

## Set #2

### Questions/Responses for ICESat-2 Flight Laser Procurement RFP NNG10338284R

**QUESTION:** The RFP in Section L.14 and L.16 requires submission of a Quality Assurance Plan (QAP) with the proposal. Section 5.1.1 calls for the preparation of a Laser Quality Assurance Plan created for the source selection activity. However, SMA SDRL 3 (now part of the SOW) calls for the submission of a Quality Manual with the proposal. Can NASA verify that these are the same document? Which title has precedence (QAP or Quality Manual)?

**RESPONSE:** The Quality Assurance Plan (QAP) and the Quality Manual are the same deliverable/same document.

**QUESTION:** There are several other apparent duplications between the E-CDRL and SMA CDRLs. For instance E-CDRL Items 38-45 (Verification, Qualification and Acceptance Procedures, Plans and Reports) appear nearly identical to SMA CDRL Items 29-34, but have different submission dates. Please clarify the CDRL requirements with regard to overlap between the E-CDRLS and the SMA-CDRLS. Are both required? Can one be tailored to the other? Do they need to be separate submissions?

**RESPONSE:** NASA reviewed the contract deliverable lists for laser engineering and laser safety and mission assurance. NASA will accept single submissions for Engineering CDRL #38 for SMA CDRL #29 and Engineering CDRL #44 for SMA CDRL #34 delivered on the SMA Due Date Schedule. Also, NASA will accept vendor e-mail format for verification reports to satisfy SMA CDRL #33. The e-mail format shall include the requirement number and test outcome.