

Attachment 1: AMOS Draft RFP Questions/Answers

#	Reference	Question/Comment	Answer
1	L.27.3, MA4 Key Personnel and DRD-M17 Key Personnel Plan.	<p>Would the Government consider limiting the number of required resumes for proposal submission to Executive Manager and Alternate and allow the awardee to interview incumbent personnel for potential retention at contract award? The complete resumes for those positions could be provided at the post award conference or another date that would allow the awardee to interview the incumbent personnel and retain critical experience for the Aircraft Maintenance Operation.</p>	<p>The Final Request for Proposals (FRFP) will be amended in all pertinent sections of the FRFP to reduce the number of Key Personnel qualification packages required at proposal submission. The qualification packages of employees responsible for leading or managing the functions listed below will be evaluated as part of the offeror's proposal.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Executive Manager d. Maintenance e. WB-57 Maintenance f. Engineering g. Logistics <p>Qualification packages consist of completed resume forms attached to DRD-M17 for each of the individuals identified above.</p>
2	Workload	<p>Showing the historical number of maintenance actions is important. Thank you for providing this information.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Will NASA provide historical man hours associated with this workload? 2. How does the notional manning provided in the solicitation correlate to the historical workload? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No, NASA will not provide the historical man hours associated with the workload in question. 2. There is no direct correlation between the notional manning provided in the solicitation and the historical workload.
3	Safety and Health	<p>If an offeror is a division or business unit of a large corporation, will NASA require safety statistics for the specific business unit performing the NASA AMOS</p>	<p>The responses should parallel the examples provided as evidence of past performance.</p>

		contract, or will a corporate-level summary be sufficient?	That is, the OSHA logs (which are site or establishment specific) should pertain to the worksites the offeror has identified as examples of their past performance.
4	Pre-Proposal Conference Briefing Past Performance Questionnaire Template	During the briefing, it was stated that the Past Performance “Templates” be provided in the proposal. The only template is the Questionnaire for reference to complete. Please clarify you do not want the Questionnaires in the proposal. Only the information requested in L.2.7. Also, are you requesting offerors provide a Tab for the completed questionnaires so the Government can insert those when they are returned from references?	<p>The Past performance Template referred to in the briefing is <i>Attachment L-2 Past Performance Questionnaire Template</i> found in Section L of the Draft RFP (DRFP). In accordance with provision L.27.7.F Past Performance Questionnaires, “The Offeror shall provide their customer references with an Attachment L-2, Past Performance Questionnaire Template.” “The Offeror shall request the customer references to fully complete the questionnaire in accordance with the instructions on the form and to return it in accordance with the return instructions on the form, on or before the Volume III due date.”</p> <p>Therefore, the Government does not require Questionnaires in the Offeror’s proposal nor does the Government require a Tab for the completed questionnaires.</p>
5	L.24 Property Management Plan Info	Where in the proposal should this information be submitted? L.24 does not specify.	The FRFP will remove the requirement for a Property Management Plan to be submitted with the proposal. In addition, Section J, Attachment J-1 DRD-L03.f will be revised to read: “1. Initial: At Contract Start. 2. Final: 30 days after beginning of Contract start.”
6	L.22, Total Compensation Plan and M-09 Wage and Benefits	Please clarify these required proposal elements are submitted only in the Cost Volume and not the Mission Suitability Volume. L.22 does not specify where in the proposal the plan should go. However, the templates provided in the Cost Volume Section appear to indicate	DRD-M15 Total Compensation Plan will be evaluated as part of <i>the Management-MS Sub-factor 1</i> evaluation. DRD-M09 Wage, Salary and Fringe Benefit Data will be changed in the FRFP to reflect "due at contract start." Appropriate changes in DRD-M09, and Sections L and M will be made in the FRFP.

		they only go in the Cost Volume.	
7	Workforce Demographics	<p>NASA’s pricing sheets require the offeror to propose the percentage of incumbent workforce that will be retained for each labor category. As explained, this information will be used to adjust the cost of an offeror based on the current pay rates for incumbents.</p> <p>1. Will the Government provide a seniority roster and demographic information for the union work force?</p> <p>2. Also, why is the Government asking for this information for the Fixed Price portion of the effort? Is NASA suggesting that they will adjust an offeror’s Fixed Price?</p>	<p>No, the Government will not provide a seniority roster or demographic information on the current contractor’s union work force or any other information that maybe proprietary and therefore inappropriate for disclosure.</p> <p>2. Section M.5 “Firm-Fixed Price” in the Draft RFP reads that “In accordance with FAR 15.404-1(d) (3), Cost Realism Analysis, and NFS 1815.305(a) (vi) Programmatic Risk to Mission Success, to ensure that the proposed resources are adequate and will not create a risk of quality or service shortfalls, the Government will perform cost realism in the labor and non-labor resources proposed for the fixed-price portion of the contract. The results of the analysis will be used in performance risk assessment and will be presented to the Source Selection Authority (SSA).”</p> <p>The offeror’s Fixed Price will not be adjusted as a result of this analysis</p>
8	General	<p>Please provide copies of all CBAs and any supplemental agreements as they apply to each site/location. These documents are essential for bidders to understand the labor costs associated with the NASA AMOS program. CBAs stipulate labor agreement for such areas as vacation, pension, and benefits which are critical in understanding CBA driven costs. Our experience is that these are always provided for DoD competitive bids.</p>	<p>A PDF version of the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) has been posted to the AMOS Technical Library. The CBA is located at http://procurement.jsc.nasa.gov/ under the hyperlink entitled “Technical Library.”</p>

9	Section C, 4.8.3.3.6, pg C-52	We are unable to find AOD WI33953 in the Technical Library. Please make this document available.	Aircraft Operation Directorate (AOD) Work Instruction (WI) 33953, <i>Water Survival Training Curriculum</i> , has been added to the AMOS Technical Library under the sub-heading entitled “AOD Technical Library Data.” The FRFP will be amended to include Work Instruction (WI) 33953, <i>Water Survival Training Curriculum</i> , to Section C, Appendix C, “ <i>Applicable Documents.</i> ”
10	1). Table 1-1, pg C-6	Please provide further clarification for each JSC aircraft type and for all backshop functions which elements are FP and which are COST.	<p>1. NASA JSC T-38 aircraft are the only aircraft categorized as Fixed Price (FP) under the AMOS SOW. <u>ALL</u> T-38 aircraft Organizational, Intermediate, and Depot level maintenance is covered under the FP portion of the AMOS Contract provided the particular work in question is covered by approved technical data as noted in Section C, <i>Description/Specifications/Statement of Work</i>, Table 1-1 and defined in Section 1.4 entitled “<i>Work Supported or Not Supported by Approved Technical Data.</i>” T-38 maintenance not covered by approved technical data as defined in sub-paragraph 1.4 will be handled in accordance with process outlined in sub-paragraph 1.4.2.</p> <p><u>ALL</u> other aircraft are categorized as Cost for all Organizational, Intermediate and Depot level maintenance activity.</p>
10 a	2). Section C 6.0, pg C-80 thru C-95	1. <u>Aircraft</u> : Table 1-1 (FP/COST) - Are bidders to assume that all JSC aircraft listed in Table 1-5 are supported by approved technical data or are only T-38 aircraft supported by approved technical data? If all aircraft types are supported, then is labor for all aircraft types to be considered as FP? The confusion comes from the next	Yes, all JSC aircraft listed in Section C.1.5.1.2 Table 1-5 JSC Aircraft and Engine Assignments are supported by approved technical data. A listing of approved technical data for all aircraft listed in Table 1-5 is located in Section C, <i>Description/Specifications/Statement of Work</i> , Appendix C,

		bullet starting with "For all other aircraft:" where labor is to be COST.	<p><i>Applicable Documents</i> contained in the AMOS Contract.</p> <p>No, the labor “for all other aircraft”, whether it is supported by approved technical data or not, is considered to fall within the category of cost.</p>
10 b	Backshop Labor	2. <u>Backshop Labor</u> : The WBS shows grey for most backshop functions which indicate some mix of FP and COST.	<p>Backshop touch labor can be a mix of FP and Cost as it applies to all Intermediate and Depot level maintenance activities associated with supporting JSC aircraft and/or equipment. However, as noted in Section C.1.3 Table 1-1: Requirement Categories, all back shop touch labor associated with support equipment, aviator’s life support equipment, and T-38 aircraft is FP. Back shop maintenance activities associated with all other aircraft Intermediate and Depot level maintenance is categorized as Cost.</p>
10 c	3). WBS, after pg Attach J-1 DRD M03 pg 2 of 2	a. Table 1-1 and the legend definition state the grey if for T-38 labor, SE Equip labor, and Aviators Life Support Equip labor only. For each shop colored grey, how are the bidders to determine the portions of the backshop work, by shop, that is FP versus the portion which is COST?	<p>A potential Offeror can refer to the AOD Historical Data located at http://procurement.jsc.nasa.gov/amos/tl/library1.htm to determine scope of maintenance associated with the T-38 aircraft during fiscal year (FY) 09 and FY-10 and other maintenance associated with T-38 components specifically for periods November 2006 through January 2011.</p> <p>A potential Offeror can refer to the Projected Scheduled Maintenance located at http://procurement.jsc.nasa.gov/amos/tl/library1.htm for all elements categorized as FP under the SOW to forecast maintenance requirements as they apply to the T-38 aircraft, Life Support Equipment, Calibration and Support Equipment (all elements categorized as FP in the SOW). An updated version of these documents will be posted to the technical</p>

			<p>library prior to FRFP release.</p> <p>No historical data for elements categorized as Cost will be provided to a potential Offeror. A potential Offeror can refer to Attachment L-4, Government Labor Resource Estimate, to assist in determining skill mixes required to support these various Cost requirements.</p>
10 d	3). WBS, after pg Attach J-1 DRD M03 pg 2 of 2	b. The same question applies to the three grey blocks under Contract Mgt: Surge Rqmnts, Config Mgt, and CCP.	If labor under these headers is associated with a FP element of the SOW, the labor will be charged to the FP portion of the contract. All labor expended to support efforts other than FP will be charged to the Cost portion of the contract.
11	H.20, pg H-69	<p>1. Please confirm the LOE COST direct labor hours for both JSC and LaRC are for the entire period of performance.</p> <p>2. Please provide the annual LOE COST direct labor hours for both JSC and LaRC.</p>	<p>1. The Level-of-Effort (Cost) hours shown in Clause H.20 are for the Basic Period. Clause F.6 OPTION TO EXTEND PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE Option 1 and Option 2 provide the level-of-effort (Cost) hours associated with each particular Option.</p> <p>2. Attachment L-4 Level of Effort (LOE) provides the Labor Distribution for both JSC and LaRC</p>
12	<p>1). Section B Table B-1</p> <p>2). Section C App D (Job Descriptions)</p> <p>3). J-4-3 (Equivalent Rates)</p>	<p>Please clarify job title differences across each reference. For example:</p> <p>1. Helper - Listed (Table B-1 and JD); Not Listed (J-4-3 or Atch L-4)</p> <p>2. Tech Library Spt - Listed (JD and Atch L-4); Not Listed Table B-1 or J-4-3)</p> <p>3. Key Personnel - Listed (JD); Not listed (Table B-1, J-4-</p>	<p>1. Table B-1, JSC LOE Rates for Cost Portion of the SOW, will be updated in the FRFP to remove the “Helper” job category.</p> <p>A review of Attachment J-4-3, Statement of Equivalent Rates for Federal Hires and L-4, FFP JSC Labor Distribution (line item 37) indicate “Helper” is listed on both attachments. These attachments are correct.</p> <p>2. Tech Library Support’ listed in Attachment L-4 will be changed to read ‘Logistics Liaison’ in the FRFP to match Table</p>

	4). Attach L-4 (Govt LOE distribution)	3, or Attch L-4) 4. J-4-3 has many titles not found in other references 5. Please adjust Attachment L-4 LOE as necessary	B-1. 3. DRD-M17 “Key Personnel” will be updated in the FRFP to add LaRC Executive Manager. 4 Yes, Attachment J-4-3, Statement of Equivalent rates for Federal Hires, has titles not found in other references. It was not intended that there is a direct match between job descriptions in Section C, Appendix D-8 Personnel Requirements and the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA). The CBA is only intended to provide potential offerors with information as to the current contractor’s agreement with the Union. 5. Attachment L-4 LOE will be reviewed and adjusted in the FRFP, if required.
13	Attachment L-4	1. Please confirm the low LOE for the QA representative.	Quality Control, Section C. 10.0 Quality Control is a FP element to support all type/model/series aircraft and equipment at JSC to include Organizational, Intermediate and Depot level maintenance. Attachment L-4, LOE Government Labor Resource Estimate (line item 53), QA Representative, contains those labor hours required for QA to support deployment requirements (Section C. 10.1.9) away from Ellington Field as these specific hours would be considered Cost.
14.	Transmittal Letter cover page – last paragraph, 3 rd line	“No Government Property will be made available or provided to the contractor as Government Furnished Property (GFP) under this clause.” Same paragraph invokes NFS 1852.245-71 (JAN 2011) which invokes FAR 52.245-1 Government Property.	The following is provided in order to clarify the answer. <u>Property</u> is both equipment (regardless of unit cost) and materials (regardless of unit cost). <u>Government Furnished Property (GFP)</u> is property that NASA provides to a contractor to accomplish the contract outside the

		Please clarify.	<p>physical boundaries of a NASA Center location. It is property that the contractor would be accountable for and would report on their annual NASA Form 1018 entitled “NASA Property in the Custody of Contractors.” It is property that the contractor would control and manage in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Clause 52.245-1 “Government Property.” In a more general application, it could include not only the property provided by the Government, but also property acquired or fabricated by the contractor and charged to the contract. GFP does not include Installation Accountable Government Property.</p> <p><u>Contractor Acquired Property (CAP)</u> is property that the contractor is authorized to purchase or fabricate in accordance with the contract and charge directly to that authorizing contract.</p> <p><u>Installation Accountable Government Property</u> is property that would be provided to the contractor for use on a NASA Center. It is property in which the NASA Center would be accountable. The contractor would not report this property on their annual NASA Form 1018. The contractor is using that property at no charge. This property would be used by the contractor in accordance with NASA FAR Supplement (NFS) Clause 1852.245-71 “Installation Accountable Government Property.” If this property is physically moved off the Center to the contractor it becomes GFP.</p> <p>NFS 1852.245-71 indicates that all property on this contract will be NASA Center accountable and will be located on the Center and will be supplied at no charge to the contractor for use of the AMOS contract. If the contractor procures (or fabricates) property (that would be CAP not GFP) in support of this contract, they shall deliver that property to the Center for the Center to assume accountability. Until the contractor delivers that procured (or fabricated) property to the Center, the</p>
--	--	-----------------	--

			<p>contractor must control that property and report that property in accordance with FAR Clause 52.245-1. The Government wants to ensure that property not located “on-site” at a NASA Center, and therefore not accountable to the Center yet, is controlled by the contractor as if it was GFO. This is the reason why FAR Clause 52.245-1 is included.</p> <p>NFS Clause 1852.245-71 also states that if property is transferred to an off-center location that it will be considered to be GFP (accountability would be transferred) and controlled in accordance with FAR Clause 52.245-1. So all the property will be installation accountable, except when it is transferred off-center to the contractor. This particular situation is not typical and would represent non-standard operations.</p> <p>Regarding NFS Clause 1852.245-81, NFS 1845.107-70(1) requires it in “solicitations when Government property will be made available for contract performance.’</p> <p>In regards to NPR 4100.1 “NASA Inventory Management Manual,” even though the contractor will not be provided GFP (does not stop them from obtaining CAP though), they must adhere to certain regulations and procedures related to the control and management of Government property that is in their physical possession, regardless of how short a period of time or where it is located or if they are accountable or not. If it is CAP, even for a short period, the contractor must use FAR Clause 52.245-1 to manage and control that property until physically transferred to JSC.</p>
15	Section B.3, Pg. B-11	Section uses the term “Delivery Orders”. Section B.2.1.3 uses the term “Task Orders”. Are the terms synonymous?	<p>No, the two terms are not synonymous.</p> <p>Clause B.3 will be revised in the FRFP to change “delivery order” to “task order” and “delivery orders” to “task orders”.</p>

16	Section C, Pg. C-69 and Section L4.11.2	Configuration Tracking Comment is “See SOW Subsection 0”. Please clarify where we will find “Subsection 0”.	The Draft RFP Section C, L4.11.2 contained the correct reference of 4.11.2.
17	Section C, Pg C-167, para 10.1.1.	<p>The DRFP requires "the contractor's QMS shall be certified to the quality requirements of AS-9110 within one year of contract award."</p> <p>Will the government consider approving a contractor QMS being certified to ISO 9001:2008 or CMMI Level III in lieu of the AS-9110 requirement?</p>	<p>Due to the criticality and complexity of the work defined in this contract, NASA Policy Directive 8730.5 requires the application of a higher level Quality Management System than ISO 9001-2008.</p> <p>No, the Government will not consider approving a contractor’s QMS being certified to ISO 9001:2008 or CMMI Level III in lieu of the AS-9110.</p>
18	Section F, Pg. F-22 Section H.22.1/H.22.3 and pg F-23, H.22.1/H.22.3	Appears to be a reference problem. H.22 relates to Observation of Safety Stand Down Contractor employees. Please clarify that these references should be H.20 for JSC and LaRC respectively vice H.22.	The reference in Clause F.6 should be H.20 in lieu of H.22. Clause F.6 in the DRFP will be revised in the FRFP to change the reference to H.20 in lieu of H.22.
19	Section F, Pg F-22 / F-23 and H-20, pg H-69	<p>1. Please confirm the total hours listed in H-20 (a) are for the period of performance from 20 Apr 12 through 30 Sep 13 only.</p> <p>2. Pg F-22/23: H-20/H-22.1/H-22.2 all addresses the "addition" of hours. Please verify that the intent of the paras is to identify hours in addition to the original period of performance vice indicating the new total. For example, when exercising Option 1 is the new total at JSC 773,361 (305,400 + 467,961) or is the new total 467,961?</p>	<p>1. The total number of hours listed in Clause H.20 (a) are for the period of June 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013.</p> <p>2. The number of hours shown in Clause F.6 is in addition to the hours shown in H.20 (a).</p>

20	Section G, Pg. G-36, Section G.6 (a)	Please confirm that reference should read 1852.216-85 vice 1852.216-76.	<p>The reference to NASA FAR Supplement clause 1852.216-76 is correct.</p> <p>However, Clause G.6 (a) will be changed in the FRFP to read “The Contractor can earn award fee from the cost portion of the contract from a minimum of zero dollars to the maximum stated in paragraphs B.2.1.1 and B.2.1.2 of clause B.2 ESTIMATED COST, FIXED PRICE AND AWARD FEE (Applies to Fixed-Price and Cost).</p>
21	Section G, Pg. G-39, Section G.8 (a)	Recommend changing second line to read, “NASA FAR Supplement clause 1852.216-85 B.1, Estimated Cost and Award Fee in this contract.	<p>NASA will not make the recommended change.</p> <p>However, Clause G.8 (a) will be revised in the FRFP to read: “The Contractor can earn award fee from a minimum of zero dollars to the maximum stated in paragraphs B.2.1.1 and B.2.1.2 for the Fixed Price elements of clause B.2 ESTIMATED COST FIXED PRICE AND AWARD FEE (Applies to Fixed-Price and Cost).”</p>
22	Section I, Pg. I-78, I.75	52.229-10 State of New Mexico Gross Receipts State of New Mexico Gross Receipts and Compensating Tax. Please clarify the need for this General provision.	<p>The prescription to the clause (see FAR 29.401-4 New Mexico gross receipts and compensating tax) requires the clause in (a)a cost-reimbursement contract; (2) the contract authorizes the contractor to acquire tangible personal property as a direct cost under a contract and title to such property passes directly to and vests in the United States upon delivery of the property by the vendor; and (3) The contract will be for services to be performed in whole or in part within the State of New Mexico.</p> <p>The first two requirements are applicable as the Government must ensure potential Offerors would have the ability to provide the services described within the AMOS SOW, in whole or in part, within the State of New Mexico if these services are</p>

			required. .
23	Section I, Pg. I-79, I.96	52.237-11 Accepting and Dispensing of \$1 Coin. Please clarify the need for this General provision.	FAR Clause 37.116.-1 requires that business operations performed on Government premises provide for accepting and dispensing of existing and proposed \$1 coins as part of the operations on and after January 1, 2008.
24	Attch J-1, DRD S02, 2.2	<p>1. Please describe the JSC government provided resources for industrial hygiene.</p> <p>2. The page numbers for S02 should be changed to reflect S02 vice S01.</p>	<p>1. Examples of JSC Government provided resources for industrial hygiene include: Personnel physical examinations, Audiology and Hearing Conservation examinations, Flu shots, Occupational Health training, Ergonomic training, Safety and Health Newsletter and AED training. Additional resources are listed on the JSC Occupational Health and Safety website: http://sd.jsc.nasa.gov/OMOH/default.aspx</p> <p>2. The reference to AMOS-DRD-S01 will be changed in the FRFP to read AMOS-DRD-S02.</p>
25	Attach. J-2-2, Pg. 2 of 16, 1st. Paragraph	FAR reference should read FAR 16.404 – Fixed Price Contracts with Award Fees.	The reference to FAR Clause 16.405-2 will be modified in the FRFP to reflect FAR Clause 16.404 <i>“Fixed-Price Contracts With Award Fees.”</i>
26	Section L.27.8 Pg. L-139, subpara. (g)	Clarify the last line should read Volume III vice Volume IV.	The FRFP will be modified to reflect that the last line should read Volume III vice Volume IV in Section L.27.8 Pg. L-139, sub-paragraph (g).
27	Section L.27.9, pg L-152 Paragraph (a), 3rd. Line	“The Offeror shall provide four signed original SF 33s”. Next line down in BOLD print states, “All SF33s require original signatures; the Offeror shall provide 3 originals. Is the correct total number of original SF 33’s required, 3 or	The FRFP will be modified to reflect that the Offeror is to provide three signed original SF 33s.

		4?	
28	Section L.27.9, pg L-152 Paragraph (a), last sentence	Recommend correcting the reference from K.2 to K.7	The FRFP will be modified to read K.7 instead of K.2 in Section L.27.9, Paragraph (a), last sentence.
29	Section L27.9, pg L-152, para (a) iii	Proposal validity states 350 days while the preproposal conference states 240 days. Please clarify the correct number of days.	The FRFP will be modified to reflect a proposal validity time period of 240 days in all instances.
30	Attch 3 and Attch 4	Where in the Govt provided data, Attch 3 or Attch 4, are the staffing numbers for Edwards AFB and El Paso? Please breakout by site so bidders can better understand the estimated workload at each site	Attachment L-3, <i>Technical Resources Summary Templates</i> , and L-4, <i>Government Resource Estimate</i> , will be updated in the FRFP to reflect staffing at Edwards Air Force Base (AFB) and El Paso.
31	Section C, App D and JSC CBA	<p>1. It is not clear if there is a direct match between the job descriptions provided in APP D for each CBA job classification titles. For example:</p> <p>A. Is the CBA "Supply Expeditor" the same job as the APP D "Logistics Analysis 1-4"?</p> <p>B. Is the CBA "Data Management Clerk" the same job as the APP D "Documentation Specialist 1-2"?</p> <p>C. The CBA lists a single "Aircraft Mechanic" title with a single wage listed yet the APP D describes Aircraft Mechanics 1, 2 and 3.</p>	<p>The Government is not a party to the Collective Bargaining Agreement and is unable to provide any information regarding the contents of the Collective Bargaining Agreement.</p> <p>It was not intended that there is a direct match between job descriptions in Section C, Appendix D-8 Personnel Requirements and the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA). The CBA is only intended to provide potential offerors with information as to the current contractor's agreement with the Union.</p>

		<p>2. Please clarify the ties between the CBA job classification titles and the APP D job descriptions, if any.</p> <p>3. Please provide all CBA attachments to include job descriptions, if there are any.</p>	
32	Attach L-4 FFP JSC Labor Distribution Spread Sheet	<p>1. Acft Mechs I, II, and IIIs do not correspond to CBA titles which makes it difficult to understand how to price the different workforce skill sets. For example:</p> <p>This document shows Airplane General Mechs as Acft Mech IIIs, Avionics Mechs as Acft Mech IIs, and AGE Mechs as Acft Mech I's with the highest wage rates going to the General Mechs. The CBA does not match Attach L-4 in that the GSE (Mech I) and Airplane Gen Mech (Mech III) wage rate ranges are the same and the Avionics (Mech II) wage rate range is higher.</p> <p>Please clarify how bidders are to identify the wage rate hierarchy with the inconsistencies described above.</p>	<p>The Government is not a party to the Collective Bargaining Agreement and is unable to provide any information regarding the contents of the Collective Bargaining Agreement.</p> <p>As stated in 31 above, a direct match between job descriptions in Section C, Appendix D-8 Personnel Requirements and the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) was not intended. The CBA is only intended to provide potential offerors with information as to the current contractor's agreement with the Union.</p>
33	Attach L-4 FFP JSC Labor Distribution Spread Sheet	<p>The Government staffing estimates for Fixed Price breaks out T-38 Shop Support. Please breakout the backshop support for the Level of Effort (Cost) Estimates?</p>	<p>The Government will not provide backshop support specific staffing estimates for Level of Effort (LOE) requirements for the AMOS SOW.</p> <p>Attachment L-4, Government Labor Resource Estimate, is provided to assist potential offerors in determining the skill mixes required to support the level-of-effort cost requirements.</p>
34	Attach L-4 FFP JSC Labor Distribution	<p>Some T-38 Support Shop Services seem to be missing from Fixed Price Estimates (i.e. Pneudraulic Systems and Mechanical Accessories). Please verify all shop support</p>	<p>The Government has reviewed shop support services requirements and has elected to reduce the level of detail provided in the Fixed Price Estimates.</p>

	Spread Sheet	services are correctly addressed.	The Government will provide higher level estimates in the FRFP in place of the original estimates with the intention of providing a more overall picture of the Government's labor resource estimate.
35	Attach L-4 FFP JSC Labor Distribution Spread Sheet	<p>Does the Government's Fixed Price Estimate of 15 FTE for Airplane General (Mech III) include Flightline, Unscheduled Maintenance, and Phase Docks?</p> <p>2. Does the 15 FTE estimate also include El Paso and Edwards?</p>	<p>1. Yes, the Government FFP estimate of 15 FTE for Airplane General (Mech III) includes Flightline, Unscheduled Maintenance and Phase Docks.</p> <p>2. Yes, the Government FFP estimate of 15 FTE for Airplane General (Mech III) includes El Paso and Edwards as applicable in the AMOS SOW paragraphs for category (FP, FP/Cost, or Cost). Refer to AMOS SOW Sub-paragraph 7.8 titled, Forward Operating Locations for additional information.</p>
36	Attach L-4 FFP JSC Labor Distribution Spread Sheet	Does the Government's Fixed Price Estimate of 4 FTE for Powerplant (Mech II) include J-85 Engine Test Cell, J-85 Trim Pad, J-85 Shipping/Receiving, J-85 Overhaul, and J-85 gearbox overhaul?	Yes, the Government's Fixed Price Estimate of 4 FTE for Powerplant (Mech II) includes J-85 Engine Test Cell, J-85 Trim Pad, J-85 Shipping/Receiving, J-85 Overhaul, and J-85 gearbox overhauls.
37	Attach L-4 FFP JSC Labor Distribution Spread Sheet	The Government provides Level of Effort staffing estimates for Langley Research Center, but not Edwards or El Paso. Does the Government intend to provide staffing estimates for Edwards and El Paso separately?	Yes, the Government will provide resource estimates for Edwards and El Paso separately as an attachment in Section L-4 "Government Labor Resource Estimate" in the FRFP.
38	L.27.4 Subfactor 2:	Are the Fixed Price Technical Resources Summary Templates to be included in the Mission Suitability Volume and count against the 150 page count?	Yes, the Fixed Priced Technical Resources Summary Templates are counted against the page limit and are to be included in the Mission Suitability Volume. See Table L-2: Overview of Proposal Volumes and Page Limitations, Technical MS Support Subfactor 2.

			The page limit has been raised in the FRFP to 175 pages.
39	L.27.6 Subfactor 4:	Tables L-1 and L-2 reference SBU3, but there is no SBU3 found in L.27.6.	The references in Table L-1 and L-2 to SBU3 will be deleted FRFP.
40	L.27.7 Past Performance:	Confirm that the Contractor shall provide a statement of small business participation over the last five years for small business. All other Past Performance references require past three years.	Provision L.27.7 Past Performance will be modified in the FRFP to reflect that the Contractor shall provide a statement of small business participation over the last three years in order to remain consistent with the remainder of Section L.27.7.
41	L.27.9.(a)	There are conflicting statements [L.27.9. (a)] on how many original SF33s are required. Please clarify.	The FRFP will be modified to reflect that the Offeror is to provide three signed original SF 33s.
42	On page L-118	Mission Suitability Volume is laid out with Technical as Part 1 and Management as Part 2, yet Table L-1 begins with Management. Please clarify the appropriate order of the Mission Suitability Volume contents.	Provision L.19 NASA FAR Supplement provision 1852.215-81 "PROPOSAL PAGE LIMITATIONS" will be modified in the FRFP to reflect the content of Table L-1 Cross Reference from Section L to Section M.
43	L.27.7.F	L.27.7.F refers to Volume III for Past Performance Questionnaires. Please verify that Past Performance Questionnaires go in Volume II, not Volume III.	Provision L.27.7.F "Past Performance Questionnaires" will be amended in the FRFP to state that the past performance questionnaires are to be submitted in Volume II.
44	DRD-M03: WBS/Dictionary	DRD-M03: WBS/Dictionary is due with proposal. Please confirm what volume and where this is to be submitted? As it's not called out in Table L-2, does it count against page count if it is to be submitted in Volume I: Mission Suitability?	The FRFP will be modified to reflect that DRD-M03: WBS/Dictionary is included as part of Mission Suitability Factor Volume I. Submission of DRD-M03 will count against the page count of Volume I: Mission Suitability. Table L-2 will be modified in the FRFP to clarify page count requirements regarding all DRDs due with proposal.

45	DRD-Q01 and Attachment J-13	Please confirm that DRD-Q01 and Attachment J-13 are one and the same document.	The FRFP will be revised to delete Attachment J-13. The requirement to submit DRD-Q01 remains unchanged.
46	Table L-3	Table L-3 calls for Proposal Copies and due dates. Twelve hard copies are requested but no originals are specified for Volumes I, II and III. Do you require any originals for Volumes I, II and III?	Table L-3 in the FRFP will be revised to require originals for Volumes I, II and III.
47	Section L requirements	There are numerous discrepancies with due dates in Section L requirements, such as the DRDs, and Attachment J cover letters. As an example, portions of DRDs are a part of Mission Suitability requirements in Section L and on the DRD, it specifies those certain subparagraphs due at the initial submission. However, Attachment J cover sheets for the respective plans state they are due with proposal. Another example, DRD-M15 Total Compensation Plan states it is due with proposal, yet Attachment J-4-2 Total Compensation Plan does not say when it is due. What are the correct due dates? Does Section L with the DRD specific requirements and due date take precedence?	The FRFP will be modified to ensure due date and submission content are consistent throughout the RFP.
48	Contracting Officer's cover letter	Contracting Officer's cover letter states that no GFP will be made available or provided to the contractors under this clause. Acquisition Features fourth bullet – "Property under AMOS will be available as installation accountable property in accordance with NASA FAR supplement (NFS) 1852-245-71 (Jan 2011)." However, 9.4.2.2 states: "The Contractor shall manage, inventory, control, use, preserve, protect, repair, and maintain Government property in its possession in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Clause 52.245-1, Government Property and NPR 4100.1, NASA Inventory Management Manual." Please clarify.	See Answer to Question 14.

49	Section C.9.3, (1) (o), iv. Under kitting	Section C.9.3, (1) (o), iv. Under kitting, what are NASA configuration kits and how do they differ from project kits?	The SOW will be updated in the FRFP to remove the reference to configuration kits under Section C.9.3 (1).
50	Section C.9.4.3.3 (1)	Section C.9.4.3.3 (1). Statement leads us to believe you're asking for an AOD 1307 for any item >\$500. Does this preclude maintenance personnel from ordering anything over \$500 for the aircraft without an approved AOD 1307 before it was input into NAMIS? Also, does this include stock replenishment requisitions that are generated from NAMIS to support stock levels or lay in of stock in anticipation of an increase in requirements? Currently, AOD 1307s for DoD ordering as well. Is this form is no longer needed for DoD purchases? Comment: Approximately 50% or more of current requisitions are valued >\$500 which would mean we would be creating a large number of AOD 1307's monthly under this change. Is this the intent of this requirement?	No, Section C.9.4.3.3 (1) does not preclude maintenance personnel from ordering replacement aircraft components from existing AOD supplies. Yes, this requirement does include stock replenishment and lay in of stock in anticipation of increased requirements. Section C.9.4.3.3 (1) does not address DoD supplies. Therefore, AOD 1307 is not applicable to DoD orders.
51	Appendix E2-3: Logistics Performance	Appendix E2-3: Logistics Performance: calls for >98% accuracy in forecasting and maintaining inventory. What is the formula for this calculation inventory forecasting?	Appendix E2-3: 'Logistics Performance' will be updated in the FRFP to remove any reference to forecasting.
52	Reference H.23 Associate Contractor Agreement (ACA) page H-70 and H-71	Where can the offeror obtain contractor points of contact for the contracts listed on pages H-70 and H-71? Is there a NASA reference for ACA content or is contractor format and content acceptable?	Points of contract for the contracts listed will be provided after contract award. Contractor's format and content is acceptable.
53	Reference AMOS-DRD-	Please clarify when this DRD will be required.	Per DRD-M14, Section F "Submission" states that "Contractors must submit their Fiscal Year spending plans for review and

	M14		<p>approval by the JSC CIO, or designee, prior to the beginning of the Fiscal Year. Changes to spending plans during the Fiscal Year must be approved by the JSC CIO, or designee, before implementation. Formats and reporting processes and procedures will be provided annually based upon Center and Agency requirements.”</p> <p>The U.S. Government’s fiscal year begins on October 1 of the previous calendar year and ends on September 30 of the year with which it is numbered.</p>
54	Reference: I.65 52.225-19 Contractor Personnel in a Designated Operational Area or Supporting a Diplomatic or Consular Mission Outside the United States (March 2008), Page I-77.	What type of weapons and ammunition, and under what circumstances, would weapons and ammunition be issued by the Contracting Officer? What property clause applies to Contracting Officer issued weapons and ammunition?	<p>The type of weapons and ammunition will be determined if and when the Government deems these items to be necessary for successful completion of mission objectives.</p> <p>No property clause is associated with the clause.</p>
55	Section J: JSC Data Requirements Description (DRD)s:	Are deliverables fixed price or cost reimbursable? Where in the solicitation can this information be found by deliverable data requirements item? Many of the reports appear “open-ended” and lack references to time dated documents and specific requirements.	<p>Data Requirement Deliverables (DRD)s are a mixture of FP and Cost. The designation of which contract type is applicable to each DRD is dependent upon the contract type of the section in which the specific DRD requirement appears in the Statement of Work.</p> <p>For example, Section C.4.1.4 Deliverables – Management’s provides a Table that designates those listed DRDs as FP</p>

			whereas Section C.9.2 Deliverables-Logistics provides a Table that designates those DRDs as Cost.
56	Reference J-1: AMOS-DRD-M15, Page 1 of 2. Page 1,b. Scope	Reference J-1: AMOS-DRD-M15, Page 1 of 2, Page 1,b. Scope: Indicates “Upon approval, the Total Compensation Plan will become part of the contract as an Attachment in Section J.” Please describe the approval process. Also provide information relative to NASA’s plan to incorporate the offeror’s approved Compensation Plan in the contract as an Attachment in Section J; specifically, how the offeror needs to identify, and how NASA plans to protect competition sensitive, trade secrets, and or proprietary data contained in the offeror’s Compensation Plan? Does this plan include only information for providing the quality of professional services need for contract performance as indicated in the DRD or address all proposed labor categories as indicated on Page L-20, L.22 1852.231-71 Determination of Compensation Reasonableness (Mar 1994)? .	<p>Approval will be the result of evaluation of the Offer’s response to DRD-M15. Total Compensation Plan and any other information pertaining to the compensation found in the proposal. The plan will be evaluated in accordance with FAR 52.222-46, “Evaluation of Compensation for Professional Employees”, and NFS 1852.231-71 “Determination of Compensation Reasonableness</p> <p>The Government respects the right to protect proprietary data. The Offeror’s proprietary rights will be addressed in accordance with the FAR Data Rights Clauses 52.227-11, 52.227-14 and 52.227-23 found in Section I of the RFP.</p> <p>The DRD M-15 captures all proposed labor categories. This will be clarified in the FRFP to show the additional reference to NFS 1852.231-71 in the final document. Additional clarification will include the following: “Submission:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Initial: Due with Proposal, Paragraph c. Content: Subparagraphs 1-6 and 11. 2. Final: Due 30 days after contract award.
57	Reference J-1: AMOS-DRD-M17 Page 1 of 5. Reference c. content:	Reference J-1: AMOS-DRD-M17 Page 1 of 5. Reference c. content: What are “qualification packages” and what is to be included in a qualification package?	The “qualification packages” requested are the resume forms attached to DRD-M17, which are to be completed by the offeror for each of the individuals identified in paragraph c of the DRD.

58	Reference J-1: AMOS-DRD- M17 Page 1 of 5.	Reference c. content: The key personnel listed under the DRD's section c. does not match the information contained on page H-65 under paragraph (c). Which is correct?	DRD-M17 and H.13 will be modified in the FRFP to replace "LaRC Site Manager" with "LaRC Executive Manager."
59	Reference J-1: AMOS-DRD- M05, Page 6	<p>Where in the solicitation can the offeror find a description for the following projects and cost categories listed on page 6 of DRD-M05 and please a Statement of Work reference and/or explanation of how these items relate to DRD-M01 Attachment A?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • T-38 M&O • T-38 Obsolescence • B-727 Zero-G • G-III, N922 • ARRA Phase 1 • ARRA Phase 11 • Facilities 	DRD-M05 will be revised in the FRFP to add a description of the listed items.
60	Reference J-1: AMOS-DRD- M05, Page 9	What is a "NF533 Flat File"?	A "NF 533 flat file" is a plain text or mixed text and binary file, which usually contain one record per line. Within such a record, the single fields can be separated by delimiters, e.g. commas, or have a fixed length. In the latter case, padding may be needed to achieve this length. Extra formatting may be needed to avoid delimiter collision. There are no structural relationships between the records.
61	Reference Appendix E1-1	Please clarify what "acceptable" means as used in Attachment J-2, Award Fee Evaluation Plans. If the performance measurements listed in Appendix 2 J-2-1 and Appendix 2, J-2-2 represent only the "satisfactory" level, what performance measurements will be used by NASA and required of the Contractor to achieve performance	For information regarding award fee plans, see FAR 16.40(e)(3)(ii).

		ratings of “good”, “very good” and “excellent”.	
62	Reference Attachment J-1: AMOS-DRD-M01, Page 2 of 2:	Paragraph xi. references L.26.4, should this be L.27.4?	Yes, Attachment J-1: AMOS-DRD-M01, Paragraph xi should reference 27.4 rather than 26.4. The FRFP will be modified to reference 27.4 rather than 26.4 in Attachment J-1: AMOS DRD-MO1, paragraph xi.
63	Reference Attachment J-1: AMOS-DRD-M01, Page 2 of 2: f.;	Note the numbering is out of sequence.	AMOS-DRD-M01 “Management Plan” paragraph F numbering sequence will be corrected in the FRFP.
64	Reference Attachment J-1: AMOS-DRD-M08, Page 1 of 2: b. Scope:	Should 52.219.9 be 52.219-9? What version (e.g., date) of NASA’s 52.219-9 and 1852.219-75 apply to this solicitation?	Yes, FAR Clause 52.219.9 should read 52.219-9. DRD-MO8 will be corrected in the FRFP. The version (e.g. date) of the referenced clauses is found in Section I.
65	Reference DRD-M09: Page 1 of 5:	Please indicate the paragraph in Section L that describes where in the proposal this DRD information is to be included and the paragraph in Section M that describes how it will be evaluated?	The FRFP will be modified to reflect that DRD-M09 “Wage/Salary and Fringe Benefit Plan” submission is not due with proposal.
66	Reference Attachment J-1: AMOS-DRD-M13, Page 2 of 2. Paragraph f. 1.	Initial does not match the requirement set forth on page L-129 under MA3. Phase-in Plan. Is Item 1) to be submitted with the proposal?	The submission requirement for DRD M13 Contract Phase In Plan in the FRFP will be reconciled between DRD M13 and Section L.27.3 Management Approach (MA) - Mission Suitability Sub Factor 1 MA3. Phase-in Plan.

67	Reference Attachment J-1: AMOS-DRD-M03, Page 2 of 2. Paragraph f. 1.	Indicates initial submission due with the proposal however, this instruction does not appear in Section L providing direction on where this information is to be included in the offeror's proposal. Additionally, there is no guidance on how this information will be evaluated in Section M.	Section L will be updated in the FRFP to indicate instructions on providing DRD-M03 Contractor Work Breakdown Structure and Dictionary. Section M will be updated to provide details on how this information will be evaluated.
68	Reference Attachment J-1: AMOS-DRD-Q01; Page 2 of 2 f.	Comment: Mis-numbered (e.g., missing item 3.) and item .1 indicates sub-paragraphs 1. is due with the proposal. Should this be item c.1. Are the section L and M references for this item?	DRD-Q01 Quality Plan, Section 8.f. (Submission), sub-sections will be updated in the FRFP to reflect correct numbering sequence. In addition, item f.1 will be updated in the FRFP to reflect: Initial: Paragraph C, Content: Sub-paragraph 1. due with proposal. Refer to Table L-3, Section L27.3, Management Approach (MA)-Mission Suitability Sub-factor 1, item MA5, Quality Plan, for this item.
69	Reference Page L-118,	Completed, Signed Model Contract, Reps/Certs, and other Plans to be included in Section IV? Please provide a list of plans to be included in Section IV.	Provision L.19 (a), Proposal Volume IV, description will change in the FRFP to read "Completed, Signed Model Contract, Reps/Certs, and additional information". Provision L.27.1 Tables L-2 and L-3, Volume No. IV Model Contract, descriptions, will be changed in the FRFP to "Sections A-J, with all fill-ins completed; Section K, Representations and Certifications, with all fill-ins completed; and additional information".
70	Reference L.19, Page L-118 and Reference L.27.1, Page L-126	Reference L.19, Page L-118 and Reference L.27.1, Page L-126 do not match; which is correct?	Reference L.19 and Reference L.27.1 will be modified in the FRFP to correct any inconsistencies.

71	Reference M-163	Reference M-163, M.6 Model Contract references to volume and Page L-118 reference to volume do not match don't match; which is correct?	M.6 will be modified in the FRFP to reflect the correct Volume designation references.
72	Reference M-160 M.4.,	There is no Attachment L-8 Past Performance Questionnaires referenced in paragraph 3 included in this draft solicitation. When will it be available?	The reference to L-8 is incorrect. The correct reference is L-2 Past Performance Questionnaires. The reference will be corrected to L-2 Past Performance Questionnaires in the FRFP.
73	L.27.2 Mission Suitability Factor – Volume I (Applied to fixed price and cost).	What is the “baseline requirement” as used in paragraph one? In paragraph four, what is “the Mission Suitability Proposal” is this intended to be Volume I, Mission Suitability, of the offeror’s proposal?	The word “baseline” will be removed in the FRFP from the first paragraph of L.27.2 Mission Suitability Factor – Volume I. to clarify the instructions. The words “Mission Suitability” will be removed in the FRFP from the paragraph four of L.27.2 Mission Suitability Factor – Volume I to clarify the instructions.
74	Page L-27 Table L-3:	Proposal Copies and Due Dates, where in L-26 are the delivery requirements for DCAA? Is this a correct reference?	Delivery requirements for DCAA are found in Provision L.27.8 Requirement for Concurrent Cost Proposal Delivery to DCAA.
75	L-27.3 Management Approach (MA)-Mission Suitability Sub-factor 1 (Applies to Fixed-Price and Cost)	L-27.3 Management Approach (MA)-Mission Suitability Sub-factor 1 (Applies to Fixed-Price and Cost) indicates that “(Reponses to DRDs will be incorporated into the contract).” What is meant by “responses to”? Does this exclude incorporation of resumes that may contain personally identifiable information?	“Responses to” means the document you have submitted in your proposal in response to the DRD requirement in the RFP. For example your response to DRD S02 Safety and Health Plan will be incorporated into the resultant contract. Resumes will not be incorporated into the contract. L.27.3 in the FRFP will be changed to clarify that “resumes will not be incorporated into the contract.”
76	Reference Page L-129, MA5. Quality Plan.	Should this read subparagraph C.1?	No, the reference is correct.

77	Page C-20, Hangar 135 and C-21,	Hangar 8101 list a G-II, where in the Statement of Work is the work requirements for this aircraft type?	Section C, Table 1-2 Ellington Field (EFD) facilities will be updated in the FRFP to delete the GII aircraft. Likewise Section C, Table 1-3 El Paso (ELP) Facilities will be deleted to delete the GII aircraft in the FRFP.
78	Page C-44, 4.1.5 Appendices references;	Please provide the page reference for Appendix E and Appendix F. The Appendix F reference appears to be incorrect. Please Clarify.	Section C, 4.1.5 will be revised in the FRFP to correct the references to the appendices from “Appendix E, Cost Performance Standards and Appendix F, Fixed-Price Performance Standards” to “Appendix E1, Cost Performance Standards and Appendix E2, Fixed-Price Performance Standards”.
79	Page C-53, 4.8.3.3.8;	What is “SOW Subsection 0” and where can it be located in Section C?	The Draft RFP Section C, 4.8.3.8 contained the correct reference of 4.12.4. .”
80	Page C-55, 4.9.1 4);	Will NASA provide training on this system and will this system be available to the offeror at no cost?	Yes, the Government will provide the Contractor training on the use of FedTraveler.com E-Gov Travel Service (ETS) if required at no cost. Refer to AMOS SOW Sub-section 4.8.3.5, Government Provided Training, Item 10, “Other classes as required”.
81	Page C-59, Page C-60. 4.12.4 Engineering Data Management	Page C-59, Page C-60. 4.12.4 Engineering Data Management is identified by NASA as fixed price. If Engineering is Cost Reimbursable it would appear that Engineering Data Management should also be Cost Reimbursable. Please clarify.	The FRFP will be revised to have Section C. 4.12.4.1.1, 4.12.4.1. 2 and 4.12.4.3 as cost rather than fixed-price.
82	Page C-161; 4.13 Information Technology Systems.	Please provide a complete listing of Government -provided IT systems that will be made available to the contractor.	NASA will provide the necessary IT equipment and applications to accomplish the requirements of the SOW such as Workstations and the Microsoft Office Suite of applications, and NASA internet access. Additional Government provided IT systems include, but are

			<p>not limited to, such applications as NASA Aircraft Management Information System (NAMIS) as well as numerous other DoD technical data and logistics management systems.</p> <p>Section C footnote 45 will be revised in the FRFP.</p>
83	Page C-65, Executive Manager, L4.2.1	Page C-65, Executive Manager, L4.2.1 reflects the requirement for a “part-time” Executive Manager yet must be available during the working hours as stated in L4.2.2; what is NASA’s intent?	The requirement for the availability of the part-time LaRC Executive Manager will be clarified in the FRFP
84	Page C-69, L4.11.2 Configuration Tracking	Page C-69, L4.11.2 Configuration Tracking states “see SOW Subsection 0”. What is Subsection 0 and where can it be found?	The Draft RFP Section C, L4.11.2 contained the correct reference of 4.11.2.
85	Section L.27.7 Past Performance	Section L.27.7 Past Performance states a Letter of Consent is requested from subcontractors that perform major or critical aspects of the contract only. Also required in the Safety and Environmental Past Performance (L.27.7.B) is safety information for each company proposed. Is it the intent to have safety information for all subcontractors or just those that will perform critical or major aspects of the contract since a Letter of Consent would have to be required for any sub providing safety and environmental data?	Safety and environmental data is required for only major subcontractors. The FRFP Section L.27.7B will be revised to add: Safety and Environmental Past Performance will only be required for major subcontractors.
86	Not Listed	What is the procurement requirement for this contract? What does the work entail exactly? What is the technology?	Sections A through J of the Request for Proposal provide the requirements for the contract. Section C, Statement of Work describes the technical requirements including applicable technology.

87	Not Listed	Is the work classified?	Section J, Attachment J-5, DD 254, Contract Security Classification Specification block 13 provides the security classification guidance needed for the effort.
88	Not Listed	What are the odds of this facility being granted this contract from 1 to 10 with 1 being not at all and 10 being guarantee?	<p>Per Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 1.102, Statement of guiding principles for the Federal Acquisition System, (a) The vision for the Federal Acquisition System is to deliver on a timely basis the best value product or service to the customer, while maintaining the public's trust and fulfilling public policy objectives. (b) The Federal Acquisition System will— (3) Conduct business with integrity, fairness, and openness.</p> <p>Therefore, given the guidance at FAR 1.102, the Government will not provide the odds of the Offeror's facility being granted the AMOS contract as doing so would violates the guidance at FAR 1.102, which states the Government will conduct business with integrity, fairness, and openness.</p>
89	DRFP Cover Letter and Attachment J-2	<p>The Cover Letter under the header "Acquisition Features" states:</p> <p>1. "The award fee associated with the fixed price portion of this procurement will be 4% of the Offeror's cost exclusive of profit." There is no discussion of award fee associated with the Cost- Plus-Award-Fee portion of the contract.</p> <p>Please clarify if the percentage of award fee that will be available for the Cost-Plus-Award-Fee portion of the contract is also 4% of the Offeror's cost exclusive of profit or if there is a different percentage.</p>	<p>1. Yes, there is no discussion of specific award fee associated with the Cost-Plus-Award Fee portion of the contract.</p> <p>2. Potential Offerors shall propose their maximum award fee for cost in Section B.2.1.1 and B.2.1.2 "Estimated Cost Fixed Price and Award Fee.</p> <p>Section L.27.8 Cost and Price Factor – Volume III, Additional Information Required from the Offerors, Fee states that the potential offeror is to provide a description of their proposed fee/profit structure including a discussion of how they derived it and why it is reasonable for the type of effort.</p>