

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 4
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 000002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 03/31/2010	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)
6. ISSUED BY NASA/Langley Research Center 9B Langley Blvd., Bldg. 1195B M/S 126 Hampton VA 23681-2199	CODE LARC	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) NASA/Langley Research Center 9B Langley Blvd., Bldg. 1195B M/S 126 Hampton VA 23681-2199	CODE LARC
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code) TO ALL CONCERNED		(x) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. NNL10315854R	
		x 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 03/11/2010	
		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
		10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE	11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS	

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended. is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 8 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

The purpose of this amendment is to:

- (1) Revise the RFP as set forth herein.
- (2) Clarification and revision to provision L.20.
- (3) Answer questions received related to the RFP--Question #7 is new and Questions #3 and #4 are revised.

(Continued on Page 2)

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Timothy P. Cannella	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	15B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED 3/31/10

(1) The changes provided in this amendment are hereby incorporated into the RFP as follows:

1. Provision L.15, PROPOSAL PAGE LIMITATIONS, paragraph (d) is hereby revised to read:

(d) A page is defined as one side of a sheet, 8 1/2" x 11", with at least one-inch margins on all sides, using not smaller than 12 point type font. A 12 point font is required for the page limited portion of Volume 1(Technical). An 8 point font size is acceptable for captions to graphics and captions to figures only in the OCI Avoidance Plan, Quality Systems Manual and Processes, Volume II (Business Proposal), Volume III (Past Performance) – all of which are not page limited. Header and footer information (i.e., company name, date, solicitation number) can be included in the one-inch margins. Foldouts count as an equivalent number of 8 1/2" x 11" pages. The metric standard format most closely approximating the described standard 8 1/2" x 11" size may also be used.

2. Clause 52.232-34, Payment by Electronic Funds Transfer – Other Than Central Contractor Registration (MAY 1999) is deleted and replaced with Clause 52.232-33, Payment by Electronic Funds Transfer – Central Contractor Registration (OCT 2003).

(2) The following is provided as clarification and amendment to provision L.20:

1. Provision L.20 (d) states that "Each Offeror, as well as each significant Subcontractor, shall select three customers, government or business organizations, for whom it has performed services or delivered products in the last three years that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the requirements of this solicitation." The intent of this provision is that offerors and significant subcontractors would submit no more than three questionnaires each.

Therefore, provision L.20(c) last paragraph is amended to add the following:

(c) If an Offeror or significant subcontractor causes more than three questionnaires to be submitted for itself, it must notify the Government which three questionnaires it requests that the Government consider in the evaluation. The offeror shall identify this information in Volume III, Past Performance, paragraph (c).

(3) The following Questions and Answers are provided for the EASSS RFP:

Question 1: Please reference *Responses to Questions*, posted 26 February 2010. The last question in the file states, "Can you discuss the facility requirements? Given IT security requirement connecting to the center can we assume that the facility is for use full-time?"

The Government's response to the question states, "The contractor is not required to have a permanent facility to support the EASSS task requirements. Individual tasks may require a facility. Specifics on the facility, size, location, duration, will be described in the task. A requirement for the EASSS contractor to obtain facilities is rare. SOMA most often uses a Headquarters contract to obtain the necessary facilities to support the EASSS tasks."

However, Section 7.2 (Facilities) of the SOW in the Final RFP states, "In support of the evaluations, the contractor shall, as required by Task Orders, provide secure facilities, internet access, and information technology hookups and infrastructure, at a level of protection sufficient to prevent unauthorized access to source selection material, for up to 100 people to conduct proposal evaluations and discussions. The contractor shall ensure that the facilities are secure from access by unauthorized personnel at all times. The contractor shall provide a separate, secure area or room for up to four support personnel to include space for a copier, computers for each support person and a printer."

Please clarify the facility requirements for the contract. Are offerors required to have a facility near Langley Research Center capable of holding 100 personnel? If not, where will the members of the contractor's staff be housed?

Answer: The contractor is not required to have a facility near Langley Research Center capable of holding 100 personnel. It is up to the contractors to decide where and how to house their employees. Refer to the responses to Draft RFP question 21 and the pre-solicitation conference slides for additional guidance.

Question 2: We note that a total of 67 task orders are included in the electronic Bidder's Library established for this procurement. Is this Bidder's Library inclusive of all task orders issued during the five-year term of the incumbent contract? If it is not inclusive, will the government be providing information on those task orders that are not presently included in the library?

Answer: As of the release of the final RFP, all the task orders were included in the Bidder's Library.

Question 3: Will the government consider allowing the use of 10-point font in tables and 8-point font in graphics with those proposal sections that are not page limited? Since there are no page limits on the OCI Avoidance Plan, the Quality Plan and the Past Performance volume, there is no advantage gained by offerors in over-using these fonts. Permitting use of smaller-sized fonts for these proposal segments will allow offerors to make more effective use of graphics and tables in presenting our material for evaluation by the government.

Answer: ~~Amendment 1 will add the following language after the first sentence of provision L.15 (d): Eight (8) point font size is acceptable for captions to graphics and captions to figures, and cost proposal spreadsheets. See Amendment 2 for revisions to this language.~~

Question 4: Will the government relieve the font size limitations for tables and graphics for existing Quality System documentation? These are existing corporate documents that use numerous graphics and tables all of which are highly legible and professionally presented but rely on 10- and 8-point fonts routinely used in business publications.

Answer: ~~Amendment 1 will add the following language after the first sentence of provision L.15 (d): Eight (8) point font size is acceptable for captions to graphics and captions to figures, and cost proposal spreadsheets. See Amendment 2 for revisions to this language.~~

Question 5: Under this contract, will the Prime Contractor have the option of issuing either T&M or CPFF contracts to its subcontractors?

Answer: The prime contractor is responsible for managing/coordinating the services of the subcontractor, and is contractually and financially responsible to the Government for performance of the contract. To that end, the prime contractor should select the most suitable contract type that supports the work being subcontracted. The contractor shall also comply with the requirements of FAR 52.244-2, Subcontracts.

Question 6: Can we include hyperlinks to web sites containing organizational documents.

Answer: No. Amendment 1 will clarify that NASA will only consider information that is provided in hard copy as part of the proposal.

Question 7: We are attempting to have questionnaires completed by NASA and are being told that the individual may not be able to complete the questionnaire. How do we handle this situation?

Answer: Consistent with the guidance provided in response to industry questions, questionnaires should be completed by a customer who possesses knowledge of the work performed and who can independently assess performance. Therefore, you should generally route the questionnaire to the individual that your firm feels best meets this criteria. An exception to this approach occurs if the person to whom you send the form is or should be disqualified. In such a case, the individual whom you identified will be unable to complete the form. In these instances, we have the individual at issue direct the form to the COTR for the COTR to determine who is available to complete the form. Accordingly, if you intended to send a questionnaire to someone who you already know is disqualified, please route it directly to the COTR instead. However, be advised that if NASA cannot identify someone who possesses sufficient knowledge of the work performed, NASA may not be able to complete all or portions of the questionnaire. Also, if you are requesting that a questionnaire be completed for work performed under a NASA subcontract, the prime contractor is likely in the best position to complete the form since the Government does not have privity with a subcontractor; and therefore government individuals typically have limited insight to subcontractor compliance and performance of the requirements contained within a sub contractor's contract with the Prime. As stated above, if NASA does not possess sufficient knowledge of the work performed, NASA may not be able to complete all or portions of the questionnaire.