

### Questions and Answers Phase 3

1 – In Exhibit 7, Recurring ODCs-CERs, there are amount that appear to be plug ODCs in Rows 51 – 60; should these amount be included in Exhibit 1A, Exhibit 1B and Exhibit 3?

*A: No, the ODCs in Exhibit 7 rows 51-60 have been deleted. However, Amendment 3 adds a new exhibit (Exhibit 20 - Offeror Pass Through Cost for Other Direct Cost) that includes ODC plug numbers which shall be loaded in accordance with the offeror's accounting system. The total loaded ODCs shall be included in Exhibit 1B and Exhibit 3.*

2 – In Section L.17.2(a) j of the RFP, the second paragraph says “The following ODCs (travel, honoraria, meeting room rental, NSPIRES licensing, hardware equipment and supplies) have been provided for evaluation purposes only.” How do the given ODCs relate to this section which is about recurring ODCs?

*A: See previous answer at question #1.*

3 – In Section L.17.2(a) j of the RFP, the third paragraph says “If all recurring ODCs are included in your direct expenses, DO NOT remove them from your indirect pools and include them in this exhibit. If you do not have any established CERs, insert “NONE” in this exhibit.” It appears the directions are asking us to keep recurring ODCs both in as direct costs and as indirect costs. Shouldn't recurring ODCs be proposed as either direct or indirect costs?

*A: Revisions made on L.17.2(j) to read as follows: If any recurring ODCs are included in your indirect expenses, DO NOT remove them from your indirect pools and include them in this exhibit. If you do not have any established CERs, insert “NONE” in this exhibit.  
See Amendment 3.*

4 - The most recent amendment made a change to L.17.1 paragraph 6. The exhibits that the significant subcontractors are to fill out are now called out as “Exhibits 2A, 2B, and 4 through 12A.” ASRC are requesting that Exhibits 12 and 12A (RTO's) be proposed at the prime level for proprietary reasons. Our subcontractors do not know what each other's loaded rates are, nor do they know what our prime loadings will be. Because of this, we do not believe that our significant subcontractors will be able to accurately price the RTO's.

*A: Significant subcontractor data may be submitted sealed or directly to NASA as long as it is received by the proposal due date and time; and should only reflect the effort being performed by that significant subcontractor.*

5 - Further clarification is requested as it pertains to question and answer 17 of Amendment 2. Please confirm that per L.16 3. Mission Suitability Instructions by Subfactor, Subfactor A and B, only the RTOs are required to include projected hours and schedule for completing the effort.

*A: Yes, that is correct.*