

| | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| 2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 000001 | 3. EFFECTIVE DATE 06/04/2015 | 4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. | 5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable) |
| 6. ISSUED BY NASA/Armstrong Flight Research Ctr. P.O. Box 273 M/S 4811-140 Edwards CA 93523-0273 | CODE DFRC | 7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) | CODE |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------|
| 8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code) | <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:5%;">(x)</td> <td>9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. NND14480735R</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width:5%;">x</td> <td>9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 03/25/2014</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)</td> </tr> </table> | (x) | 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. NND14480735R | x | 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 03/25/2014 | | 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. | | 10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) |
| (x) | 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. NND14480735R | | | | | | | | |
| x | 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 03/25/2014 | | | | | | | | |
| | 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. | | | | | | | | |
| | 10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13) | | | | | | | | |
| CODE | FACILITY CODE | | | | | | | | |

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended. is not extended.
 Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

| | |
|-----------|---|
| CHECK ONE | A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A. |
| | B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b). |
| | C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF: |
| | D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority) |

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not. is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)
 The purposed of this amendment is to request new proposals to on-ramp new contractors in accordance with clause C-2, "ON-RAMP OF NEW CONTRACTORS" of RFP NND14480735R. The solicitation is amended as follows:

A. Under Block 7.a. and Block 7.b. of Standard Form 1449 change Block 7.a. to "Zachary Wright" and Block 7.b. to "661-276-5112."

B. The due date for receipt of proposals under Block 8 of Standard Form 1449 is changed from "05/8/2014, 2359 PT" to "7/13/2015, 4:00 pm PDT."

C. Under clause 52.217-9, Option to Extend the Term of the Contract (MAR 2000), paragraph Continued ...

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9 A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|------------------|
| 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) | 16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Robert Medina | | |
| 15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign) | 15C. DATE SIGNED | 16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (Signature of Contracting Officer) | 16C. DATE SIGNED |

CONTINUATION SHEET

REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED
 NND14480735R/000001

PAGE OF
 2 9

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR

| ITEM NO. (A) | SUPPLIES/SERVICES (B) | QUANTITY (C) | UNIT (D) | UNIT PRICE (E) | AMOUNT (F) |
|-----------------|---|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | <p>(c) change the total duration of this contract from "shall not exceed (5 years)" to "shall not exceed (4 years)."</p> <p>D. Under clause C-001 Period Of Performance change the first sentence from "Indefinite Quantity Contract: The ordering period in which to issue task orders for this Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity is (specified start date) to (5 years from the specific start date)" to "Indefinite Quantity Contract: The ordering period in which to issue task orders for this Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity is (specified start date) to (4 years from the specific start date)."</p> <p>E. "Addendum to 52. 212-1 - Instructions To Offerors: Commercial Items Proposal Preparation Instructions" is deleted in its entirety and substituted with the attached "Addendum to 52.212-1 - Instructions To Offerors: Commercial Items Proposal Preparation Instructions."</p> <p>F. "Provision 52.212-2 Evaluation - Commercial Items (JAN 1999) and Addendum to FAR 52.212-2 - Evaluation: Commercial Items Basis For Contract Award" are deleted in their entirety and substituted with the attached "Provision 52.212-2 Evaluation - Commercial Items (JAN 1999) and Addendum to FAR 52.212-2 - Evaluation: Commercial Items Basis For Contract Award."</p> <p>G. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.</p> <p>End of Solicitation Amendment No. 3 INCO TERMS 2: Destination</p> | | | | |

ADDENDUM to 52.212-1 - INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS;

COMMERCIAL ITEMS PROPOSAL PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS

A. To assure timely and equitable evaluation of proposals, offerors must follow the instructions contained herein. Offerors are required to meet all solicitation requirements, including terms and conditions, representations and certifications, and technical requirements, in addition to those identified as evaluation factors or subfactors. Failure to meet a requirement may result in an offer being ineligible for award. **Offerors are cautioned that simply restating the PWS without any supporting details will result in the proposal being rejected as non-responsive.** Offerors must clearly identify any exception to the solicitation terms and conditions and provide complete accompanying rationale. The response shall consist of three (3) separate parts; Part I - Technical Proposal, Part II - Past Performance Information, and Part III - Price Proposal.

B. The contracting officer has determined there is a high probability of adequate price competition in this acquisition. Upon examination of the initial offers, the contracting officer will review this determination and if, in the contracting officer's opinion adequate price competition exists, no additional price information will be requested. However, if at any time during this competition the contracting officer determines that adequate price competition no longer exists; offerors may be required to submit information to the extent necessary for the contracting officer to determine the reasonableness of the price.

C. Specific Instructions:

Proposals shall be submitted in both electronic and paper form. Proposals shall be submitted as described in each of the following sections, provided as **one (1)** paper copy and **two (2)** compact disc (CD) copies for each proposal. Multiple CDs are permitted if full proposals will not fit on one CD. Additional CDs shall be clearly appended with "Disc I", "Disc II" etc. **All electronic documents shall be provided as standard "portable document format" (pdf) readable by both MS Windows and Apple OS X computers; offerors are cautioned NOT to submit electronic documents in an editable word processing format.** CDs shall not contain any extraneous information beyond that required in this document. Proposals shall be clearly marked with RFP number identification, date of submittal, and company nomenclature to enable the Government to clearly identify the origin and purpose of the submittal. Individual files on each CD shall be clearly identified with the proposal volume and company name: "CompanyXXX Volume I – Technical", "CompanyXXX Volume II – PastPerformance", "CompanyXXX Volume III – Price", where "Company XXX" is the name of the proposing entity.

Please note: Offerors should ensure that their submittals are complete and readable, and are RECEIVED by the Government on the date and time indicated in this solicitation. The Government will not evaluate late proposals.

Please use the following addresses to submit your proposals:

Zachary Wright
NASA, Armstrong Flight Research Center
Warehouse 6 Building 4876
Edwards, CA 93523

All proposal packages must be closed and sealed, and should be marked in large letters, deliver UNOPENED to the contract specialist. Proposal packages shall include:

- **Solicitation Number:** NND14480735R
- **Contract Specialist's Name:** Zachary Wright
- **Mailstop:** P.O. Box 273, Mail Stop 4811
- **Contract Specialist's Telephone Number:** 661-276-5112
- Offeror's name (company name) and address clearly marked on the outside of the package

- 1 paper copy and 2 electronic CD copies clearly identified

If a proposal page count exceeds the limits for each part as stated below, the additional pages will be discarded and those pages will not be evaluated; excess pages in electronic submittals will be removed from the files prior to distribution to the evaluators.

1. PART I – TECHNICAL PROPOSAL - Limited to no more than 15 pages total, unless the offeror is providing a technical proposal for multiple flight profiles, in which case they may add 5 pages for each *additional* flight profile. **Please note: cover pages, tables of contents, and indices do NOT count against the page limit.** The technical volume should generally consist of three separate parts: a part that describes any proposed vehicle or vehicle(s), a part that describes an operational plan, and a part that describes a technology payload integration process.
 - a. The offeror should provide a comprehensive technical description of the performance characteristics of their proposed Qualified Vehicle (QV) or QV family. This description should include proof that conclusively demonstrates by successful flight(s) that the QV or QV family is capable of providing one or more of the Flight Profiles as defined in the PWS. “Successful flights” should be test flights or flights for pay, that were launched and recovered successfully with payload intact and whose salient characteristics closely approach one or more of the PWS Flight Profile characteristics, including payload mass and altitude achieved. The description should also demonstrate that the QV meets the minimum PWS requirements for reusability. (REF: PWS Sects 4.1, 5., 4.2.3, 4.2.4).
 - b. The Offeror should describe any proposed deviations from the Flight Profile requirements (including but not limited to, maximum altitude, mass, and volume), along with the rationale for the deviations. The Government will also consider innovative approaches that improve upon the listed profile requirements provided that such approaches fall within the general scope of the existing PWS. (REF: PWS Sect 5.).
 - c. The Offeror should describe in detail the routine capabilities and operating limits (for commercial use) of each vehicle in terms of payload mass per payload slot, number of payload slots, the maximum mass, volume, and configuration of each payload slot; flight profile(s) including times to various altitudes; available electrical power, environmental, and other experiment interfaces. (REF: PWS Sect 5.).
 - d. Where applicable, the offeror should describe his/her capabilities for periods at reduced or micro gravity, including measured quality of reduced gravity and methodology for this measurement, and maximum available time at reduced gravity. (REF: PWS Sect 5.1, 5.5).
 - e. The Offeror should provide an operational plan clearly demonstrating that it can perform flights (1) independent of Government assistance; (2) with full responsibility for flight safety, mission assurance, and environmental compliance in accordance with local, state, and Federal regulations; (3) with full responsibility for QV systems engineering, payload integration, and other required services; and (4) with provision for access to launch facilities by Government observers. The operational plan should also include a brief description on how the Offeror will respond to each Mission Implementation Document (MID). (Note: for MID process, please see attachment D). (REF: PWS Sects 4.2.1, 4.2.2, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3).
 - f. The Offeror should provide sufficient evidence within the operational plan that they possess applicable licenses, permits, or waivers from the appropriate regulatory agency(s), or provide sufficient explanation of why such items are not applicable to their proposed QV(s). (REF: PWS Sect 6.2).

- g. If the Offeror is subcontracting for flight services, then the Offeror should provide evidence of the subcontracting agreement. Similarly, if the Offeror is subcontracting for payload integration services, then the Offeror should provide evidence of the subcontracting agreement.
- h. The Offeror should provide a description of their Payload Integration process. The Process should include a Payload Acceptance and Readiness Review and a System Safety and Mission Risk Assessment, and describe what the Offeror will provide to the payload providers within the Payload Users Guide (PUG). (REF PWS Sect 6.3).
- i. Offeror should describe their general operational plan, including: (1) how the Offeror will assure the physical security of payloads before and after flights; (2) the Offeror's approach for vehicle and payload recovery; and (3) how the Offeror will provide access to payloads by payload providers. (REF: PWS Sects 4.2.5, 4.2.6).

2. PART II – PAST PERFORMANCE INFORMATION - Limited to no more than 2 pages per contract referenced. Only references for the same or similar type contracts desired.

- a. Offeror should provide a detailed description of services provided for each contract referenced and an associated statement of relevancy for those services towards the requirements of this solicitation.
- b. Quality and Satisfaction Rating for Contracts Completed in the Past Three Years: Provide any information currently available (letters, metrics, customer surveys, independent surveys, etc.) which demonstrates customer satisfaction with overall job performance and quality of completed product for same or similar type contract. In addition, explain corrective actions taken in the past, if any, for substandard performance and any current performance problems such as cost overruns, extended performance periods, numerous warranty calls, etc.
- c. Performance Surveys: The Government will evaluate the quality and extent of offeror's performance deemed relevant to the requirements of this RFP using surveys (**NOTE: surveys will only be sent to past or current entities that the offeror has had contact with, including but not limited to those provided in the past performance description, by the offeror and delivered directly to the Contract Specialist**). The Government will use information submitted by the offeror, the surveys, and other sources deemed necessary, such as other Federal Government offices and commercial sources, to assess performance. The offeror provided portion which is subject to the page limitation is a list of no more than five (5) of the most relevant contracts performed for Federal agencies and commercial customers within the last three (3) years. The evaluation of past performance information will take into account past performance information regarding predecessor companies, key personnel who have relevant experience, or subcontractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement when such information is relevant to the present RFP. Furnish the following information for each contract listed:
 - i. Company/Division name
 - ii. Product/Service
 - iii. Contracting Agency/Customer
 - iv. Contract Number
 - v. Contract Dollar Value
 - vi. Period of Performance
 - vii. Verified, up-to-date name, address, FAX & telephone number of the contracting officer
 - viii. Comments regarding compliance with contract terms and conditions
 - ix. Comments regarding any known performance deemed unacceptable to the customer, or not in accordance with the contract terms and

conditions.

- d. Subcontractor Consent: Past performance information pertaining to a subcontractor cannot be disclosed to the prime offeror without the subcontractor's consent. Provide with the proposal a letter from all subcontractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement, consenting to the release of their past performance information to the prime contractor.

If a teaming arrangement is contemplated, provide complete information as to the arrangement, including any relevant and recent past performance information on previous teaming arrangements with same partner. If this is a first time joint effort, each party to the arrangement must provide a list of past and present relevant contracts.

3. PART III - PRICE PROPOSAL

- a. Complete blocks 12, 17a, and 30a, b, and c of the SF 1449. In doing so, the offeror accedes to the contract terms and conditions as written in the SOLICITATION, with attachments. The SOLICITATION includes the model contract.
- b. Provide the following parameters of each proposed qualified vehicle or qualified vehicle family at a minimum:
 - (1) maximum achievable altitude given the heaviest (mass) flight configuration and
 - (2) nominal flight profile, meaning the flight profile that is most commonly offered on the commercial market.
- c. Insert proposed unit and extended prices in the Pricing Schedule. Prices shall be proposed for each year of services, consisting of a base period of two years and two one-year options. Offeror should show the price for one flight for a standard payload of the Offeror's choice. Pricing should include both full manifest and individual payload slot pricing (if applicable to the proposed QV). For the purpose of pricing, Offeror should assume that the Government will purchase (via task order) only one flight or one payload slot for each year for each flight vehicle or family of vehicles. The proposal should contain the following information to be considered responsive:
 - i. Pricing for each year of the two-year base contract years.
 - ii. Pricing for each year of the one-year options.
 - iii. Burdened hourly rates by year for each applicable labor category for all base and option years. These hourly rates are for non-standard services and/or special projects that are not defined at this time and could be required during the performance of this contract.
 - iv. Other quantity discounts or other innovative approaches for NASA to realize price savings in the performance of the first task order. This portion includes any potential non-standard services that could be anticipated for the performance of the first task order.
- d. The contracting officer has determined there is a high probability of adequate price competition in this acquisition. Upon examination of the initial offers, the contracting officer will review this determination and if, in the contracting officer's opinion, adequate price competition exists, no additional cost information will be requested. However, if at any time during this competition the contracting officer determines that adequate price competition no longer exists, offerors may be required to submit information other than cost or pricing data to support a determination of price reasonableness.
- e. The provisions FAR 52.212-3 shall be returned along with the proposal.

D. Documents submitted in response to this RFP must be fully responsive to and consistent with the

following:

1. Requirements of the RFP (CLINs & PWS) and Government standards and regulations pertaining to the PWS.
2. Evaluation Factors for Award as listed below under "ADDENDUM to FAR 52.212-2, BASIS FOR CONTRACT AWARD".
3. Any limitation on the number of proposal pages. Pages exceeding the page limitations set forth in this section will not be read or evaluated, and will be removed from the proposal.
4. Format for proposal Parts I and II shall be as follows:
 - a. The proposals will be in a format equivalent to 8 1/2" x 11" paper except for fold-outs used for charts, tables, or diagrams, which may not exceed 11" x 17".
 - b. A page is defined as the equivalent of one face of a sheet of paper containing information.
 - c. Typing shall not be smaller than 12 point, Times New Roman font.
 - d. Elaborate formats, bindings or color presentations are not desired or required.
5. Format for proposal Part III (Price) may be on spreadsheets and as narrative information, as applicable, but shall not include extraneous narration or data in excess of that required by this RFP. Spreadsheets shall not be smaller than 10 point, Times New Roman font.

52.212-2 Evaluation - Commercial Items. (JAN 1999)

(a) The Government will award a contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer conforming to the solicitation will be most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered. The following factors shall be used to evaluate offers:

- (1) TECHNICAL ACCEPTABILITY
- (2) PAST PERFORMANCE
- (3) PRICE

Technical acceptability must be met. Past performance is significantly more important than price.

(b) *Options.* The Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by determining a total price, using the pricing for the proposed base and option years of the contract and assuming one flight per year for each proposed QV or QV family, flown in the most basic configuration with no non-standard services. Option year prices should not be out of balance with base year prices.

(c) A written notice of award or acceptance of an offer, mailed or otherwise furnished to the successful offeror within the time for acceptance specified in the offer, shall result in a binding contract without further action by either party. Before the offer's specified expiration time, the Government may accept an offer (or part of an offer), whether or not there are negotiations after its receipt, unless a written notice of withdrawal is received before award.

(End of Provision)

ADDENDUM to FAR 52.212-2 - EVALUATION;

COMMERCIAL ITEMS BASIS FOR CONTRACT AWARD:

NASA anticipates a multiple-award contract. This is a competitive, best value source selection in which the past performance history of each Technically Acceptable proposal will be evaluated on a basis significantly more important than price considerations. By submission of its offer, the offeror accedes to all solicitation requirements, including terms and conditions, representations and certifications, and technical requirements, in addition to those identified as evaluation factors or subfactors. All technically acceptable offers shall be treated equally except for their prices and performance records.

Failure to meet a requirement may result in an offer being determined technically unacceptable. Offerors must clearly identify any exception to the solicitation terms and conditions and provide complete accompanying rationale. **PROPOSALS DEEMED TECHNICALLY UNACCEPTABLE WILL BE CONSIDERED UNACCEPTABLE OVERALL AND WILL NOT BE FURTHER EVALUATED.**

The evaluation process shall proceed as follows:

- A. **Technical Acceptability.** Initially, the Government technical evaluation team will evaluate the technical proposals on a pass/fail basis, assigning ratings of Technically Acceptable or Unacceptable. The proposals will be evaluated against the following factors (to be determined Technically Acceptable, proposal shall meet ALL listed factors):
 1. *Offeror has relevant operational capability:* Offeror's proposal provides proof that conclusively demonstrates by successful flight(s) that the QV or QV family is capable of providing one or more of the Flight Profiles described in the PWS. A "successful flight" is a test flight or a flight for pay, that was launched and recovered successfully with payload intact and whose salient characteristics closely approach or exceed one or more of the PWS Flight Profile requirements, including payload mass and altitude achieved. When evaluating proposed QV capabilities, the Government will consider any proposed deviations from the Flight Profile requirements, along with the rationale for those deviations, and any proposed innovative approaches for meeting PWS requirements. (REF: PWS Sects 4.1, 5.)
 2. *Offeror can provide commercial services, independently:* Offeror proposes an operating plan with sufficient evidence that it can operate QV(s) to fly standard technology payloads at a commercial level (assumes full responsibility for up to but not limited to the following items: Flight Safety, Mission Assurance, and Environmental compliance in accordance with local, state, and Federal regulations), independent of assistance from the requiring authority (NASA), with applicable permits, licenses, waivers, and/or flight approvals from the appropriate regulatory agencies, unless the contractor provides sufficient justification of why such items are not applicable to their proposed QV or QV family(s). (REF: PWS Sects 4.2.1, 6.2).
 3. *Offeror can respond appropriately to task orders:* Offeror proposes an operational plan that addresses security and recoverability of payloads, how it will submit conforming deliverables at the task order level, and how access by payload providers and Government observers will be provided. (REF: PWS Sects 4.2.5, 8., 4.2.6, 4.2.2).
 4. *Proposed QV or QV family is reusable:* Offeror provides evidence that the QV or QV family being proposed meets the requirements for reusability as defined in the PWS. (REF: PWS Sect 4.2.4).
- B. **Performance Confidence Assessment.** Next, using questionnaires and/or other resources that are available to the contracting officer, the contracting officer shall seek relevant performance information on all proposals deemed "Technically Acceptable" on (1) the past and present efforts provided by the offeror and (2) data independently obtained from other Government and commercial sources. The purpose of the past performance evaluation is to allow the government to assess the offeror's ability to perform the effort described in this RFP, based on the offeror's demonstrated present and past performance. The assessment process will result in an overall performance confidence assessment of Very High Level of Confidence, High Level of Confidence, Moderate Level of Confidence, Neutral (or Unknown Confidence), Low Level of Confidence, and

Very Low Level of Confidence as defined in NASA Far Supplement (NFS) Section 1815.305. Relevant performance includes performance of efforts involving comprehensive commercial space flight and integration services that are similar to or greater in scope, magnitude and complexity than the effort described in this solicitation. Offerors with no relevant past or present performance history shall receive a rating of "Neutral," which will be treated neither favorably nor unfavorably. Past performance regarding predecessor companies or sub-contractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement *will* be rated as highly as past performance information for the principal offeror. Conversely, key personnel who have relevant experience *will not* be rated as highly as past performance information for the principal offeror because of the commercial nature of this acquisition. In evaluating past performance, the Government reserves the right to give greater consideration to information on those contracts deemed most relevant to the effort described in this RFP.

- C. Price Evaluation. Next, the Government will apply Price Analysis techniques to the proposed pricing, to determine if proposed prices are "fair and reasonable" compared to known standards for similar services. The price analysis will include the total prices for the base and option years, assuming one flight per year in the most basic configuration with no non-standard services. The Government will use the proposed prices for a single payload slot and/or a full manifest as applicable. The Government will also separately evaluate the proposed hourly burdened rates and labor categories, and the prices for non-standard services. Although the price volume is not numerically scored and receives no adjectival rating, it is important in determining that the Offeror understands the requirement and the resources required to satisfy it. An overall determination of "fair and reasonable" pricing will be presented to the Source Selection Authority.
- D. The Source Selection Authority shall then make an integrated assessment best value award decision to one or multiple offerors.
- E. Offerors are cautioned to submit sufficient information and in the format specified in Clause 52.212-1. Offerors may be asked to clarify certain aspects of their proposal (for example, the relevance of past performance information) or respond to adverse past performance information to which the offeror has not previously had an opportunity to respond. Adverse past performance is defined as past performance information that supports a less than satisfactory rating on any evaluation element or any unfavorable comments received from sources without a formal rating system. Communication conducted to resolve minor or clerical errors will not constitute discussions, and the contracting officer reserves the right to award a contract without the opportunity for proposal revision.
- F. The government intends to award a contract or multiple contracts without discussions with respective offerors. The government, however, reserves the right to conduct discussions if deemed in its best interest.

(End of provision)