

- Q.1 In the Draft RFP, Section L-16.7 Other Proposal Requirements (Volume V), Paragraph C. Business Systems, states, "A contract may only be awarded to the offeror(s) who are determined to have an adequate accounting system. What is the process required for a Prime and/or Major Subcontractor to obtain this determination if their accounting system has not been audited by DCAA? Will an audit by an independent CPA experienced in Government contracting be sufficient for the Government to make this determination?"
- A.1 The Government is responsible for determining that an Offeror has an accounting system that meets the requirements of Part 31 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The Government will consider an audit of an accounting system by an independent auditor if the audit considers FAR Part 31 and GAAP in its assessment of the accounting system. The Government will consider audits, current or past, from DCAA that address adherence to FAR Part 31 and GAAP. The government will consider other sources of information regarding an accounting system as long as FAR PART 31 and GAAP requirements are addressed. This Government determination of acceptability must be made prior to award. This contract award will not be covered by CAS. For additional information, see FAR Part 31 and the following DCAA website which offers more information: www.dcaa.mil.
- Q.2 In the Draft RFP, Table L.3 shows the delivery of the Cost and Price Factor volumes only to NASA JSC. Please verify that a copy of the Cost and Price Factor volume is not sent to DCAA.
- A.2 Offerors are not required to submit a copy of the Cost and Price Factor volume to DCAA for the RVGSS procurement.
- Q.3 Templates FBR, OHT, GAT, and TC(a-d) are required to be completed by both the major subcontractors and primes. Once complete, these templates will contain information that is highly proprietary and cannot be given to other companies without damaging our competitive standing for other contracts. Can the major subcontractors' cost detail in these templates be submitted in a separate sealed package direct to Government vs. being provided to the prime to submit?
- A.3 Yes. Major subcontractors' cost detail may be submitted in a separate sealed package in accordance with L.10 Proposal Marking and Delivery and L.16.2 Proposal Arrangement, Page Limitations, Copies, and Due Dates.

- Q.4 FAR 52.219-14 "Limitations on Subcontracting" specifies that "at least 50 percent of the cost of contract performance incurred for personnel shall be expended for employees of the concern." Does this apply if the prime and all of the subcontractors are small businesses?
- A.4 Yes. It applies to the Prime for only the labor incurred to perform the work.
- Q.5 The column headings in Attachment L-07 - Government Resource Estimate are not in numerical order. Is it possible that there is a typo in the heading (i.e. are the GREs under the headings consistent with the titles)?
- A.5 There is a typo in the heading. The headings will be numbered consecutively in the final RFP.
- Q.6 In Task Order 3, is the contractor required to design and build the simulated vehicle hardware elements such as seats, steering wheels, accelerators, brake inputs, etc.?
- A.6 No. The offeror is not required to design or build hardware elements under Sample Task Order 3. The Government's intention is to solicit information that will permit a competitive evaluation of the offeror's proposal. The information solicited will demonstrate the offeror's competence and capability to successfully complete the requirements specified in the RVGSS Statement of Work (SOW). The offeror shall provide for each task order A) the specific technical understanding of the requirements; B) the basis of estimate including any proposed efficiencies; and C) an estimate in the form of a TRST (Attachment L-06). Offerors are asked to describe, in detail, the technical approaches they intend to use to provide the products and services defined in the Sample Task Orders, describing all assumptions and rationale used.
- Q.7 Is CMMI certification required as a pre-requisite for this contract?
- A.7 No, CMMI certification is not required under this contract. However, the contractor will be required to comply with SRSD's software processes. Some software deliverables to the SRSD under the RVGSS contract will require development under CMMI-compliant process standards.

- Q.8 The Astronomical Object Surface Interaction Simulation Services task order (TO3) shows a Deliverables Schedule with delivery of products in November 2014 and May 2015. There does not appear to be a matching Task Order in the Technical Library to identify the progress to date. Is this a new task that is to be developed from the start or has there already been work started on it? If there has been some work started on it, can NASA provide the task order under which the work has been done or some other description that will describe the current status of the work and projected status as of the start of the contract?
- A.8 Requirements scoped under Sample Task Order 3 largely represent potential new work that we would like to see each offeror's approach. Task Order 3 maps to an area of the Statement of Work that for the most part has not been previously performed under the existing contracts. However, Sample Task Order 3 extends some work currently performed under the RPOC TO 3 FY13 (NExSyS) task order in the Technical Library.