

**Questions to the Government
Solicitation NNC14ZCH015J**

#	Reference	Question	Response
1	General	We find no Data Requirements Descriptions (DRDs). Does the Government intend to provide DRDs?	The Government does not intend to provide a formal DRD/CDRL with this RFP. Known contract deliverables are defined in the SOW Section 4. Additional reporting may be required as described in Sections 2 and 3 of the SOW.
2	Section L L.18.a; pg 96 Section L.26, Cost Volume, Part 1, Section 3 (a)(h); pg 114, 115 L.18.a states: The proposal shall include a total compensation plan. This plan shall address all proposed labor categories, including those personnel subject to union agreements, the Service Contract Act, and those exempt from both of the above. L.26(a) states: Wage/salary increases shall be in compliance with any applicable union agreements, Collective Bargaining Agreement, wage determination, etc. L.26(h) states: The Offeror and subcontractors shall propose reasonable labor rate increases and shall utilize, for labor rates not defined by a Collective Bargaining Agreement, FPRA, or FPRP, the following uniform rates of change for Contract Years 7 through 11 escalation rates as provided below.	Is there a Collective Bargaining Agreement associated with this procurement that will be provided by the Government?	There are no collective bargaining agreements associated with the current contract at this time. If the Offeror anticipates collective bargaining agreements in connection with the new contract, then the Offeror's cost proposal shall reflect those agreements.
3	Section B	Is CLIN 1 separately funded? What is the Funding Level (i.e., Contract, WBS, CLIN, PWS, Task Order)?	CLIN 1 will be funded at the Contract CLIN level
4	Attachment A (SOW) Table of Contents	Please provide an explanation as to why there is no Section 2.5 in the TOC, but there is a Section 2.5 in the body of the SOW.	This was an error in the SOW Table of Contents. It will be corrected in the Final RFP.
5	SOW, Section 2.3.2.1 Scope; pg 18	States "See Section Error! Reference source not found., Error! Reference source not found., for further definition of these maintenance and repair services." Please provide reference.	Correct reference is Section 6.0 of the SOW. The SOW link will be corrected in the Final RFP.
6	SOW 3.1 (p. 29)	States, "GRC will provide a top-level WBS describing the work to be done under this SOW." Is the WBS provided a sample only? If so, when will the actual WBS be provided? If not, please explain the performance metrics contained therein and how they integrate with the CPFF contractual mechanics.	WBSs provided as samples only. Actual WBSs will be provided during contract transition. Metrics are not award fee metrics but will be used to assist in the management of the contract and to develop annual contractor performance evaluations.
7	H.11 (p. 28)	Will the Government provide the fill-in days required for the TO Procedure in Clause H.11, subparagraphs (c) and (e)?	Clause H.11 has been updated to reflect 10 calendar days.

8	I.13 (p. 33)	The DRFP incorporates by reference Clause I.13 52.204-4 Printed or Copied Double-Sided on Postconsumer Fiber Content Paper (MAY 2011), but Section L gives no instruction. Is double-sided printing on recycled paper required?	Clause I.13 applies to the execution of contract activities after contract award. It does not apply to proposal preparation.
9	Section J.1 Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab "SCT"	Footnotes 2 and 3 requiring the identification of "all subcontractors greater, equal to, or less than \$20M" seems to be in conflict with earlier Cost Volume Definition of Major Subcontractor (i.e., \$40M). Please clarify.	The correct threshold is \$40M. Footnotes 2 and 3 will be corrected in the Final RFP.
10	L.11 (p. 93) and L.26.1.e (p. 110)	Section L.11 Requires submission of written request for exception from Requirements for Certified Cost or Pricing Data that may or may not be granted by the CO, while Section L.26.1.e negates the need for submission of certified cost and pricing data with this proposal submission. The two referenced sections appear to be in conflict. Similar conflicts exist throughout the document. Please resolve.	Clause L.11 Has been updated. Submission of certified cost or pricing data is not required. Provide data as requested in Cost Volume Instructions.
11	I.61 (p. 43), L.16 (p. 95), and L.24 (p. 105)	Clause I.61 and Section L.24 indicate that the Safety and Health Plan will be required "30 days after contract award"; however, L.16 states that "The offeror shall submit a detailed safety and occupational health plan as part of its proposal..." Please clarify whether the Government requires a safety and health plan 30 days after contract award or with the proposal.	L.16 will be modified in the final RFP to be consistent with I.61 and L.24.
12	L.24.1.c (p. 103), L.17 (p. 96)	L.24.1.c references "a simplified position description for each skill category," then says to "See Provision L.17..." L.17 is 1852.228-80 Insurance - Immunity From Tort Liability. (SEP 2000). Is this the correct reference?	Correct reference is L.26.3, Section3, Items m,n and o. Section L.24 will be corrected in the Final RFP.
13	L.22 (p. 98)	States, "All hard copies shall be contained in a spiral binder." Will the Government allow three-ring binders?	Yes. L.22 will be updated in the Final RFP.
14	L.22(2) (p. 98)	States, "Include a cover letter with the proposal, attaching the completed certifications and acknowledgments specified in Section K of the solicitation." Are the cover and Section K to be bound separately? Or combined with a volume? If the latter, which volume?	It can be bound separately or combined with another volume.
15	L.22(5) (p. 99)	States, "Where necessary, a cross-reference sheet to other volumes shall be included." Does the Government expect a master cross-reference sheet in Volume I? Or cross-reference sheets in each volume that references a different volume?	Where necessary, a cross-reference sheet in each volume.
16	L.22(5) (p. 99)	References "The table of contents," but then states, "Each volume shall contain a more detailed table of contents to delineate the subparagraphs within that volume. Tab indexing shall be used to identify sections." Does the Government expect a high-level Master Table of Contents in Volume I, then a "more detailed table of contents" in each volume? Or is each table of contents specific only to that volume?	Each table of contents should be specific only to that volume.

17	L.22(5) (p. 99)	Please confirm that cross-reference lists are excluded from the page count.	Confirmed. A page count clarification table has been added to L.23 Proposal Preparation - General Instructions
18	L.22(5)(ii) (p. 99)	Are tabs excluded from page count?	Yes. A page count clarification table has been added to L.23 Proposal Preparation - General Instructions
19	L.25(c) (p. 108)	Requires "a written consent of proposed subcontractors." Although L.25(c) states that "a generic release letter" is part of page count, it does not state whether or not the consent letter is counted. Please clarify. Note: We recommend that the consent letter not be included against the page count.	Generic release letter and written consent of proposed subcontractors have been excluded from the Vol II page count. A page count clarification table has been added to L.23 Proposal Preparation - General Instructions
20	L.25(d) (p. 109)	States, "The offeror shall request past performance questionnaire information on no more than three (3) previous or current contracts and no more than three (3) for each proposed major subcontractor." If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), is each member of the JV expected or allowed to provide up to three contracts?	Each member of a Joint Venture is allowed up to three (3) past performance questionnaires.
21	L.24.1.b (p. 103)	Requires Offerors to "provide designated key positions and the rationale for designating them as such, key position descriptions, and the individuals selected to fill these positions as well as the rationale for their selection." Resumes are required, but both resumes and Letters of Commitment are excluded from page count. In order not to limit the number of key positions an Offeror can legitimately propose, would the Government also consider exempting key position descriptions from page count?	Key Position descriptions are included in the page count.
22	L.25.G (p. 109)	States, "Past Performance Volume II shall be delivered one week prior to the Final RFP submission date." We assume that the deadline for Past Performance Volume II is one (1) week prior to the deadline for the other volumes and not one (1) week prior to the Government's release of the Final RFP. Please change "Final RFP submission date" to "Final proposal submission date."	Past Performance Volume II shall be delivered one week prior to the final proposal submission date.
23	L.26 (p. 109, etc.)	Will guidance be provided as to the amount of Overtime to be estimated?	See Attachment R for historical information regarding overtime. (Attachment M in FRFP)
24	L.26.1.j (p. 111)	States: "Major subcontractors are defined as those subcontractors having the lesser of, a total subcontract value equal to or greater than \$40 million (at any tier) for the inclusive effort." Is this sentence incomplete?	The sentence in the DRFP is incorrect. It should read: "Major subcontractors are defined as those subcontractors having a total subcontract value equal to or greater than \$40 million (at any tier) for the inclusive effort." This will be corrected in the Final RFP.
25	L.26.3 (p. 113)	Is titled Cost Volume, Part 1 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM), but the content is specific to Cost Volume – Part 1 – General Cost Information (Table L.1). Please correct the naming.	Correct title is: Cost Volume, Part 1 - General Cost Information. Title will be corrected in the Final RFP.
26	L.26.3 Section 3, paragraph h; pg 115	Table provided on page 115 shows CY7 Through CY11 and line directly below says Projected Wage Escalation for Contract Years 6 through 11. Which is correct, Year 6 or CY7? Will a labor escalation rate be provided for CY2 through CY6?	Projected wage escalation rates are provided for CY7 through CY11. Labor rates for CY2 through CY6 are the responsibility of the Offeror. The table will be corrected in the Final RFP.

27	L.26.3.Section 3.i (p. 115), L.26.3.Section 3.j (p. 115)	L.26.3.Section 3.j states, "The Government anticipates that some costs may be difficult to estimate due to lack of historical data or know future requirements. For proposal preparation and evaluation purposes only, Offerors shall include the Government estimate for NLR from Attachment S on their ODC EPM Template." However, L.26.3.Section 3.i states "The Offeror shall provide adequate documentation in support of all proposed direct non labor costs items." Since the NLR amounts are provided in Attachment S, should the requirement in L.26.3.Section 3.i be excluded from the RFP? If not, please provide clarification in regards to the documentation support to be provided.	Offerors shall refer to L.26.3. Section 3.I Offerors may include their own unique NLR costs in accordance with their own unique methodology and understanding of the SOW.
28	L.26., Section 3.r (p.116) States, "The goal of the Fixed Fee structure is to assure sufficient fee is available to operate as an effective incentive to ensure excellent performance at a reasonable cost. Offerors are to select and use a Fixed Fee within the range of 4.00% to 7.00%."	Would the Government consider increasing the stated Fixed Fee range to 6.00% to 8.00%?	L.26., Section 3.r (p.116) states "Proposals having a fee percentage outside of this range must include a detailed justification for the variation in the cost volume Part 1, Section 3." Offerors may propose any fixed fee arrangement they wish to.
29	L.26.3.Part 2.b (p. 120)	States "Workbook names included in an Offeror's EPM shall begin with the company name's first three letters followed by a hyphen and the workbooks file name." An example is then provided for ABC company but the file name in the example is "Primary Pump". The file name in the example provided does not match information provided in Table L-3. Please clarify whether the "workbooks file name" is the information contained under the header Workbook or under the header Excel File Name of Table L-3.	Table L-3 shows files names as provided by the Government. The Offeror shall rename files according to the convention described in L.26.3.Part2.b before submitting them back to the Government as part of a proposal. The example will be clarified in the Final RFP.
30	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab CST	Please confirm whether "Fringe Costs" in cell B21 and "Overhead" in cell B26 are headings. If not, where in the EPM should this information tie? If so, will the templates provided in the FRFP remove the formulas in cells AB21 and AB26?	B21 and B26 are headings. Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f
31	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab LPT	DRFP template has inconsistent formatting. For example: Cell CO13 is Arial 8 whereas CO14 is Arial 10. Cells in columns CW and CX do not contain a complete border outline. Columns L, M, N, AL and AM do not contain a currency format to two decimal places. Row 80, columns I through N and AL through EE contain a different font color. DY and DZ 82 do not contain a sum formula. F86 through F92 format are not in accordance with L.26.3.h. Will the Government correct the various inconsistencies on this Tab in the FRFP?	Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f

32	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab ILCT	<p>What is the expectation regarding the calculation of the total rate shown in column P? Rows 16, 21 and 26 of column P contain formulas computing columns D through L. Is it the Government's intent for column P totals to compute columns D through O? Not all grey shaded cells contain formulas. Is it the Government's intent to populate the grey shaded cells in the FRFP? Should Program/Mgt sum the data provided for 1.0 through 7.0?</p>	<p>Calculation of total rates in column P is not expected. Formulas in rows 16, 21 and 26 should include columns D thru O. See note 1 in regards to grey shading. Program/Mgt is not intended to sum the data provided for 1.0 through 7.0. Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f</p>
33	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab ICT	<p>How does the Government expect the total rate to be computed for column P? Row 16 contains a formula computing columns D through L. Is it the Government's intent for column P totals to compute columns D through O? Not all grey shaded cells contain formulas. Is it the Government's intent to correct this in the FRFP? Should Program/Mgt sum the data provided for 1.0 through 7.0?</p>	<p>Calculation of total rates in column P is not expected. Formula in row 16 should include columns D thru O. See note 1 in regards to grey shading. Program/Mgt is not intended to sum the data provided for 1.0 through 7.0. Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f</p>
34	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab STRT	<p>Not all grey shaded cells contain formulas. Is it the Government's intent to correct this in the FRFP? WYE numbers are sometimes shown using whole numbers, one decimal place, or two decimal places. Is there a specific format that should be utilized consistently for WYEs?</p>	<p>See note 1 in regards to grey shading. WYEs shall be shown to two decimal places. Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f</p>
35	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab SCT	<p>The formula is incorrect in cell N56 and missing in cells O32, O56 and O58. Is it the Government's intent to correct these cells in the FRFP?</p>	<p>Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f</p>
36	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab TCT	<p>CY1, row 12 contains formulas to sum rows 13 through 15. Is it the Government's intent for the offeror to have a sum row with formulas for each CY?</p>	<p>It is the Government's intent for the offeror to have a sum row with formulas for each CY where appropriate. See note 1. Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f</p>
37	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab MCT	<p>Row 11 is a Total line and row 13 a WBS sub Total line. Please confirm whether row 11 should be a sum of all WBS sub totals to be listed. Also, Column AH sums columns J through AB. Is it the Government's intent for column AH to sum column J through column AF?</p>	<p>Row 11 is intended to be a sum of all WBS sub totals to be listed. Column AH is intended to sum columns J through AF. Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f</p>
38	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab ODCT	<p>Row 11 is a Total line and row 13 a Sub Total line. What is the difference between these two totals?</p>	<p>Row 11 is intended to be a sum of all WBS sub totals to be listed. Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f</p>
39	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab OHT	<p>Formatting for the fiscal year entry on row 14 is numeric with a comma when it should be text. Is it the Government's intent to correct this in the FRFP?</p>	<p>Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f</p>

40	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab OHT	Cell formatting in column C "Offeror Fiscal Year Prior Yr 3" is inconsistent, including left justification and data entry repeat (i.e. 10 becomes 10101010). Will the Government fix the formatting?	Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f
41	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab OHT	L.26.2.h states, "All rates (indirect percentages) shall be to the second decimal place, xx.xx%." Offeror FY Rate on row 38 and Proposed Contract Year Rate on row 41 do not follow the specified format. Is it the Government's intent to correct this formatting for the FRFP?	Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f
42	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab GAT	Formatting for the fiscal year entry on row 14 is numeric with a comma when it should be text. Is it the Government's intent to correct this in the FRFP?	Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f
43	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab GAT	Cell formatting in column C "Offeror Fiscal Year Prior Yr 3" is inconsistent, including left justification and data entry repeat (i.e. 10 becomes 10101010). Will the Government fix the formatting?	Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f
44	Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab GAT	L.26.2.h states, "All rates (indirect percentages) shall be to the second decimal place, xx.xx%." Offeror FY Rate on row 38 and Proposed Contract Year Rate on row 41 do not follow the specified format. Is it the Government's intent to correct this formatting for the FRFP?	Templates will be updated in the Final RFP to correct known errors, however, Offerors are responsible for formulas and formatting IAW L.26.3 Cost Volume, Part 2 - Excel Pricing Model (EPM) f
45	Section J.1 Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab LPT	CY 1 thru CY-11 are repeated four times for the offeror to provide rate, WYE hours, cost and labor costs. Please clarify if columns "CO" thru "DN" costs should reflect all costs (labor and non-labor) or only non-labor costs given columns "DP" thru "EE" are to provide labor costs.	Columns "CO" thru "DN" are intended to show labor costs split out by standard and overtime. Columns "DP" thru "EE" are intended to show total labor cost.
46	Section J.1, Attachment E: CMS WBS	What is the Purpose of Attachment E?	See SOW Section 3.1. Attachment E contains sample work breakdown structure (WBS) elements from the GRC Contract Management System (CMS). These are provided to give prospective offerors insight into how work requirements will be communicated to the contractor every 6 months.
47	L.26.3., Cost Vol.-Part 2 - EPM d. (p. 120)	Would the Government consider allowing the Offeror's to integrate Attachments K, L, M, N, O, P and T into one file, remove the redundant Tabs, but maintain the required details?	These attachments will be integrated into a single Excel workbook in the final RFP.
48	L.26.3., Cost Vol.-Part 2 - EPM h.1 (p. 121)	States, "Price Summary Template (PST): is designed to summarize the total price (profit included) for the contract effort." Is the PST Template the same as Attachment K?	Yes, however the templates are being modified for the final RFP.
49	L.26.3., Cost Vol.-Part 2 - EPM h.2 (p. 121) States, "Cost Summary Templates 1 through 7 (CST 1 through 7): are designed to summarize the total price (profit included) for each CLIN base effort. Their costs are summarized in the PST (PST 8)."	In which workbook do CST1 through 7 and PST 8 Template reside?	Refer to Table L-3 "#" column, however the templates are being modified for the final RFP.

50	Section J.1 Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab ILCT	Items "1.0 Logistics, 2.0 Stock, 3.0 Media, 4.0 Records, 5.0 Library, 6.0 Metrology, 7.0 Clerical" seems not to be related to a TFOME-II WBS, PWS, or CLIN.	This will be corrected in the final RFP.
51	L.26.3., Cost Vol.-Part 2 - EPM h.13 and h.14	13. OHT Template: and 14. GAT Template. Are the Overhead and G&A the only Indirect Pools allowed?	No. Offeror unique indirect cost rates are to be added in accordance with their own estimating systems.
52	Section J.1 Attachments K, L, M, N, O and P, Tab "Input Menus"	What is the Purpose of the "Input Menus" Tab?	The Tab supports the drop down menus for the CTS titles
53	L.26.3., Cost Vol.-Part 4-BOE	States, "The BOE shall be at the same CLIN/DO level as the cost proposal." Is it the Government's intention for Offerors to provide a separate BOE for each of the following CLINS: 1 Mgmt./Admin, 2-Fabrication, 3-Technicians, 4-Engineering, 5-Plum Brook Station?	The format of the BOE is to be in accordance with their own unique estimating methodology and shall provide the estimating rationale for each of the CLIN items proposed.
54	Section I.142. 1852.234-2 Earned Value Management System; pg 68 An Earned Value Management System (EVMS) that has been determined by the Cognizant Federal Agency to be compliant with the EVMS guidelines specified in the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) /Electronic Industries Alliance (EIA) – 748 Standard, Industry Guidelines for Earned Value Management Systems (current version at the time of award) to manage this contract; and (2) Earned Value Management procedures that provide for generation of timely, accurate, reliable, and traceable information for the Contract Performance Report (CPR) required by the contract.	Is it the Government's intent to have the contractor implement an Earned Value Management System on the TFOME II contract? If so, please identify areas requiring EVMS implementation.	There is not a contract EVM requirement. EVM may be required by specific test customers and the contractor would be expected to support EVM reporting in those specific cases. The need for EVM (when applicable) will be conveyed through the CMS.
55	L.22 PROPOSAL PREPARATION – GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS 5(ii) L.22 PROPOSAL PREPARATION – GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS 5(vi) pg 99,100 5(ii) states: (ii) A page is defined as one side of a sheet, 8 1/2" x 11", with at least one inch margins on all sides, using not smaller than 12 point type. 5(vi) states: (vi) Smaller than Arial 12-point font type may be used in figures or charts within the written proposals, so long as the figure or chart does not consist primarily of text.	Will the Government: 1) Please clarify the specific font required on pages of proposal? And 2) Consider allowing a 10-pt font type for tables which do consist primarily of text, specifically considering that some RFP response items (such as L.25.B. List of Contracts), require use of a significantly large table, which may necessitate multiple pages to completely respond to the requirement.	1) No specific font type is required. Text must be legible. 2) L.23(5)vi has been updated to read 'Smaller than 10-point font type may be used in figures or charts within the written proposals, so long as the figure or chart does not consist primarily of text.'
56	SOW, Section 2.3.1.2 Requirements b) Test Preparation; pg 17 The contractor shall perform all activities as described in Section 2.3.1.1(b) independently or as part of a combined team as directed by GRC.	Will GRC government personnel be available for surge support?	TFOME-II is a support services contract. The contractor shall perform surge activities as directed by GRC. The Government will determine the necessity of surge efforts by civil servants.

57	SOW, Section 3.2 Work Control; pg 30 GRC work policies and procedures are defined in the GRC Business Management System (BMS).	Will the Government provide access to or otherwise make available information such as Standard Operating Procedures, work flow documents, work/test request procedures and forms (including routing and approval requirements), reporting forms, etc., that deal with operational activities	A new Attachment S containing representative work policies and procedures that apply directly to this SOW will be included in the final RFP.
58	SOW, Section 6.0 Abbreviations, Definition and Acronyms; pg 42	Please define the acronym RCM.	Reliability Centered Maintenance. Definition will be included in the final RFP.
59	SOW, Section 3.1 (1) Work Identification and Planning The work plan is submitted using a GRC furnished electronic contract management system (CMS).	Please describe CMS. We would like to schedule a demonstration of CMS prior to the FRFP.	GRC will provide additional details about the CMS in the final RFP (Attachment R).
60	L.26 Preparation of Volume III – Cost Proposal – The instructions provide clear direction as to what items the Prime and Major Subcontractors must submit, but the instructions do not indicate which items are applicable to Minor Subcontractors.	Please clarify what items must be submitted by Minor Subcontractors in sealed package submissions of proprietary data.	Nothing is required from minor subcontractors in sealed package submissions of proprietary data.
61	L.26 Cost Volume Part 3 – Offeror’s Pricing Model (OPM) – The instructions require submission of an OPM for Prime and Major Subcontractors.	Is submission of the OPM necessary if the EPM and OPM calculate to exactly the same price? Would the Government provide clarification of this requirement, as it appears to be unnecessary duplication of the pricing sheets?	The OPM is a supporting document to the EPM and Section B prices. Failure to provide the OPM may compromise the Government’s ability to adequately evaluate an Offeror’s proposal.
62	L.26 Cost Volume Part 2 – Excel Pricing Model (EPM) – The acronym “PST” is used in two places in the cost instructions with different titles. On RFP page 120, in the table of Template Acronyms, PST is shown as Project Summary Template. On RFP page 121, under h.1, PST is shown as Price Summary Template.	Please clarify the correct usage of PST.	PST’s definition is Price Summary Template. This will be corrected in the Final RFP
63	L.26 Cost Volume Part 2 – Excel Pricing Model (EPM) – None of the provided pricing templates actually includes a tab in the workbook called PST.	Please provide the missing template.	The PST is Attachment K. Templates are being updated for the final RFP.
64	L.26 Cost Volume Part 1, Section 3, n, and Part 4 Contractor Basis of Estimate (BOE) – The instructions in Part 1, Section 3, under item “n.” state “Offerors shall use the Attachment Q WYEs by Skill Levels by CLIN to estimate their direct labor.”	Since Offerors shall use the labor WYEs by skill level by CLIN as provided in Attachment Q, how are BOEs relevant since the Government is directing the resources to apply to each CLIN (other than those from the Offeror for Management Administration which is not dictated by Attachment Q)?	Offeror unique costs based on their understanding of the SOW and estimating outside of the Government provided Labor and Non Labor quantities are to be explained in the BOE.

65	Section B.1(a) – Estimated Cost and Fee – The Cost Summary table includes a separate line for the IDIQ Max value of \$25,000,000. The tables for each contract period do not include a separate line for IDIQ Max value.	Should Offeror's spread the \$25,000,000 in IDIQ Max value across the CLINS as provided in the table on RFP page 117 in order that the total of all CLINS in the individual Contract Year tables will then match the total in the Cost Summary table at the beginning of Section B? If so, the Max IDIQ table does not break out separately cost and fee, which the Section B tables for each CLIN in each contract year do. Should Offeror's break up the Max IDIQ value between Cost and Fee based on the Offeror's fee percentage proposed?	The IDIQ Max value is an inclusive price, the components of which shall be determined upon award of each Task Order issued after contract award.
66	Section B	Should the basis for completing the Section B tables be the EPM or the OPM?	See RFP Section L 26 Preparation of Volume III - Cost Volume I. The amounts shall be the same.
67	Section G.3 (2) (ix) the Government states that late, inaccurate, or incomplete reports may result in the following penalties: (C) Reduction in award fee.	Since this is a fixed fee contract, this statement should be removed from the RFP. Correct?	Clause is correct as written. (ix) states that Late, Inaccurate, or Incomplete Reports <u>may</u> result in the following penalties. Since this contract has no award fee, it cannot be reduced.
68	General	RFP did not include the specifics regarding "Work Management System" as per sections L & M in Guess 3, pages 63 & 78, and PACE IV Sections L & M, pages 74 & 95.	TFOME-II uses the Government-provided Contract Management System (CMS) to assign work at the WBS level (See SOW Section 3.1 and Attachment E). More detailed work assignment and tracking takes place in the Government-provided work management and CMMS system as described in SOW Sections 2.3.2 and 3.2. There is no requirement for a contractor-provided system to serve these functions (as in the PACE IV solicitation). Internal contractor work control processes and systems are at the discretion of the Offeror within the constraints described in SOW Sections 2.3.2, 3.1 and 3.2.
69	General	...we would like to ask for a tour of the Manufacturing Facility/Capabilities ...	Tour provided for all interested parties on July 28th, 2014.
70	Section L.2, pg 112	Numbering goes from item e to item g. Was item f omitted or is this just a numbering error?	This was a numbering error that will be corrected in the final RFP.
71	Section L.24.c.iv	Sentence appears to be incomplete	Sentence is complete as-is, however, it will be re-written in the final RFP.
72	PWS 2.3.2.2 (p. 20)	States, "The Contractor is prohibited from using an electronic interface between any other CMMS (including an external Maximo system) and the GRC CMMS." The incumbent contractor uses Maximo for timekeeping and timesheet activity. Is there a discrepancy between these instructions and in-place procedures under the current contract?	No. It is not the Government's intent to preclude the use of Maximo for timekeeping and timesheet activity. The Final RFP SOW will be updated as follows: The Contractor shall utilize GRC's CMMS for all maintenance and repair management functions associated with this contract. This shall include asset inventory management, asset history management and work control (scheduling, tracking, field observation logging, etc.). GRC will provide controlled access to the CMMS, and reserves the right to upgrade CMMS software at any time during the life of this contract. The Contractor is prohibited from using an electronic interface between the GRC CMMS and any other CMMS (including an external Maximo system) that duplicates the functionality of the GRC CMMS to provide for the functions described in this Section.

73	Draft SOW (p. 25)	It is our understanding that Draft SOW page 25, "Communications systems, including phones, data networks, video, fiber optic distribution and telephone manholes" are not active tasks under the current TFOME contract. Is it the Government's intent to have this work accomplished under TFOME II?	As with the current TFOME contract, TFOME-II responsibility for communication infrastructure is limited by GRC policy (see 2.6.2.1). The contractor is expected to provide support in areas not covered by other GRC IT and communications contracts (such as tenant and test customer support). The TFOME contractor also occasionally coordinates, troubleshoots and/or performs work related to the listed systems where it is determined to be in the best interest of the Government.
74	General	Are the current manufacturing processes used by TFOME/GRC available for bidders to review?	Manufacturing policy and process documentation will be provided with the final RFP.
75	General	Is it allowable to use the bidder's AS9100 certification and processes to answer the Mfg. sample task? Processes such as Manufacturing Work Order (MWO) process, Nonconforming product process (NCR), etc.	No response. The Government will not provide advice to potential offerors on what to propose or what will elicit a favorable rating. NASA will not indulge in hypothetical questions (e.g. "if we propose this, how would NASA rate it?")
76	General	Is there a bidders library available for TFOME II?	See question 57 above.
77	General	There are no "shall" statements in the Mfg. sample task. Was that intentional, and if not please clarify the requirements.	The "shall" statement at L.25.2.c applies to all sample tasks.