

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

- Question 1: I seem to be overlooking the drawings and specifications for the Model Task Order referred to in Attachment #3. Can those items be provided so that we can begin estimating the work associated with the Model Task Order?
- Answer 1: Specifications and drawings may be purchased or viewed at the locations identified in solicitation section L.24 Attachment 3, Section 4.12 "Availability of Specifications and Drawings."
- Question 2: Reference (Section, Page, Paragraph)
On page 01 22 00.00 80 page 11, 1.2
The specification states all job site work will be accomplished between the hours of 7AM to 5PM. Is this to mean all change-overs and outages will be allowed to be performed only in this time?
- Answer 2: Yes, unless otherwise approved by the contracting officer.
- Question 3: We would like to bid the above referenced project, but not sure where I can download the package. If you could direct me as to the site.
- Answer 3: Specifications and drawings are not available electronically but may be purchased or viewed at the locations identified in solicitation section L.24 Attachment 3, Section 4.12 "Availability of Specifications and Drawings."
- Question 4: Can you please clarify whether this is a full and open or small business solicitation?
- Answer 4: This acquisition is a full and open competition.
- Question 5: (a.) The Model Task Order is for construction work related to the Repair and Upgrade Central Heating and Cooling Plant Building 24. We are the A/E for that project, having performed these services under a current A/E IDIQ. Does this position prohibit us from proposing on this construction?
- (b.) The RFP mentions some consideration may be given to performing work in a design build basis. Are their specific projects in mind for this project delivery method?
- Answer 5: (a.) Yes; In accordance with FAR 36.209 Construction contracts with architect-engineer firms, "No contract for the construction of a project shall be awarded to the firm that designed the project or its subsidiaries or affiliates, except with the approval of the head of the agency or authorized representative."

(b.) The Government does not currently know the specific projects that will be procured via the design build method. However, the Bldg 45 Refurbishment project is a potential candidate for this method.

Question 6: I am hoping that you can help me with getting a Plan Holder list for the Project: NASA Repair and Upgrade Central Heating and Cooling Plant, Bldg 24.

Answer 6: The Government does not have a plan holder listing however, the pre-proposal conference and site visit attendees list is posted on the acquisition website and can be accessed via the following link: <http://procurement.jsc.nasa.gov/idiql/>

Question 7: Site Visit: Will it be possible for us to make follow-up site visits with subcontractors? This would be very helpful in the pricing process.

Answer 7: Site visits were held on January 7, 2011 and January 14, 2011. No additional site visits will be held.

Question 8: Reference the RFP Section L.22-1, A – regarding Past Performance Information Summary: This section states that, “Experience may be submitted for teaming partners, etc. – but for these projects, additional information must be provided...” and this is followed by seven questions numbered “i thru vii.” These questions appear to be related to our approach to the management of work under this contract, since they are written in the future tense – rather than the past performance of projects. Can you please provide clarification on whether these questions are to be answered for each project summary provided by a teaming partner, and if so, are the questions to be answered based on the sub’s PAST performance, OR should they be addressed regarding their FUTURE work under this contract? If the latter, we would assume that these questions are to be answered only once for each team member, and that they are NOT related to the sub’s past performance. For example, if we have only one sub and they provide two project summaries, would it be appropriate to provide the answers to these questions only once (for the sub’s role in the solicitation contract), or should they be answered based on the sub’s role in each past project shown for their company? Some clarification/direction on how and where in the proposal these questions should be addressed would be appreciated.

Answer 8: Questions “i. through vii.” in solicitation section L.22-1, A,3) should be answered if a teaming partner, affiliated company or parent company relationship is proposed. This information, if applicable, pertains to future work under the Multiple Award GC IDIQ – Large Projects Contracts and should be contained in proposal Volume I, Section I, (a.) “Past Performance Information Summary” (Ref. the proposal volume structure found in L.21).

Question 9: Reference (Section, Page, Paragraph)
Section 01 41 00.00 80 Page 16 Paragraph 1.7

Does the Government require the SHSP, SHSS, Quality and superintendents be separate individuals or can one individual that meets the qualification requirements fulfill the role.

Answer 9: Dual roles are not prohibited however, all requirements of the contract must be met.

Question 10: Is this a small business set aside or is there a SB component on this procurement? If so, please clarify how many of the awards do you expect will be set aside for small businesses? Will the small businesses compete directly against the large businesses on the task orders or will there be a separate contract/pool?

Answer 10: This acquisition is a full and open competition. Small businesses will compete directly against the large businesses on this acquisition.

Question 11: Can a Design ID/IQ holder be on a team, as a subcontract team member to the Prime contractor? If they can be on a team, how will NASA address the award of a contract task order to a team, if the design firm has done the design?

Answer 11: A Design IDIQ holder can potentially be on a team as a subcontract team member to the prime contractor. However, in accordance with FAR 36.209 Construction contracts with architect-engineer firms, "No contract for the construction of a project shall be awarded to the firm that designed the project or its subsidiaries or affiliates, except with the approval of the head of the agency or authorized representative."

Question 12: For VOLUME III, A. Technical Proposal and B. Other Required Documentation for Award there is no requirement listed for a technical discussion.

- a. Is it correct that the government does NOT require a technical write up in regards to how the Model Task Order would be executed?
- b. Is it correct that the only required submittal is the cost for the Task Order?

Answer 12: a. Correct, the Government does NOT require a technical write up in regards to how the model task order project will be executed.

- b. There are other required submittals in addition to the price for the task order. Please refer to the model task order solicitation section L.24 Attachment 3, section 4 Instructions to Offerors.

Question 13: Is it the intent of the government to award the Model Task Order upon award of the contract?

Answer 13: The Government may or may not award the model task order (Ref. solicitation section M.5).

Question 14: Is the Safety and Health Plan to be written up specific to the Model Task Order or for the entire contract?

Answer 14: The Safety and Health Plan to be submitted with the proposal shall be a general Safety and Health Plan, for the entire contract, in accordance with the solicitation.

Question 15: Please clarify the difference between the Model Contract and Model Task Order.

Answer 15: The model contract is the contractual document that contains all of the terms and conditions agreed upon and will govern the contractual relationship between the Government and the contractor. The model task order is an order that is placed against the model contract and is governed by the terms and conditions of the model contract.

Question 16: L.20 Small Business Utilization Subfactor references, “(vii) In addition to submitting a Small Business Subcontracting Plan in accordance with Section I, FAR clause 52.219-9, Alternate II, Offerors shall complete Exhibit A to the Plan, SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN GOALS, which provides a breakdown of the Offeror’s proposed goals, by small business category, expressed in terms of both a percent of TOTAL CONTRACT VALUE and a percent of TOTAL PLANNED SUBCONTRACTS.”

Please provide Exhibit A.

Answer 16: Please reference the table in solicitation section L.20, (1), (vii) for a sample of Exhibit A.

Question 17: In order to provide all the supplemental requirements for L-22-1 Past Performance Information, SECTION II, D. Safety, Health and Environmental Past Performance Supplement, will the government consider removing the page limit for this section.

Answer 17: The page limits have been removed for the Safety, Health and Environmental Past Performance Supplement. The solicitation is being updated via this amendment.

Question 18: L.22-1, B Offerors Construction Project Listing states, “The offeror shall complete Section L.24, Attachment 5, Offeror’s Construction Project Listing, for all construction projects completed within the last 3 years.”

Having completed over a billion dollars in construction over the last 3 years, the list will be quite extensive and will be a significant effort to provide the information in the format requested, is there other information that can be

provided that would allow the same evaluation of the criteria you are seeking? Since this list could be quite lengthy for some of the companies bidding on this, does the government truly want a listing of ALL completed construction projects within the last three years? Would a reasonable list of relevant projects in the last three years suffice?

Answer 18: The requirement in section L.22-1,B "Offerors Construction Project Listing" is being changed via this amendment. In lieu of providing a listing of all construction projects completed within the last 3 years, please provide a listing of all relevant construction projects completed within the last 3 years.

Question 19: Reference (Section, Page, Paragraph)
Section 01 22 00.00 80 Page 10 Paragraph 1.19.2 & Drawing M-24-2
The specifications state there is removal of asbestos. However, the drawings state that there is no asbestos to abate. Is there asbestos to be removed, what is the quantity to be removed and where is it?

Answer 19: Yes, there are asbestos to abate. The quantity of asbestos is unknown. Please see drawing M-24-2 for the location of the asbestos that was identified.

Question 20: According to section L.15 1852.215-81 PROPOSAL PAGE LIMITATIONS. (FEBRUARY 1998 of the RFP, "(b) A page is defined as one side of a sheet, 8 1/2" x 11", with at least one inch margins on all sides, using not smaller than 12 point type. Foldouts count as an equivalent number of 8 1/2" x 11" pages. The metric standard format most closely approximating the described standard 8 1/2" x 11" size may also be used."

- a. Is legible font or a font size smaller than 12 point font in graphics and tables acceptable?
- b. Is an 11x17 foldout considered 1 or 2 pages?

Answer 20: a. No. Font sizes should be in accordance with solicitation section L.15 1852.215-81 "Proposal Page Limitations", section (b).

b. Any page larger than that defined in the solicitation will count as 2 pages. (Ref. solicitation section L.15)

Question 21: Section 4.4 Instructions To Offerors - Required Content of Offers States, "...DO NOT submit proposals in binders or any type of binding." In addition, L.22-3 references a Safety and Health Plan and a Small Business Subcontracting plan, both with bindings, and L.21 says that, "Volumes shall be organized as detailed below. Each volume shall be separately bound in 3-ring binders..."

Please clarify what sections of this proposal are to be bound with 3-ring binders and what type of binding is required for the Safety and Health Plan and Small Business Subcontracting Plan.

Answer 21: Section 4.4 Instructions To Offerors is a part of the model task order solicitation. As noted, the model task order should not be bound. In addition, in accordance with L.22-3, the model contract also should not be bound, except where noted. The remaining proposal documents and the bound portions of the model contract should be bound in accordance with solicitation section L.21.

Question 22: Section L.22-1, Volume I, A. Past Performance Information Summary, Paragraph 1 states, "Offerors shall provide a Past Performance Information Summary identifying a maximum of six recent and relevant contracts that it has performed as a prime contractor for projects similar in size, content, and complexity as described in the solicitation with the most relevant contracts listed first."

Is the Offeror considered the prime contractor and their team members? And do the six contracts/projects include team members? Or are these six projects/contracts from the Prime contractor only?

Answer 22: As it relates to Section L.22-1, A, paragraph 1, the offeror is the prime contractor. If paragraph 2 is applicable, the six projects include the team members. For each project/contract submitted, the firm (whether prime contractor or team member) must have performed work under the project/contract as the prime contractor.

Question 23: a. In response to Section L.22-1, Volume I, Section I, A. Past Performance Information Summary, Paragraph 2, should we provide project write-ups similar to those asked for in Paragraph 1 for the offeror, or is this a description of the team member's capabilities and past experience?

b. If this is to present project descriptions, as described in Paragraph 1 of this section, are they in addition to those 6 in the Paragraph 1?

c. Will these project write ups require past performance questionnaires?

d. Is there a limitation to the number of projects that may be presented, similar to Paragraph 1 limitations?

Answer 23: a. If Section L.22-1, A, paragraph 2 is applicable, information on these projects shall be provided as described in paragraph 1 and shall identify the names of the firms and their roles in the specific project.

b. These projects are not "in addition to" but are "included in" the six project limit identified in paragraph 1.

c. Questionnaires should be submitted for all projects identified in the Offeror's Past Performance Information Summary.

d. There is a maximum limitation of six projects total that may be included in the Past Performance Information Summary. The combined total number of projects submitted for paragraphs 1 and 2 should not exceed six.

Question 24: Is it correct that there is no page limitation in responding to Volume I A Past Performance Information Summary, Paragraphs 1, 2, and 3?

Answer 24: In accordance with solicitation section L.21 "PROPOSAL PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE MULTIPLE AWARD GENERAL CONSTRUCTION IDIQ CONTRACTS", there is no page limitation for the Past Performance Information Summary.

Question 25: Section L.22-1, Volume I, Section II, E states, "A past performance evaluation on each offeror's overall Design-Build experience as it "relates to recent and relevant contracts identified in the offeror's Past Performance Information Summary will be performed. Offerors shall provide the following: Information:

- (1) Provide information on the design-build team, including management/organizational structure, contractual arrangements, and lines of authority.
- (2) Discuss the design-build implementation approach utilized. Address proposed vs. actual schedule, including any concurrent design and construction activities.
- (3) Discuss approach used to ensure the as-built construction satisfied all approved design requirements, including shop drawing review and approval process, and inspection, testing, and quality control procedures. Discuss the design team's associated interactions with and on behalf of the owner.
- (4) Discuss approach used to maintain control of project costs without compromising technical requirements and standards. Address any value engineering opportunities and recommendations.
- (5) Identify any challenges to successful completion of the project using the design-build procurement method."

Is the information requested for Items (1) through (5) above specific to the six projects listed in the Past Performance Information Summary (Section L-22-1, A), or is the information to be provided relevant to this contract, or to the model task order?

Answer 25: The information requested in Section L.22-1, Section II, E, “Design-Build Past Performance Supplement” is specific to the six projects listed in the Past Performance Information Summary relative to design-build projects.

Question 26: Section L.22-1, Volume I, Section II, E states, “A past performance evaluation on each offeror’s overall LEED experience as it relates to recent and relevant contracts identified in the offeror’s Past Performance Information Summary will be performed. Offerors shall provide the following:

(1) Discuss project certifications, detailing the LEED goals and certification ranking attempt with final outcome ranking received, i.e. USGBC LEED Scorecard.

(2) Provide information on the LEED staff by name and their qualifications.

(3) Discuss offeror’s LEED staff and their roles and responsibility associated with project.

(4) Discuss offeror’s LEED staff duties associated with the tally of the LEED goals and the reporting information to USGBC.

(5) Provide USGBC National Building Membership Number and/or USGBC/GBIC Corporate Access ID Number.”

Is the information requested for Items (1) through (5) above specific to the six projects listed in the Past Performance Information Summary (Section L-22-1,A), or is the information to be provided relevant to this contract, or to the model task order?

Answer 26: The information requested in Section L.22-1, Section II, F, “USGBC LEED Past Performance Supplement” is specific to the six projects listed in the Past Performance Information Summary relative to LEED projects.

Question 27: Section L.22-1, Volume I, Section II, G states, “A past performance evaluation on each offeror’s overall BIM experience as it relates to recent and relevant contracts identified in the offeror’s Past Performance Information summary will be performed. Offerors shall provide the following:

(1) Provide the name and version of the BIM software utilized.

(2) Discuss approach used for clash detection and whether or not it was successful in discovering and resolving issues early on.

(3) Provide the process as to how the record BIM model was maintained throughout the project and turned over to the owner.

(4) Provide information describing the data and services provided to the owner and any on-going support.”

Is the information requested for Items (1) through (4) above specific to the six projects listed in the Past Performance Information Summary (Section L-22-1,A), or is the information to be provided relevant to this contract, or to the model task order?

Answer 27: The information requested in Section L.22-1, Section II, G, “BIM Past Performance Supplement” is specific to the six projects listed in the Past Performance Information Summary relative to BIM projects.

Question 28: Is the information requested in Section H H.11 to be submitted in the proposal? If so where should this be included in the proposal submission?

Answer 28: Yes; It is part of the model contract submission.

Question 29: For VOLUME III, Section II (a) (5) Small Business Subcontracting Plan, what are the evaluation criteria for this section?

Answer 29: The Small Business Subcontracting Plan will be reviewed to ensure that it is submitted in accordance with the solicitation and is acceptable for award (Ref. M.4,B,2.,(1),e).

Question 30: For VOLUME III, Section II (a) (5) Small Business Subcontracting Plan, does the government have a preferred Small Business Subcontracting Plan template for contractors to use?

Answer 30: No, the Government does not have a template for contractors to use. The small business plan must meet all of the requirements as stated in FAR clause 52.219.9.

Question 31: Please provide clarification as to the intent of proposal Volume III Section II. Is the “Model Contract” meant to address the overarching MATOC IDIQ contract or is it specific to the Model Task Order (Volume III Section III)?

Answer 31: The model contract addresses the Multiple Award Indefinite-Delivery, Indefinite-Quantity Contract.

Question 32: Are RFP Section L proposal instructions pertaining to Volume III, Section II – Model Contract intended to apply to the Model Task Order only, or are the requirements meant to apply to the MATOC IDIQ as a whole? Specifically, does RFP Section L.22-3 (a) (5,6,7) (Small Business Subcontracting Plan,

Commitment to the Small Business Program and Small Disadvantaged Business Participation) apply to the overall MATOC or to the Model Task Order.

Answer 32: RFP Section L.22-3,B,Section II,(a) “Model Contract” pertain to the Multiple Award Indefinite-Delivery, Indefinite-Quantity Contract.

Question 33: When completing the Small Disadvantaged Business Participation Plan, we intend to name specific SDB potential subcontractors which meet FAR requirements and can provide the services required. Does this information, in the Government’s interpretation, constitute a commitment or contract to utilize these businesses upon award?

Answer 33: It would constitute a commitment on behalf of the prime contractor to utilize those small businesses.

Question 34: Is the model task order design build or bid build?

Answer 34: The model task order is design bid build.

Question 35: Is the model task order going to be an active awarded project or is it just a sample project to select members to the MACC?

Answer 35: The Government may or may not award the model task order (Ref. solicitation section M.5).

Question 36: Section L.21 states “an original and four copies of Volumes I, II, and III” are to be submitted; Section 4 – Instructions to Offerors, paragraph 4.4 says “provide two original copies of your proposal”. Please clarify the number of originals and copies of Volumes I, II, and III that are to be submitted.

Answer 36: Offerors shall submit an original and four copies each of Volumes I, II and III. However, offerors shall submit two original copies of the model contract and model task order. Task order solicitation section 4.4 is updated via this amendment.

Question 37: Is carrier an acceptable manufacturer for the chiller as described in section 23 64 10 water chillers, vapor compression type?

Answer 37: Yes, as long as it meets the performance requirements contained in the solicitation.

Question 38: Please clarify if a bid bond is to be for either the overall contract or the model task order or both.

Answer 38: The bid bond is required only for the model task order.

Question 39: Reference Volume III, paragraph B (Other Required Documentation for Award), Section II, paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2). Both of these paragraphs appear to require us to submit Section K. Do you want a copy in both locations?

Answer 39: No, a copy is not needed in both locations. Paragraph (a)(1) was to show that offerors needed to submit contract sections A through K. Paragraph (a)(2) was more detail regarding the submission of K.

Question 40: Reference Volume III, paragraph B (Other Required Documentation for Award), Section II, paragraph (a)(2). This paragraph says we are to "Complete Section K" and makes reference to ORCA. Our hard copy of Section K and our ORCA are both in agreement. Do we simply provide a statement to this effect, do we include a second copy of Section K, or do you require a copy of the on-line version from ORCA?

Answer 40: Please submit a hard copy of Section K and ensure that ORCA is current.

Question 41: Will the Contractor be required to provide a full time CQC team completely separate from onsite operations group, or can the onsite Superintendent act as the QC manager in addition to normal Superintendent responsibilities?

Answer 41: Dual roles are not prohibited however, all requirements of the contract must be met.

Question 42: Drawing M-24-2 says no ACM hazards in the construction area, but also identifies valve COT-24-1 as ACM. Explain.

Answer 42: There are asbestos to abate. The drawing will be updated accordingly and issued as an amendment.

Question 43: Referencing the previous two questions, there is a requirement at L.21 to submit an original and four copies each of Volumes I, II and III. The subsequent two paragraphs state we are to submit 2 original copies of the Model Contract and the Model Task Order. Aren't the Model Contract and the Model Task Order portions of Volume III? Do you want two original copies of the Model Contract and Model Task Order included in Volume III, or are they to be submitted separately from the other Volume III items? In either case, are they both to be sequentially paginated based on the page flow of Volume III, e.g., 23-32 and 33-42?

Answer 43: Yes, the model contract and model task order are portions of Volume III. However, we only want two originals total for the model contract and two originals total for the model task order. The model contract and model task order are unbound in volume III and do not need page numbers.

Question 44: Keyed Notes 1, on Plan Sheet MD-24-232, require “Repair vent pipe roof penetration.”

- a) What type of roof is on Bldg 24?
- b) Is the roof under warranty?
- c) If the roof is under warranty what roofing contractor is responsible for the roof?

Answer 44: a) and c): See amendment 2, attachment 6.
b) Yes, the roof is still under warranty.

Question 45: In lieu of questions being asked through January 14th and answers to those questions arriving after that, will the government consider granting a 1-week extension to allow sufficient time for contractors to accommodate those answers in their proposals?

Answer 45: Additional proposal extensions will not be granted at this time.

Question 46: Is the overhead crane in Building 24 available for use? If so, when was the last crane inspection by a certified crane inspector.

Answer 46: No, offerors should provide their own lifting devices.

Question 47: Are there any scheduling conflicts for work within Building 24 (i.e., any specific days, weeks where other activities are planned and work would not be allowed in Building 24)?

Answer 47: Yes, there are scheduling conflicts. Per Drawing M-24-2 – “Construction Coordination with NASA” – All aspects of this construction package require coordination with NASA personnel.

Question 48: Regarding the RFP Method of Competition – which is stated as Small Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program. We understand that this RFP is based on Full & Open competition. If this is correct, would you kindly explain exactly what this method of competition means to large businesses competing as prime offerors?

Answer 48: The Small Business Competitiveness Demonstration Program is being repealed effective January 31, 2011 and will no longer apply to our solicitation. Our solicitation will however, still be competed using full and open competition whereby small businesses will compete against large businesses on the acquisition.

Question 49: The RFP documents contain a cover letter, two SF1442 forms (contract and model TO), the body of the RFP (which starts at Section "B"), and Attachments 2, 4, and 5. Since the body of the RFP begins at Section B, is it correct to assume that the SF1442 forms comprise Section A? Please clarify.

Answer 49: Yes, that is correct. The SF 1442 is Section A.

Question 50: Provide specifications for:
1) Lead Base Paint Abatement and Hazardous materials abatement, handling and disposal
2) Can LBP containing materials be recycled at recycling facility?

Answer 50: 1) and 2): Please refer to specification SECTION 02 41 00.00 80.

Question 51: Where and how much area is available for material storage, laydown and office trailers?

Answer 51: The area is located on the far side of building 24. The estimated square footage is 4000 square feet.

Question 52: Reference (Section, Page, Paragraph)
01 22 00.00 80, page 3
Above section states that the period of performance is 450 calendar days while the RFP indicates on the solicitation page and in section L that it will be 771 calendar days. Please clarify.

Answer 52: The period of performance is 450 days. The RFP has been corrected with this amendment accordingly.

Question 53: Reference Volume III, paragraph B (Other Required Documentation for Award), Section II, paragraph (a). From the viewpoint of someone assembling this portion of the requirement, how do we physically put together the Model Contract when some parts of it are to be submitted without bindings and other parts are to have bindings? We are looking at one three-ring binder for the entire Volume III, but we cannot bind the SF 1442 (including Sections A-K), the Commitment to the Small Business Program, or the Small Disadvantaged Business Participation information. Are we expected to simply insert the loose pages into the Volume III binder? Do you require these pages to be paginated?

Answer 53: In past procurements, one solution was to contain the loose pages of the model contract in a large envelope and insert the envelope(s) into the Volume III binder. The model contract does not need to be paginated. The solicitation is being updated via this amendment.

Question 54: Can you please email me the list of attendees from the January 7, 2011 Pre-Proposal Conference. I was unable to pull it off the website.

Answer 54: This question was received prior to the attendees list being posted to the website. The pre-proposal conference and site visit attendees list has been uploaded to the acquisition website and can be accessed via the following link:
<http://procurement.jsc.nasa.gov/idiql/>

Question 55: I was wondering when a listing of the attendees from Friday's meeting will be posted? It's always nice to know who the competition will be.

Answer 55: The pre-proposal conference and site visit attendees list has been uploaded to the acquisition website and can be accessed via the following link:
<http://procurement.jsc.nasa.gov/idiql/>

Question 56: Is this project tax exempt?

Answer 56: The following exemptions from Texas taxes are applicable to NASA JSC: (1) Sales and use tax and (2) State and local portion of hotel occupancy tax.

Question 57: There are 2 separate SF 1442 included with the RFP. Do both SF 1442 forms need to be submitted?

Answer 57: Yes, they both need to be submitted. One is for the model contract and the other is for the model task order.

Question 58: L.22-1, B asks for all construction projects over the last 3 years. Does this include affiliate companies?

Answer 58: It's only for the prime contractor.

Question 59: Are we able to use the existing 2nd floor bridge crane?

Answer 59: No, offerors should provide their own lifting devices.

Question 60: Reference Volume III, paragraph B (Other Required Documentation for Award), Section III, paragraph (b). From the viewpoint of someone assembling this portion of the requirement, how do we physically submit the Model Task Order without bindings? We are looking at one three-ring binder for the entire Volume III, but we cannot bind the Model Task Order. Are we expected to simply insert the loose pages into the Volume III binder? Do you require these pages to be paginated?

Answer 60: In past procurements, one solution was to contain the loose pages of the model task order in a large envelope and insert the envelope(s) into the Volume III

binder. The model task order does not need to be paginated. The solicitation is being updated via this amendment.

Question 61: RFP states that offers will be scanned at turn-in, if we are to turn in our offer by 3:00 p.m., what time do we need to have our offers available to scan?

Answer 61: Please refer to solicitation section L.18 “ JSC 52.215-109 Proposal Marking and Delivery”

Question 62: Section 01 22 00.00 80 1.4 states that the project is to be completed within 450 calendar days after receipt of notice to proceed. In section 2.3 52.221-10 of the solicitation has 771 days. Which is the required schedule that should be incorporated in our bid?

Answer 62: The period of performance is 450 days. The RFP has been corrected with this amendment accordingly.

Question 63: Are onsite emergency services available through JSC? 911, Fire, Medical, Police? What are phone numbers?

Answer 63: Onsite emergency services are available through JSC. The numbers to these services will be made available upon award.

Question 64: Regarding the Building Chiller Replacement: Specification section 01 22 00.00 80, paragraph 1.4 states “complete the work ready for use within 450 calendar days after notice to proceed”, and document 144008-SOL-001-004, page 102 states” complete work ready for use no later than 771 calendar days after notice to proceed”. Please clarify the correct performance period for the base bid and options.

Answer 64: The period of performance is 450 days. The RFP has been corrected with this amendment accordingly.

Question 65: Does the Safety and Health Plan include options 1-3 or just the base bid for Building 24?

Answer 65: The Safety and Health Plan to be submitted with the proposal shall be a general Safety and Health Plan for the entire contract, in accordance with the solicitation. It is not specific to the task order project.

Question 66: What is the weight of the chiller and other components?

Answer 66: The weight of the chiller and other components is unknown. This information can be obtained from the York Chiller Manufacturer.

Question 67: In reference to the Repair & Upgrade of Central Heating and Cooling Plant, Bldg 24. The Synopsis states that the sample Task Order is to be completed in 120 Calendar days. The "Solicitation" states that the work is to be completed in 771 Calendar days. Which is correct?

Answer 67: The period of performance is 450 days. The RFP has been corrected with this amendment accordingly.

Question 68: Reference (Section, Page, Paragraph)
Section 01 22 00.00 80 Page 3 Paragraph 1.4
The paragraph states that from NTP the Period of performance is 450 calendar days yet the SF 1442 states it is 771 days. What is the period of performance?

Answer 68: The period of performance is 450 days. The RFP has been corrected with this amendment accordingly.

Question 69: Will contractors be notified if proposal is not acceptable?

Answer 69: Yes, offerors will be notified if their proposal is determined to be unacceptable.

Question 70: Will contractors be allowed to see bid tab?

Answer 70: An abstract of offers will not be provided. An "Abstract of Offers" is used in acquisitions being procured under FAR Part 14 "Sealed Bidding" procedures. This acquisition is being procured under FAR Part 15 "Contracting By Negotiation".

Question 71: Will contractors be allowed multiple visits for Model Task Order?

Answer 71: Site visits were held on January 7, 2011 and January 14, 2011. No additional site visits will be held.

Question 72: Can we have Charles Williams' contact information for Small Business Plans?

Answer 72: We are currently in a blackout period. All questions regarding the solicitation are required to be submitted in writing. Please refer to solicitation section L.19 "Communications Regarding Solicitation and Submission of Offers".

Question 73: The RFP indicates a 771 calendar day duration for the Model Task Order, and the specifications indicate 450 calendar days. Please clarify.

Answer 73: The period of performance is 450 days. The RFP has been corrected with this amendment accordingly.

Question 74: I downloaded the attached Task Order for the 'Repair and Upgrade Central Heating & Cooling Plant, Bldg 24. The Solicitations states that in should include 23 pages. Only 3 pages were in the file. Where is the balance of the Solicitation? Will the Solicitation be reissued in total?

Answer 74: The 3 pages that you are looking at are probably the Standard Form 1442 for the task order. That's the first three pages of the task order. The remainder of the task order solicitation can be found in the RFP's Attachment 3. The three pages of the SF 1442 are a separate format than the remaining pages of the task order solicitation. Therefore, we had to attach them separately.

Question 75: Can we perform additional site visits prior to RFP due date?

Answer 75: Site visits were held on January 7, 2011 and January 14, 2011. No additional site visits will be held.

Question 76: Has the Model Task Order been funded?

Answer 76: Yes, the model task order has been funded.

Question 77: What would cause the Government not to award the Model Task Order?

Answer 77: Any number of variables including a change in the Center Operations mission directives and priorities could cause the Government not to award the model task order.

Question 78: Will you publish the sign-in sheet from the pre-proposal conference/site visit?

Answer 78: The pre-proposal conference and site visit attendees list has been uploaded to the acquisition website and can be accessed via the following link:
<http://procurement.jsc.nasa.gov/idiql/>

Question 79: Is an onsite clinic available for minor injuries or should contractor utilize offsite facilities?

Answer 79: JSC has an onsite clinic that is available for contractor use.

Question 80: The slides from the Pre-Proposal Conference indicate a specific form was required for submitting questions associated with this RFP. Where can they be obtained?

Answer 80: There are no specific forms required for submitting questions. The pre-proposal conference charts discussed three methods by which firms could submit their questions. One of those methods was "in writing on the forms provided at the

registration desk". The "forms" were merely index cards that were made available at the pre-proposal conference.