

RFP CONTRACT REFERENCE	QUESTIONS/COMMENTS	ANSWERS
PWS 5.8, Page 161/202 and Att J-9, GFP Lists	<p>Original Question: The SACOM contractor will furnish all equipment except GF property indicated in Attachment J-9 which lists one asset identified as "Truck, Fire Fighting." Is this the only vehicle asset that will be offered up as GFE for support of section 5.8 of the PWS? We recommend, due to the costly nature of fire apparatus, that a more complete discussion be included as to the types of fire apparatus to be provided.</p> <p>Answer of 5/16: In accordance with PWS 5.8, the Government will provide the required and approved Equipment with the exception of the fire trucks identified as "Fire/ Rescue Vehicle" and "2009 E-one HP 78 78' AERIAL LADDE" on the Government depreciation list located in Attachment J-10, Historical and Reference Data folder. In accordance with RFP Section G.8, the Government will approve the SACOM Contractor to depreciate the fire trucks identified as "Fire/ Rescue Vehicle" and "2009 E-one HP 78 78' AERIAL LADDE" on the Government depreciation list.</p> <p>Follow-up Comment/Question: Our interpretation is that all vehicles with the exception two assets, the "Fire/ Rescue Vehicle" and "2009 E-one HP 78 78' AERIAL LADDE" will be provided as GFE. Furthermore, the depreciation value remaining after FOSC (from attachment J-10) is in fact the price for which these assets will be procured by the offer from the FOSC at award, correct?</p> <p>Finally, based on the fact that two assets (fire vehicles above) will not be made available as GFE to the new contractor, offers should include these assets at their depreciated value in the final pricing, correct?</p>	Correct. Each Offeror shall include the depreciated value as identified in Attachment J-10, Historical & Reference Data folder, for the fire vehicles titled "Fire/Rescue Vehicle" and "2009 E-ONE HP78 78' Aerial Ladder" and depreciate in accordance with Clause G.8, Capitalization of Property.
Attachment J-1, PWS 5.7.1 EMCS Operations, p. 173	PWS Section 5.7.1 requires the SACOM contractor to acknowledge EMCS alarms within 10 minutes and start remedial action within 15 minutes. Can the Government please provide: 1. Types of response actions required and whether they are localized at the EMCS or addressed through project resources 2. Data on the average time required to complete remedial action for various categories of alarms during normal working hours and after hours.	The criticality of various pieces of equipment is provided in Attachment J-1, Appendix A, file 159785-DRAFT-003-010.xlsx. The definitions for criticality levels area provided in Attachment J-1, Appendix C. For typical responses actions and/or repair time reference Table 6.2.1 of the PWS for additional details.
Attachment J-1, PWS 5.7.2 Energy Management and Water Conservation, p. 176	PWS 5.7.2 requires the contractor to meet various legislative and administrative energy and water management goals and conduct energy and water conservation audits that assess 25% square footage/year for SSC and MAF. Have the facilities developed investment proposals from previous energy audits or developed and implemented energy savings reinvestment plans?	The PWS requires the offerors to conduct energy audits that assess approximately 25% of the site's square footage/year. The government utilized that data in various ways. Past energy project or reinvestment proposal are not relevant to the above requirement.
Attachment J-1, PWS 5.7.2 Energy Management and Water Conservation, p. 176	The PWS requires the contractor to develop and implement an Energy Management and Water Conservation Program that will meet the goals of EISA 2007, EPACKT, Executive Orders 12759, 13123, 13221, 13101, 13149, 13423 and 13514, and NPR 8570.1. How much progress in percent has been made in achieving the goals outlined in the Executive Orders since goals have been implemented?	The SACOM Contractor will be required to meet the annual performance metrics specified in the referenced executive orders.
L.I-19 (b), p. 11	Where will the office space be provided by the government during phase-in be located? At MAF? At SSC? Off-site?	Office space will be provided by the government on-site at MAF and on-site at SSC.
L.II-4.	The requirement reads, in part: "All sheets (except foldouts and Model Contract, Volume IV contents to include the Government Property Management Plan, and the Safety and Health Plan) shall be two-sided; each side counts as one page." Question: If the back of a page is blank (i.e., If a section ends on an odd page number), may the "back side" an 8 1/2 x 11-inch page be excluded from page count if it contains the following statement: This page is intentionally left blank to allow for double sided printing per FAR 52.204-4. Failure to do so will encourage offerors not to use section dividers to the extent that you probably desire.	In accordance with RFP Section L.II-4(b), all sheets (except foldouts and Model Contract, Volume IV contents to include the Government Property Management Plan, and the Safety and Health Plan) shall be two-sided; each side counts as one page.
L.II-5.(b).3.ii	Can NASA clarify what is meant by "enforceable vs. non-enforceable commitments"?	An example of a non-enforceable agreement would be an oral agreement. An example of an enforceable agreement would be a signed, written agreement.
L.I-21	Are subcontractors, at any tier, required to submit an OCI Plan?	In accordance with RFP Section L.I-21, The Offeror (all entities) shall disclose the type of organizational conflicts of interest, and explain the actions or strategies it intends to use to mitigate or resolve any organizational conflicts of interest identified by the Government and from its own assessment. This includes not only first tier subcontractors, but also subcontractors at any level, team members/partners, and joint venture partners for whom an actual or potential OCI exists.
L.I-19.(e).1.iii & L.II-3	It appears that the Government is requiring that the OCI Plan be included in both the Past Performance Volume (Volume II) and the Model Contract Volume (Volume IV). Can the Government clarify which volume the OCI Plan should be included in?	The OCI Plan is part of Volume II, not part of Volume IV. (See L.I-13.a)
Section L.II-5, paragraph ii a), Staffing Worksheet, page 25	Column D header: "Explain how offeror's qualifications meet or exceed PWS qualifications". The PWS does not list any qualifications that we could find and request clarification of this header.	<p>The Staffing Worksheet Column D, (Explain how Offeror's qualifications meets or exceeds PWS qualifications) instructions, as identified on the form, states "If applicable - Identifies details about the Offeror's qualification requirements compared to the PWS requirements for the position proposed." Offerors shall review the PWS to ensure they are familiar with the qualifications if any are identified for example:</p> <p>PWS 2.0 B. Limitations, Restrictions, and/or Special Conditions The Contractor shall ensure personnel performing Marine Operations are licensed by the U.S. Coast Guard for inland Waterways of the United States</p> <p>PWS 3.3 Industrial Hygiene B. Limitations, Restrictions, and/or Special Conditions At least on member of the IH staff shall be a Certified Industrial Hygienist (CIH), certified by the American Board of Industrial Hygiene (ABIH).</p> <p>PWS 6.1 Facilities Operations B. Limitations, Restrictions, and/or Special Conditions Operators at the MAF Utility Plant shall process a current city of New Orleans first or second class operating engineering license The Contractor shall possess a State of Louisiana Class 4 Wastewater Operator license</p>
L.II-4, Proposal Page Limitations,	Section L.II-4, Proposal Page Limitations, item b, page 23 states: "... Foldouts shall be limited to organizational charts or cost volume." <u>Question:</u> (a) This seems to eliminate any 11 by 17 for a matrix showing how a project meets PWS elements in Volume II, Past Performance. Please consider allowing 11 by 17 matrices in Volume II.	In accordance with RFP Section L.II-4(b), Foldouts shall be limited to organizational charts or cost volume.

RFP CONTRACT REFERENCE	QUESTIONS/COMMENTS	ANSWERS
Page Count for Volume II	Please clarify what is excluded from the page count for volume II. We ask this question because there seems to be contradictory information in the RFP. The table on page 22 excludes the OSHA forms, Past Performance Questionnaires and seems to exclude the OCI. Further item (b), page 23 contains the following statement: "Include the numbering: cover pages, tables of contents, RFP Reference Matrix, and Key Term/Acronyms." Item (f) states: "No exclusions are provided from page limitations in section L.II-4, Table 1 above, except for section dividers, Past Performance Interview/Questionnaire Forms submitted by the Offeror's customer references directly to the contracting officer and OSHA Form 300 and 300A." Question: Are the following excluded from Volume II page count: Table of Contents, Key Terms/Acronyms, RFP Matrix, section dividers that do not have any content, OSHA forms, OCI, and written consent letters?	In accordance with L.II-4, Table 1, OSHA forms and OCI are excluded from the page count of Volume II and will not be counted. In accordance with L.II-4.b, a table of contents, key terms/acronyms, and RFP matrix are included in the page count of any volume and will be counted. In accordance with L.II-4.f., section dividers are excluded from the page count of any volume and will not be counted. Written consent letters are not excluded from the page count of Volume II, therefore, they will be counted.
Section L.II-6, item (e)(1), (2) and (3) and (f)(1), (2) and (3)	3. Past Performance. Section L.II-6, item (e)(1), (2) and (3) and (f)(1), (2) and (3) seem to require this information to be placed under each past performance project that the offeror and subcontractors present. Further item (f) page 38 seems to imply that information on termination and labor relations also be placed under each past performance project that the offeror and subcontractors present in the proposal. Question: (a) Please confirm that it is acceptable to group this information under each separate project as long as the page count is not exceeded. (b) Assuming it is acceptable to group the above information under each project, would NASA consider reordering L.II-6, Item (e)(2) and (3)? Can they just be reversed? This would make a project description more readable.	In accordance with L.II-6, Reference contracts shall provide additional information concerning Subcontracting, Safety, and Environmental as identified in L.II-6(f). In accordance with L.II-6 (i) the offeror shall also address termination and labor relations for each reference contract and non-referenced contracts if it applies. The offeror can group all the required contract data with each referenced contract.
Section M.6 (d) page 13	Section M.6 (d) page 13 states: In addition to the above, in assessing performance of relevant Past Performance, the Government will make an assessment of the Offeror's overall performance (emphasis added) record to include: 1 The offeror's commitment to small business 2 The offeror's overall safety.... 3 All Federal state, and local environmental violations 4 Terminated contracts 5 Labor relations Question: Section L, item f 1, 2, and 3 and section i, (1) and (2), page 38 appear to want these items for the Projects Submitted. Does the Government want these items for both the projects submitted and for each offeror/major subcontractor as an overall measure of each firm?	Items 1-3 refer only to the references an Offeror provides (as described in L.II-6.f.) Items 4 and 5 refer to the references and any other contracts that are applicable (see L.II-6.i.)
Page 35 of 58; Ref: L.II-6(e)1	Reference: The Offeror and Major Subcontractors cannot submit Past Performance references that identify the Offeror (including Joint Venture Partners and teammates) or a Major Subcontractor as the customer (i.e. Offerors, Major Subcontractors, and other Team Members cannot provide past performance references for each other). Question: Industry understands the intent of this statement to avoid a conflict of interest among team members. However, would the government permit the offeror to use a reference where an offeror or major subcontractor is a customer, but the customer point of contact identified to receive past performance questionnaires on that reference were a GOVERNMENT customer? This inclusion would avoid a restriction on competition and allow offerors to submit very relevant contracts imperative to their offer.	In accordance with Amendment 01, the requirement has been deleted.
J-9	Will the government provide inventory or stock items currently managed by the incumbent contractors? If yes, will the categories of critical, stores stock, program and standby as well as their location (facility) be included?	All inventory to be managed by the SACOM contractor has been accounted for in J-9. As store stock inventory, program inventory and standby inventory levels and physical floor space needs ebb and flow due to the nature of ongoing acquisitions, storage needs and distribution, inventory locations will not be included at this time.
Attachment J-1, PWS 5.2	The Custodial Services requirements direct the reader to Attachment J-1, Appendix A, Additional Workload Data, stating all facilities requiring custodial and recycling services, along with estimated square footages are included there. The data provided are gross square footages of the entire building but it does not give sufficient information on number of stairwells, office area, common area, number of rest rooms, etc. in order to develop a firm fixed price. Will the government provide additional square footage and/or type of space breakdown of SSC and MAF facilities for this FFP portion of the RFP?	The areas requiring custodial service is provided in Attachment J-1, Appendix A which included buildings and gross square footage. No additional data will be provided.
General	This question has three parts: A) What are the SACOM contractor's liability limits when handling and/or transporting flight hardware for pricing the appropriate level of insurance coverage? B) Or does the government retain the liability for the flight hardware during handling/transportation operations? C) If additional special insurance is required by a TO SOW, will the insurance be an allowable cost?	A) The only liability limits required by the SACOM RFP are identified in the RFP. B) Government Property will be handle in accordance with the applicable Government Property clauses identified in the RFP. C) If additional insurance is required by a TO, the insurance would be an allowable cost for that TO.
G.10, G.11	These paragraphs require the contractor to submit requests for badging through the IDMAX/PIV systems at SSC and MAF respectively, where they are routed to the COR for approval. Please confirm that this contractor will have access to these systems for this purpose. If not, how are the requests to be submitted.	Yes the contractor will have access to the system as a requester.
H.12	The requirement reads, in part: "All ACAs to include those in the table above shall be accomplished within three (3) months of written notification from the CO to the Contractor that an ACA is required." Question: Does the contract award constitute written notification or will there be separate notification sometime after award or after contract start? If the former is true the ACAs with the organizations listed in H.12 would be required at the end of phase-in.	The award of the contract constitutes written notification.
Section: L.II-6. Past Performance (Volume II), Factor 2 – Past Performance Subsection: (e) Past Performance History Subsection: 1 Page: 35	The referenced RFP Section states: The Offeror shall provide a description of its team's relevant past performance history in meeting the requirements of Attachment J-1 PWS. The combined total of the Offeror's and proposed major subcontractors' past prime/subcontract experience shall be limited to no more than four (4) reference contracts for the Offeror and no more than two (2) reference contracts for each Major Subcontractor for which performance occurred within the last three (3) years. Past Performance with Government contracts is preferred, but not required. The Offeror and Major Subcontractors cannot submit Past Performance references that identify the Offeror (including Joint Venture Partners and teammates) or a Major Subcontractor as the customer (i.e. Offerors, Major Subcontractors, and other Team Members cannot provide past performance references for each other). [emphasis added] It is clear that the Government promotes the development of small business by providing for Small Business Subcontracting Goals. Small businesses spend years developing relationships and performing services for major companies that are the prime contractors on multiple Government contracts. Small businesses should be allowed to submit Past Performance references that identify these major companies as their customer, even if the company is the Offeror. If the prohibition against using an Offeror as a past performance reference is applied to small businesses it would jeopardize their future growth and preclude participation in SACOM. Please advise if the Government would consider removing the restriction on using the Offeror as a past performance reference, if the reference is submitted by a small business.	In accordance with Amendment 01, the requirement has been deleted.
Section B; page 3 of 28	B.3.(b).1.i.8 and B.3.(b).1.i.8 : Program/Project/Tenants work < \$5K (covers all PWS sections) (60% of Government Plug of \$7M per year). 60% conflicts with the remainder of section B which defines the Core Plug as 40% Program/Project/Tenants. (b).1.i.8 and B.3.(b).1.i.9: Direct Buys (40% of Government Plug of \$7M per year). 40% conflicts with the remainder of section B which defines the Core Plug as 60% Direct Buys. Please clarify.	In accordance with Amendment 01, the 60% is Direct Buys and the 40% is programs, projects, and tenants work.
CBAs	Given recent changes in the Lockheed Martin CBA under the TOC contract, is NASA considering the issuance of an updated CBA or should all offerors, to include Lockheed Martin, build their cost models using the rates in the former CBA for pricing purposes?	Revised CBAs have been included in Amendment 1.
Section L.II-2, Para (b) 1)/Page 20 of 58	The RFP states that Adobe Acrobat software and files in PDF format are not acceptable for any submission other than for Past Performance Questionnaires, OSHA Form 300/300A, and documents identified in Section L.II-7 Cost/Price. As Volume IV Model Contract requires Fill-Ins of several RFP sections (L.II-8, Table 1/Page 57) and the Government has released them as PDF files, will the Government please allow for those RFP Section Fill-Ins to be submitted as Adobe Acrobat PDF files, or provide the required RFP Sections in Microsoft Word format?	In accordance with Amendment 01, the RFP fill-ins can be PDF.

RFP CONTRACT REFERENCE	QUESTIONS/COMMENTS	ANSWERS
L.I-19 (e)1iv. (pg. 11), L.II-3 (pg. 21), and L.II-8 (pg. 57)	With respect to the Government Property Management Plan, there are conflicting requirements provided in the RFP. Section L.I-19, Section L.II-3, and Section L.II-8 all require that the Offeror submit a Government Property Management Plan (DRD LS07-2.2) with the Offeror's proposal in Volume IV-Model Contract. However, DRD LS07-2.2 states that the Plan is to be submitted 60 days after contract award. Will the Government please confirm whether or not Offerors are to submit a completed Government Property Management Plan with their proposal?	DRD LS07-2.2 has been revised to coincide with Section L.
Section L (pg. 54 of 58)	Section L, page 54 of 58, L.II-7. Attachment 2, is there a similar table available for the UAW mapping to the SCA labor categories for MAF?	The Government does not have a cross-walk for UAW classifications to SCA labor classifications for MAF.