

Questions and Answers #3 OCEAN COLOR IV RFP

1. In the Questions and Answers #2, Question #6 states: "...a significant subcontractor is defined as a subcontractor expected to exceed 10% of the proposed cost for each RTO." The RFP Cost Exhibits footnote states: "*Significant Subcontractor (>10% of an RTO estimate)..." but Sections L.15 and L.18 state, "...significant subcontractors [defined as any subcontract that exceeds 25% of the proposed estimate for any RTO]..." We assume the revised definition stated in RFP Sections L.15 and 18 is the correct language. Please confirm which definition is appropriate.

Answer: Yes, the 25% threshold as stated in Sections L.15 and L.18 is correct. Amendment 1 corrects the Cost Exhibits for RTO's 1, 2, and 3.

2. Section L.8 (c), Responsibility of Offeror, states in part, "...each Offeror shall identify all its current contracts..." Depending on the size of a respective Offeror, the requested list of "... **all** its current contracts..." could be significant in length and potentially include tens of thousands of contracts and be hundreds of pages long. We are not sure NASA wants a listing of all company contracts that would be of this size. Is it possible NASAs intent is to request the identification of all contracts where an actual or potential OCI may exist rather than a list of every contract?

Answer: Yes. Amendment 1 revises the language to request that Offerors shall identify all its current contracts where an actual or potential OCI may exist.