

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/ MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>		1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1   9
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. <b>Amendment 2</b>	3. EFFECTIVE DATE <b>See Block 16C</b>	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY <b>NASA Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center Attn: Cynthia Maclean, Mail Code BR 2101 NASA Parkway Houston, Texas 77058</b>	CODE	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State, and ZIP Code)			

CODE	FACILITY CODE
(x) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. <b>NNJ13476977R</b>	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) <b>7/11/13</b>
10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers  is  is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning  copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.(x)

(x)	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	d. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor  is not,  is required to sign this document and return \_\_\_\_\_ copies to the issuing office.

14. description of amendment/modification (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

The purpose of this amendment is to post questions and answers in response to the RFP and to make associated changes.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) <b>Cynthia Maclean</b>
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR  <i>(Signature of person authorized to sign)</i>	15C. DATE SIGNED
16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY <i>Cynthia Maclean</i> <i>(Signature of Contracting Officer)</i>	16C. DATE SIGNED <b>7/30/13</b>

Continuation of Block 14 of the SF-30 Amendment to the Solicitation

The purpose of Amendment 2 is to:

1. Answer questions submitted by Industry and to make associated changes to the RFP as documented as reflected in 2-4 below.
2. Revise Section L.11, Communication Regarding This Solicitation, page 6 to revise the date written and electronically submitted questions are due to August 1, 2013.
3. Revise Section L.14.3.2 for Past Performance page count on page L-8.
4. Amend Section L.14.3.2 (3), page L-21.
5. Add Attachment L-06, Questions of Characteristics for Athena Support

Replacement pages for the aforementioned changes are attached.

**ATIC Questions and Answers**

7. **Question:** “Please provide a list of S/W Applications to be maintained under ATIC and the SLOC (Source Lines Of Code) Code Count. “

**Answer:** There is no software development in the MPS task order; therefore, it does not contain source lines of code (SLOCs); however, the maintenance of computer software applications and databases in this task order pertains mostly to databases or files maintained on Microsoft software products.

8. **Question:** “Please provide a list of the Control Boards that CM will support and their frequency/scheduled duration of meetings.”

**Answer:** The MOD Control Board is held as required, usually on Wednesday and depending on agenda, can be up to 1 hour per topic in duration. The DX Change Control Board is held bi-weekly on Tuesday and can be 1-2 hours in duration (depending on agenda).

9. **Question:** “The DA32-WI-02\_CDR Mgmt Process.pdf document in the Technical Library references a link to the DA32 Sharepoint site where the Athena user guide is located. However, the document is not accessible without Athena login credentials. Will you either provide login credentials or post the document to the Technical Library?”

**Answer:** The user manual for Athena will not be posted in the technical library because much of the content is proprietary in nature; therefore, login credentials will not be made available.

10. **Question:** “The user manual for the TimePiece application is listed on the DA32 Sharepoint website, but is not accessible without TimePiece login credentials. Will you either provide login credentials or post the document to the Technical Library?”

**Answer:** TimePiece is a module within Athena; therefore, the user manual will not be posted in the technical library because much of the content is proprietary in nature; therefore, login credentials will not be made available.

11. **Question:** “I just discovered that when we log in to the Technical Library using Firefox, the last two bullets of the Athena Skills Requirements.pdf document are missing. When we view the document under Safari, the two bullets providing the programming languages and SQL database information are included. We now know the programming languages and database technologies, but would still like an approximate source lines of code count to aid in determining the effort for maintenance, upgrade, test, etc.”

**Answer:** The approximate number of source lines of code is between 2.2 to 2.4 million. Athena has been in production for the past 6 years with enhancements occurring as requirements become identified and approved. Approved requirements/enhancements are submitted through the task order process within this contract (please see sample enhancements documented on TO 3).

12. **Question:** “Since TO 3 requires integration of TimePiece into Athena, can you provide similar data about the TimePiece application? In lieu of a software specification document, identification of the

programming languages and/or other associated technologies and approximate SLOC would be very helpful.”

**Answer:** Because TimePiece is linked with Athena, TimePiece was developed using the same programming languages (C#, JavaScript, ASP.NET, and SQL database). The approximate number of source lines of is between 2.2 to 2.4 million for both applications.

- 13. Question:** “TO 3 includes a requirement to maintain and update the Athena Training Manual and online training tutorials. Will you post the current Training Manual and documentation about the online training tutorial to the Technical Library?”

**Answer:** The Training Manual and online training tutorials for Athena will not be posted in the technical library because much of the content is proprietary in nature; therefore, login credentials will not be made available.

- 14. Question:** “Our proposed Program Manager obtained contract management experience as an employee for another company. The contract information requested in Section L, Paragraph L.14.3.2 (3) would be about the company she worked for, not her as a person. Similarly, the Past Performance Questionnaire required in Paragraph L.14.3.2 (4) would have to be sent to the customers of the company she worked for. The company she worked for has not given permission to release this information or to contact their customers.

As part of the Past Performance narrative, we are planning to list the contracts she has managed and provide the contract descriptions and values. We will also submit a Key Personnel Resume for her. If needed, she can send a Consent Letter (as defined in Attachment L-05) to the company she worked for in order for you to obtain her personal performance information. Will this suffice in lieu of the current requirements in Paragraphs L.14.3.2 (3) & (4) for Program Manager?”

**Answer:** It is up to each company to submit as much past performance information as they deem adequate and are able to provide in accordance with the instructions in Section L.14.3.2. The transmittal letter (first page of Attachment L-02) can be tailored to request past performance information specific to the Program Manager. In accordance with L.14.3.2 (3), the Consent Letter should be signed by proposed program manager.

- 15. Question:** “Please provide the list of current Integration/Middleware tools used at NASA JSC.”

**Answer:** A list of technical requirements, disciplines and tools used on ATIC is documented on page C-5 in Section C of the RFP.

- 16. Question:** “Any particular middleware technologies such Tibco, Oracle Fusion Middleware or SAP PI is in use currently or planned?”

**Answer:** A list of technical requirements, disciplines and tools used on ATIC is documented on page C-5 in Section C of the RFP. Additionally, the Athena Skills Requirement document posted in the ATIC technical library indicates SAP as a system being utilized.

- 17. Question:** “Can we get to see Lessons Learned Program Plan and Lessons Learned?”

**Answer:** There is not a Lessons Learned Program Plan or Lessons Learned database.

**18. Question:** “Can we get to see Re-procurement Data Package?”

**Answer:** We have not requested the Re-procurement Data package.

**19. Question:** “We have requested access to Technical Library on 7.19.2013 and still could not get the access info. Will the government extend the deadline for the question window?”

**Answer:** This offeror was given access. In the absence of the Contract Specialist, you can come to the Contracting Officer for access. We will extend the period for questions from July 29, 2013 to August 1, 2013.

**20. Question:** “Section B, Page 2, B.4 - bottom row of the table requires OFI for ‘Travel, ODC Rate’ and ‘NTE Fee Rate’. Should they be TBD or filled in with some concrete value? Also the row next to ‘Web Designer I’ is empty.”

**Answer:** The Travel, ODC Rate and NTE Fee Rate should be proposed by the offeror. The row under “Web Designer I” should not be populated with a labor category. Web Designer I is the last labor category.

**21. Question:** “Please confirm if the incumbent can recomplete.”

**Answer:** The incumbent can compete.

**22. Question:** “Please specify area of improvements where incumbent has underperformed or struggled.”

**Answer:** The government does not disclose confidential business information to the public.

**23. Question:** “My Company has not received EEO clearance from the OFCCP. Will you need to submit the EEO clearance request prior to submission of our ATIC proposal?”

**Answer:** The Contracting Officer does not need to submit the EEO clearance request prior to submission of proposals; rather, they need to submit the EEO clearance request before contract award. The OFCCP regional office typically requests 30 days to complete an EEO clearance, and therefore the Contracting Officer will submit the request at least 30 days prior to award.



**QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS SOLICITATION MUST BE PRESENTED IN WRITING** and shall be submitted electronically to the above e-mail address by ~~August 1~~ July 29, 2013 in order that answers may be obtained and disseminated in a timely manner, since it is not expected that a proposal submission date can be extended. Oral questions are not desirable due to the possibility of misunderstanding or misinterpretation. Questions shall not be directed to the technical activity personnel.

(End of provision)

**L.12 Offeror Acceptance Period**

Proposals submitted in response to this solicitation shall remain firm for at least 180 days after the date specified for receipt by the Government and shall contain a statement to this effect.

(End of provision)

**L.13 Period Covered by Procurement**

This solicitation covers a period of a 45-day Phase-In, and 5 years. For contracting purposes:

<u>Anticipated Dates</u>	<u>Duration</u>	<u>Contractual Coverage</u>
02/15/14 – 03/31/14	45 Days	Phase-In
04/01/14 – 03/31/19	5 Years	Base Period

(End of provision)

**L.14 Instructions for Proposal Preparation**

This section provides detailed instructions to Offerors to be used in the preparation of proposals. It is organized as follows:

<b>Paragraph</b>	<b>Paragraph Title</b>
L. 14.1	Introduction
L. 14.2	Proposal Arrangement, Page Limitations, Copies, and Due Dates
L. 14.3	Performance Price Tradeoff Proposal Instructions
L.14.3.1	Technical Acceptability Factor
L.14.3.2	Past Performance Factor
L.14.3.3	Price Factor
L. 14.4	Eligibility Considerations
L. 14.5	Model Contract

**L.14.1 Introduction**

Title	Section ID	Title	Section ID
Eligibility Considerations - Volume IV	L.14.4	Eligibility Considerations	M.3.4
Model Contract - Volume V	L.14.5	Model Contract	M.3.5

**L.14.2 Proposal Arrangement, Page Limitations, Copies, and Due Date**

The entire proposal is due by 08/12/2013 at 1:30 p.m. Central Time. The delivery location is specified in L.9, Proposal Marking and Delivery. Late proposals will not be accepted in accordance with FAR 52.215-1, "Instructions to Offerors – Competitive Acquisition".

- (a) Proposal Arrangement: Offerors shall arrange their proposals as set forth below in Table L-2.

**Table L-2: Overview of Proposal Volumes, Page Limitations, Proposal Copies, and Due Dates**

Date and Time Due	Delivery Location	Volume No.	Title	Page Limits	Electronic Format	Copies
08/12/13 1:30 PM CST	JSC (See L.9)	I	Technical Acceptability	55 Pages		6 Hard copy, 4 CD-ROM
			Management and Staffing Plan (DRD 01)	Included in Limit	MS Word	
			Phase-In Plan (DRD 02)	Included in Limit	MS Word	
			Technical Approach	Included in Limit	MS Word	
			Total Compensation Plan (DRD 07)	Not Included in Limit	MS Word	
			Safety and Health Plan (DRD 09)	Not Included in Limit	MS Word	
08/12/13 1:30 PM CST	JSC (See L.9)	II	Past Performance	3025 Pages		6 Hard copy, 4 CD-ROM
			Key Personnel Resume	Max 2 Pages per key person, Included in Limit	MS Word	
			Past Performance Information	Included in Limit	MS Word	
			OSHA Forms 300 and 300A	Not Included in Limit		

performance within 3 years from date of the solicitation will be considered recent. Offerors with no past performance experience shall so state.

(3) The following information shall be provided:

- Contract number
- Contract value (If other than the prime, provide the overall contract value and the subcontract contract value)
- Employing Agency/Company Name
- Point of Contact (including address, telephone and fax numbers, and e-mail addresses)
- If a Government Agency, include both the Contracting Officer and Contracting Officer's Technical Representative points of contact
- Contract Description
- Place of Performance
- Period of Performance
- Contract Type
- Status of Contract [current, terminated (if so, why), successfully completed (include completion date)]
- Consent Letters executed by each subcontractor, teaming partner, proposed program manager, and/or joint venture partner, authorizing the release of past performance information so the offeror can respond to such information. See sample consent letter at Attachment L-05.
- Submit information on contracts that you consider relevant in demonstrating your ability to perform the proposed effort. The submission shall include rationale supporting your assertion of relevancy. This submission shall clearly detail what portions of the Statement of Work, the prime, joint venture, subcontractors and proposed program manager are responsible for and/or proposing to do as they relate to the relevant contract being proposed. To help the government further determine the relevancy of your past performance, please address questions in Attachment L-06XX. For a description of the characteristics or aspects the Government will consider in determining relevance, see Section M.3.2. If the Past Performance volume includes data on any parent or affiliated company that is not a proposed team member, then provide a narrative to address the specific resources (workforce, management, facilities, or other resources) of the parent or affiliated company, and the degree to which these resources will be provided or relied upon for contract performance, such that this parent or affiliated company will have meaningful involvement in contract performance.
- Explain which divisions, business units, segments, or other organizations of your company are proposed to perform the effort. Provide information regarding the relationship and types of resources shared (workforce, management, facilities, or other resources) between any divisions, business units, groups, segments, or other organizations in your company which are proposed to perform the effort. Provide an organizational chart displaying the relationships between divisions, business units, groups, segments, or other organizations which are proposed to perform the effort. Complete the incorporated matrix as part of your response. In the event of a conflict between the narrative and the relevancy matrix, the narrative will take precedence as the Offeror's intended response.



**Questions of Characteristics for Athena support:**

1. Give specific examples of Web based project information/integration tool/tools built that were used in production for extended periods.
2. How many routine users access the system daily?
3. What technologies were used to develop the tool?
4. What elements or modules were incorporated into the tool?
5. Does the tool have a change document tracker? How does the baseline change document tracker work?
6. Describe data mapping and relationship building capabilities of the system?
7. How is workload and labor tracking incorporated? Describe details of how labor is linked to cost elements?
8. Describe how multiple work breakdown structure (WBS) elements are linked.
9. Is the tool referenced browser independent?
10. Describe specifics of how risk management elements are integrated with the cost elements within the system?
11. Does the system contain timesheet gathering capabilities?
12. Are timesheet data elements integrated to baseline budget elements within system?
13. Is timesheet system web based and linked to other labor reporting?
14. Describe reporting, charting and export capabilities?
15. Describe your software development methodology?

