite personnel, the contractor does not need to have a facility clearance. Also please see clause H.8 for onsite personnel requirements.
10	Is there a contractor already retained to address the parts washer and its contents (raw and spent), or is the parts washer included in this contract?	No, a contractor is not currently retained to address the part washer and its contents.
11	Does the storm water discharge into the local POTW or into an on-site treatment plant? Is any treatment needed to storm water prior to discharge?	Storm water does not discharge into the local POTW. Aside from standard storm water management structures and low impact development implementation, there is no treatment of storm water at GSFC. See the Electronic Library
12	Do all drains and inlets at the hazmat facility convey to a treatment plant, or other?	NOTE: What does the 'hazmat facility' refer to? The Government sent a request for clarification to the inquiring company; to date, we have not received a response.
13	Does contractor need to provide	NOTE: What does the 'hazmat facility' refer to?

	compatibility assessment of materials staged in hazmat facility?	The Government sent a request for clarification to the inquiring company; to date, we have not received a response.
14	1.3.6.1: What are the details of the CERCLA/RCRA construction activity? What are the constituents of concern? Who is the contractor and the environmental consultant? What is the final remedy? Who are the agencies involved (both MDE and USEPA)? At what stage of construction is the project?	A Sample Project for Restoration Project Oversight eLibrary. Constituents of concern, contractor, consultant, final remedy, and agencies involved are not relevant to this SOW area. There is no current construction project.
15	1.3.6.2: What is anticipated number and location(s) of property(ies) that may be owned by or transferred to NASA?	Anticipated numbers are provided in the work load indicators attached to the RFP as Exhibit 17.
16	What is the approximate size of the facility?	Use publicly available information and in various documents in the eLibrary
17	1.3.1.1.f: How many surface water bodies are at the facility? both streams and ponds?	Relevant information is available in the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan located in the Electronic Library
18	1.3.2.1: How many permitted outfalls are there?	The outfalls are identified in the State Discharge Permit No. 08DP3156, NPDES Permit MD0067482 that is available in the Electronic Library
19	1.3.2.1: What are the sampling requirements for NPDES?	Sampling requirements are identified in the State Discharge Permit No. 08DP3156, NPDES Permit MD0067482 that is available in the Electronic Library
20	1.3.2.2: How many non-compliance episodes have there been in the past and what were the causes?	Discharge Monitoring reports are provided in the Electronic Library demonstrating a typical year of results.
21	1.3.2.4: What are the sampling requirements and for sanitary sewer discharges? What are the frequency and nature of non-compliance episodes?	Sampling requirements are identified in the WSSC DISCHARGE AUTHORIZATION PERMIT 00449 available in the Electronic Library. Discharge Monitoring reports are provided in the Electronic Library demonstrating a typical year of results.
22	1.3.2.6: How many septic systems and groundwater seeps are on site? How many monitoring wells are on site? What are the sampling requirements for groundwater?	A listing of septic systems is available in the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan available in the Electronic Library. Goddard does not have a listing of groundwater seeps. Sampling requirements of current groundwater permits are described in the State Water Appropriation Permit No. PG1998G023 (03) Rev 03 is available in the Electronic Library. Investigative groundwater monitoring is not require by permit and is not covered under this section of the SOW.

23	When will facility's historical documents, permits, and informational documents become available for review?	We are aiming to have the eLibrary complete and published with the posting of these questions/answers.
24	What is the anticipated time line for this solicitation?	The Government shall make available a tentative schedule when the RFP is released; however, the anticipated release date of the RFO is mid-November.
25	What is the anticipated format of the responses: SF330, letter proposal, other?	Please see clause L.19 of the DRFP, which says proposals shall be submitted in volumes I-IV.
26	I've prepared some questions regarding the pricing part of the DRFP - I can summarize them in that they primarily were related to getting clarification on the linking of the worksheets. It would be helpful if NASA could provide flowchart or diagram of how they see that each of the worksheets should be linked. - I wanted to follow-up with this request/question with a little further clarification that I'm only making this request at the top level, not the cell level.	It is the offeror's responsibility to ensure that supporting worksheets (i.e subcontracting costs, travel, indirects, etc.) are properly transposed to the appropriate summary sheet(s).
27	What is the current breakdown of Task Areas 1- 7 (SOW 1.3.1 to 1.3.7) and the Level of Effort (LOE) of the present or current incumbent? Further, what has been the current dollar value(s) for the past 5 years?	The Government cannot provide this information as this is a company decision on how to staff the tasks so that the requirements are met. It is the responsibility of interested offerors to decide on labor breakdown for the requirements. The cumulative amount of orders issued to date on the current contract is: \$11.2 M
28	Does the FY 2013 Labor Breakdown/LOE in the SOW reflect FY 2014 current staffing levels other than Facilities Operations Manager (FOM) and Alternate Facility Operations Manager (AFOM)? If not, what has changed?	The Government is not requesting a FOM nor AFOM. The offeror shall decide if they would like to propose them and appropriate staffing levels and positions required to fulfill the requirements.
29	How many key personnel, other than Facilities Operations Manager (FOM) and the Alternate Facility Operations Manager (AFOM), will be needed to perform the listed tasks in the SOW? Are additional resumes for non-key staff required? If so, should these resumes be included as well?	There are no key personnel under this requirement. The offeror's proposal shall include their proposed labor mix for accomplishing the requirements of the contract/task orders. Contractor shall assign responsibilities. Resumes shall be provided for all labor categories the offeror proposes to use during contract performance.
30	Should the FOM role be more focused on	This decision shall be made by offerors submitting

	all environmental services areas as stated in Section 1.1 of the SOW, or should FOM qualifications be more broad (in terms of expertise) and client-focused (i.e., the ability to interface with the CO, COR and other Federal and State agencies, such as the EPA, MD State and Counties?	their competitive proposals to fulfill the requirements. The Government cannot dictate responsibilities and/or roles of any labor proposed by offerors.
31	What is the typical LOE on groundwater monitoring, sampling interval, and sampling analysis frequency?	Assuming that this questions is related to Representative Task Order #2 Restoration Management Actions, please refer to the "Final NASA GSFC 2013 Annual TCE Plume GW Monitoring Report with Appendices" available in the electronic library
32	L.24 – (Past Performance Volume IV): a. Prime bidding on this RFP must furnish the most recent contracts (completed and on-going) for similar efforts with a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$1,250,000. Further, subcontractors that will perform \$500,000 or more of the work must have performed similar projects for the last five years. Because this is an 8(a) contract, can we modify these requirements by lowering the project value to \$500,000 thresholds for the Prime and by increasing the timeframe to five (5) years?	After reviewing Draft RFP feedback and the estimated value of this contract, the Government has determined that the minimum size relevancy thresholds (average annual value) for the Prime and significant subcontractors will be reduced to \$400K (average annual value). The relevancy recency timeframe in the Draft RFP is 5 years. Please see provision L.24.
33	L.24 – (Past Performance Volume IV): b. We have over \$1,250,000 in revenue; but that revenue does not come from one contract totally \$1,250,000. We have IDIQ contracts, but it is contingent on the number of task orders won for the year. Is it mandatory to have at least 1 single contract in a 5 year period?	It is not mandatory to have these contracts. Please review the relevancy information in clause M.5 of the DRFP. Additionally, please note the past performance relevancy revision threshold.
34	Note: We participated as a subcontractor on several projects of similar scope and size worth over \$1,250,000; however, all our prime contracts are less than \$1,250,000 per year due to sequestration for the last 2 years. Does this preclude us from bidding as a prime?	It does not preclude you or any offeror from submitting a proposal. Please review the past performance information and relevancy information in clause M.5.
35	P. 67, the table in L.19(b)(1), should the Position Descriptions, Safety and Health Plan, and Phase-in Plan, which are not page	Although excluded from the mission suitability page limitation of 45 pages; the limitation for position descriptions is listed on page 67 of the DRFP.

	counted, be included within Subfactor A or after Subfactor B and with the Total Compensation Plan?	These shall be submitted under it's appropriate subfactor as listed on page 67.
36	<p>P. 74, last line, refers to Exhibits 7 and 8, Fringe Benefit Chart. Should those be Exhibits 14A and 14-B?</p> <p>Exhibits 7 and 8 are not labeled "Fringe Benefit Chart" exhibits within the Cost Volume, but are labeled "Core Requirements Material Items", Exhibits 14A and 14B. Please clarify.</p>	Yes, the final RFP will have this corrected.
37	P.75, L.22.3, the 3rd paragraph requests submittal of a QAP. It is not listed on the table on p.67. Where should that be included in the proposal? What is the page limit for the QAP?	<p>The QAP is its own document that shall be submitted and evaluated under Subfactor A of the Mission Suitability Volume. The final RFP will have these revisions made to the appropriate sections.</p> <p>The RFP will indicate that there is no page limitation for the QAP.</p>
38	P. 76, 4th paragraph, 3rd line from bottom," ... the offeror shalt identify." This seems to be an incomplete sentence. Please clarify.	The sentence is complete. The offeror shall identify if they are assuming any dependency on the incumbent contractor. If they do, those dependencies shall be identified.
39	<p>P. 76, 6th paragraph, refers to "the Task Ordering Procedure clause in Section H of this RFP."</p> <p>Should that reference be to L.114?</p> <p>L.22.2, Page 76, Subfactor B states that the Task Ordering Procedure clause is in Section H of the DRFP; however, the clause is actually within Section I, Contract Clauses. Please clarify.</p>	Assuming what is meant is clause I.114; yes, it will be corrected in the final RFP to reference the correct clause number.
40	P. 84, L.24(a) refers to average annual cost/fee of \$1,250,000 and \$500,000. P. 92 refers to cost/fees of \$1,230,000 and \$492,000. Should these costs be the same in the two sections?	Correct. The final RFP shall include the correction made.
41	P. 2, B.3(a), provides information concerning the amount ordered during the effective period of the contract. Does the	\$3,400,000 refers to the maximum ordering value for the base period only. The maximum ordering value of each option is not included because the

	<p>maximum of \$3,400,000 apply to the contract including option periods? This value is less than the IDIQ Maximum of \$8,600,000 in B.8 for the Options. What is the IDIQ Maximum for the base contract?</p>	<p>option has not been exercised and there is not guarantee from the Government that any Options will be exercised. Therefore, the maximum ordering value in B.3 reflects for the maximum ordering value is for the effective period. The clause will be updated upon the exercise of any options under the contract.</p> <p>If all options are exercised, the cumulative maximum ordering value of IDIQ task orders (excluding the Core contract amount) will be \$12M.</p>
42	<p>P. 4, B.8, under Core Amount, the Fixed Fee and Total CPFF are repeated. Please clarify if these are different.</p>	<p>This repetition was included in error and will be fixed in the final RFP.</p>
43	<p>P. 66, L. 19(a)(2), the text reads, "Enter correct RFP number/ ... " Should the actual RFP number be inserted there?</p>	<p>The offeror/ significant subcontractor(s) needs to insert the appropriate RFP number when they are including that statement on their cost proposal. It is the offeror/ significant subcontractor's responsibility to ensure that they are including the correct RFP number as it would be in response to a specific solicitation.</p>
44	<p>P. 67, L.19(b)(1) table, under Cost Volume, for (d) BOE, is text missing after "2 Pages per WBS"?</p>	<p>No. It reads: "2 pages per WBS Level"</p>
45	<p>P. 67, L.19(b)(1) table, for the row with the title "Past Performance Volume," what does "Mixed" refer to under Page Limitation?</p>	<p>It was an error and will be removed for the final RFP.</p>
46	<p>Attachment H refers to "...Position Descriptions in Section 5 of this attachment." But we don't see a Section 5 and we don't see position descriptions. Will the Government provide position descriptions?</p>	<p>Attachment H will be replaced in its entirety with a new Attachment H with the section numbers corrected. The Government does not provide position descriptions. The descriptions are to be completed by offerors as part of Subfactor A of their Mission Suitability proposal. The position descriptions submitted with the proposal will be made part of section 5 in the successful offeror's resulting contract.</p>
47	<p>If the Government creates an eLibrary to support this procurement, will there be an opportunity to ask further questions that may arise from our review of that eLibrary?</p>	<p>Yes. Interested offerors shall have an opportunity to submit questions after the eLibrary is released as part of questions for the RFP.</p>
48	<p>Is the incumbent contractor an 8(a) certified company?</p>	<p>Please contact incumbent for bidding information.</p>
49	<p>Is there any restriction on the incumbent bidding on the new RFP once it is issued?</p>	<p>Please contact incumbent for bidding information.</p>
50	<p>Can you provide an actual or example</p>	<p>The 2013 Biennial HW Report Final along with 3</p>

	inventory of a hazardous waste shipment from 2014?	representative hazardous waste manifests will be available in the Electronic Library
51	Are waste disposal supplies included in the \$140,000 waste ODC estimate or are they government-furnished supplies?	There are neither waste disposal supplies included in the \$140,000 waste ODC estimate nor are there government-furnished supplies.
52	What regulatory inspections were conducted, if any, in 2014 and were there any significant violations that are still unresolved?	Programs inspected by regulators during 2014 include Air, Industrial Discharge to Sanitary, Erosion and Sediment Control for construction, Oil Operations Permit. There are no significant violations that are unresolved.
53	In Section L.24 (a), Past Performance Volume, second to the last bullet at the end of the list of requirements states the following: "Statement of contract past safety performance and a record of your company's OSHA recordable injuries and illnesses for the past 3 years." Please clarify if this requires inclusion of OSHA's Form 300 and Workers Compensation Experience Rating documents and therefore be outside of the page count?	Offerors shall include a statement describing the company's OSHA recordable injuries and illnesses for the last three years and Workers Compensation Experience Rating document. Offerors are not required to submit OSHA's Form 300.
54	Would an acronym list in the front of the books be outside of the page count?	Yes, the acronym list shall only be included in the front of the proposal. It will be excluded from the page count; however, it should only include acronyms and no mission suitability information.