

## Wallops Multiple Award Construction (WMAC)

### Response to Industry Questions on Draft RFP

17. Is this contract fully funded? If not, what portion is appropriated?

NASA RESPONSE: This is a multiple award, indefinite-delivery indefinite-quantity contract. The minimum contract value will be funded at the time of contract award. Annually as projects are developed and funding becomes available, task orders will be issued.

18. Per Section M Subsection C Past Performance Evaluation, page 75, the prime contractor's references minimally relevant fee is \$250,000. Would the Government consider raising the "minimally relevant" dollar amount for references to \$500,000?

NASA RESPONSE: The minimum relevant fee has been determined to be \$250,000.

19. Will the Government consider CCASS reviews in lieu of PPQs?

NASA RESPONSE: The solicitation requires that offerors provide 10 relevant projects with appropriate references. Formal past performance questionnaires will not be sent out under this solicitation. CCASS will also be reviewed.

20. Will a subcontracting plan be required in the submission? If so can this be submitted in the Price Volume so it is excluded from the page limitations?

NASA RESPONSE: A subcontracting plan is not required for this solicitation in accordance with FAR 19.702 (b)(1).

21. Section L. Subsection C Additional Information To Be Furnished; where in the volumes should this information be included?

NASA Response: The information additional information listed in L.16 (c) shall be included in the offer volume.

22. Will this contract only allow American citizens to perform work or can non-American citizens with a "Green Card" be permitted to work at the Wallops Island Complex?

NASA RESPONSE: Legal Permanent Residents (LPR)(green card holders) are treated the same as U.S. citizens for access to the Center. However, LPRs must have their original green card on their person at all times while on Center. If an LPR cannot or does not present their green card when picking up a badge, the badge will not be issued and access will be denied. Escort for the individual will be not permitted.

23. Will the final version of the RFP and other attachments be created so that Offerors can search the documents? We request that they be in Microsoft Word (version Office 2007 or more recent) or that they be searchable PDF documents.

NASA RESPONSE: To protect the integrity of the documents, the final version of the RFP and other attachments will be provided in PDF format. Searchable PDF is not available.

24. What is the proper address that should be used on the exterior of the box for delivery?

NASA RESPONSE: The address cited in L.14.2 is the proper address that should be used on the exterior of the box for delivery.

25. Section M.2.1: Please clarify what the Government seeks from the Offeror through such FAR clauses as 9.104-2(a). Is the Government simply asking Offerors to respond with "We understand and accept the Government's right to develop and apply Special Standards of Responsibility?"

NASA RESPONSE: As stated in M.2.1 (b), the Special Standard for this solicitation is the bonding capacity.

26. What is the Bonding Capacity that the Government requires for this contract?

NASA RESPONSE: RFP Provisions L.17.1 and M.1 (b) are being revised to state the current bonding capacity for this acquisition is \$5M for any one project.

27. Will the Contracting Office include a spreadsheet(s) in the final versions of the solicitation materials?

NASA RESPONSE: The final version of Attachment F (Overhead, Profit, and Commission Rates Matrix) and Exhibit 1 (Overhead, Profit, and Commission Rates) will be provided in spreadsheet (Excel) format.

28. Recommend that the Government clarify whether exceptions and deviations be inserted into either the Offer Volume or the Price Volume or to specifically state that exceptions and deviations should be inserted into both volumes.

NASA RESPONSE: In accordance with RFP Provision L.16 (b), the Offer Volume should include a summary of any exceptions and deviations included in the offerors proposal. In accordance with RFP Provision L.19.3, the Price Volume should include a detailed explanation of any exceptions and deviations pertaining to that volume.

29. Will it be a requirement with the submission of the actual proposal to include a footer on each page that references that materials submitted are Source Selection Materials and cite the appropriate FAR provision?

NASA RESPONSE: RFP Provision M.2.1 does not require an action on behalf of the offeror. The clause is provided as reference to the source selection procedures used in this solicitation.

30. Please clarify the requirements of past performance section which requires offerors to include their 10 most recent contracts with a minimum annual cost incurred of \$250,000 in the last 3 years.

NASA RESPONSE: In accordance with RFP, L.18(a), Offerors are expected to provide their 10 most recent contracts that exceeded \$250,000 in value in the last 3 years.

31. During the site visit it was mentioned that maximum project cost would be \$1,000,000. Is there a maximum amount to contracts that will be accepted? The bulk of these projects will be under \$1M.

NASA RESPONSE: During the site visit, it was mentioned that most of the projects anticipated under this contract will not exceed \$1,000,000. As stated in clause B.2, the maximum quantity ordered under all awarded contracts is \$42,000,000 cumulative. The order limitations are defined in clause I.128.

32. Would the Government consider modifying the submission requirement of 10 past performance contracts, for example to a minimum of three contracts and a maximum of 10 contracts?

NASA RESPONSE: No, the contractor should provide as many references as possible. The restriction is for the maximum.

33. Is the Government requesting that the Health and Safety Plan also apply to subcontractor?

NASA RESPONSE: The prime contractor's plan shall similarly address subcontractor employee safety and occupational health for those proposed subcontracts

34. Is the Government requesting a separate Health and Safety Plan for subcontractors?

NASA RESPONSE: No, the Government is not requesting a separate safety and health plan for subcontractors. See response to question 33.

35. Will the goals cited in L.4 be part of the evaluation factors?

NASA RESPONSE: The goals cited in L.4 are not part of the evaluation factors. To comply with Executive Order 11246, contractors must demonstrate good faith efforts to meet their affirmative action goals for the employment of minorities and women in the construction industry. A "Notice of Requirement for Affirmative Action to Ensure Equal Employment Opportunity" is included in the bid specifications for all Federal and federally assisted construction contracts and subcontracts in excess of \$10,000. The Notice sets forth the goals for minority and female participation. The goals are expressed as a percentage of the hours worked by the contractor's aggregate work force in each trade on all construction work performed in the geographic area, regardless of whether the work is Federal, federally assisted or non-federal.

36. Would the Government consider evaluation factors for combining all of the trades for Female Participation and all of the trades for Minority Participation?

NASA RESPONSE: As stated in question 35, the goals for Female and Minority Participation are not evaluation factors.