Question	Document name	Page Number	Section / Paragraph	Line Number (if applicable)	Question - details	Answer
1	RFP	9	B.7 SPECIAL COST Requirements (a) (1) Provisional Billing Rates	5	Offerors are required to fill out Table B.7-1 Provisional Billing Rates by contractor fiscal year and by contract year for the Prime and each cost reimbursable subcontractor. If an offeror only has DCMA approved provisional billing rates for the current FY, can offeror use the forward pricing indirect rates as the basis for subsequent year provisional billing rates? Since these provisional billing rates are company proprietary information, can subcontractor submit this information in a sealed package directly to the Government?	Offerors shall not use forward pricing indirect rates as the basis for subsequent year provisional billing rates. Offerors shall propose provisional billing rates for each contractor fiscal year and contract year for the Prime and each cost reimbursable subcontractor and provide justification and rationale for subsequent years. Subcontractors may submit this proprietary information directly to the Contracting Officer.
2	RFP	93,98	L.20.1 (a), L20.1.(a).(3),L.20.2 (g)		At the end of L.20 on page 93 of the RFP, there is a sentence that reads " The offeror shall format the proposal to address all areas of the following Mission Suitability instructions in the order they are described and requested." Immediately following this sentence paragraph L.20.1.(a) includes a paragraph instructing offerors to provide a Management Plan and DRD-2.1 is referenced. The last sentence in L.20.1.(a) states that "The offeror's Management Plan shall describe the following areas in sufficient detail, with the appropriate supporting rationale:", followed by eleven subparagraphs which outline the required discussion points. However, the Preparation Information section (8) of DRD-2.1 provides a separate set of instructions for the contents of the Contract Management Plan which is not consistent either in content description or in order compared to L.20.1.(a). For example, the DRD requires a discussion of "Approach to Skills and Staffing." There is no instruction in L.20.1.(a) for an approach to skills and staffing. The Staffing Narrative is of course required in Volume II based upon the instructions in L.20.2.(g). Is it the intent of the Government to have the instructions in L.20, including a of discussion our approach to Skills and Staffing in our response to L.20.1.(a).(3), supersede the detailed instructions provided in DRD-2.1?We recognize that we can reference DRD 2.1, the Draft Contract Management Plan, Skills and Staffing when responding to Volume II Technical for Skills and Staffing, but details of the positions, skill level, etc. will be provided in Volume II.	DRD 2.1 in Attachment J-02 Data Requirements List has been revised so that the preparation information now closely follows the content and format of the instruction in L.20.1. (a). It is the Government's intent that DRD 2.1, the Contract Management Plan, match the instruction given in L.20.1 Volume I Management. Additionally, L.20.1 (a) (4) and M.2.1(a) (4) have been revised as a result of the change to DRD 2.1.
3	RFP	105	L.21 (c) Section 4 (5)		In the paragraph entitled"Labor-WYE Summary" the following statement is made: "The offeror shall submit a consolidated WYE summary for each PWS/WBS element. Show labor detail for each direct labor classification, separately identifying the direct labor hours provided by the offeror and by subcontractor(s).....Provide the associated labor rates and extended costs." The Government electronic cost spreadsheets provides this information at the first level PWS in Attachment L-02 (Cost Templates). Please clarify if the cost sheets meet this requirement, or do offerors need to create another exhibit to show this information at a lower level of detail. If offerors need to create another presentation of this information, at what PWS level should it be provided?	The cost sheets meet the requirements of Provision L.21 (c) Section 4 (5).
4	Follow-on Question to Question #2 from "ELVIS 2 DRFP Questions and Answers" Attachment J-01	26 of 74	4.2		The answer to question 2 (Reference PWS 4.2, Site Support Quality Engineering Services) provided clarification that this service is only required at Denver. However, the Workload Indicator for PWS 4.2 appears to include mission related requirements that encompass all CLP vehicles, not just ULA at Denver. Please clarify.	Attachment L-01, Workload Indicators has been revised.

5	RFP	91	L.18		Amendment 001 changed font size for illustrations, figures, and graphics to no smaller than 8-point Arial font with no mention for "tables." Are "tables" allowed to be submitted with 8-point Arial font?	"Tables" are not included in the Amendment 001 exception for "illustrations, figures, and graphics which may use a type-size no smaller than 8-point Arial font".
6	Attachment L-02, Cost Model				There appears to be a duplication of PM&A and direct labor hours on the "Total" tab of the Cost Model template. Is this correct?	A formula discrepancy on Attachment_L-02_Cost_Model_Template.xlsm has been identified. This is causing PM&A Costs (allocated over PWS 3.0 through 12.0) and PWS 1.0 & PWS 2.0 direct labor to be captured twice on the “Total” tab of the cost model. In order to correct this duplication offerors must perform the following action on the “Total” tab only of the cost template beginning on row 44 : • For CY1 section, go to cells O44, P44, and Q44 of the “Total” tab, and remove the references for PWS 1.0 and PWS 2.0 from the formula (Ex: Delete “'1.0'!T44+'2.0'!T44” from the formula in cell O44). • Drag the corrected formulas down to the end of the each column. • Repeat the same updates for CY2 through CY5 sections of the “Total” tab. • Go to cells T38, T40, AB40, AJ40, AR40, and AZ40 and remove all references to PWS 1.0 and PWS 2.0. • Do not correct the formulas for WYE (Ex: cell N44).