

Project and Engineering Support Services (PESS)

NNA12374362R

Questions and Answers – SET 5

November 7, 2012

- Q.1. SOW Section 4, Contract Management-There is no mention how the PESS base requirement will be administered between the government and the contractor.
- A.1. Please see Statement of Work (SOW) in Final RFP. Section 3.1 Contract Management describes the requirement to be administered between the Government and the contractor.
- Q.2. Will there be a start-up amount of effort and then transitions to additional effort in PESS in the first contract period or successive contract option years?
- A.2. The first contract period will include the Core Technical and Core Management and may also include a portion of the IDIQ.
- Q.3. Yesterday's release of a restructured Statement of Work "SOW" makes sweeping changes from the draft RFP SOW released in March and discussed as part of the pre-proposal conference. One area of concern is that this SOW may contradict answers (Q&A Set 1, 149909-OTHER-006-001) regarding "no incumbents" (Answer 58) where in the Phase In/Phase out (Section 6.0) of this SOW it is specific about "shall not adversely impact the work being done by the outgoing contractor." With this statement, there appears to be an incumbent contractor (or contractors) and an incumbent workforce. Answer 60 from this Q and A set did identify three contracts that "may be phased into the PESS contract." However, no final decision had been made - at the time the answer was provided.
1. Has the decision been made to phase in these three contracts now? [See below](#). If so, then...
 - 1.1 What is the current contract (s) and their end dates, - [See below](#)
 - 1.2 Who is the incumbent contractor (s), - [None](#)
 - 1.3 How many staff are currently onboard doing work that will be transitioned? What are their labor categories, hours and salaries? [N/A](#)

1.4 What are the current tasks of the current contract (s)? See below

A.3. No decision has been made and it will depend on the end date of the contracts.
The end dates for each contract are:

ASRC Research and Technology Solutions (ARTS) - NNA08AF30B - August 2013
ASRC Research and Technology Solutions (ARTS) - NNA12AA24C - December 2012
Lockheed Martin - NAS2-02090 – January 2013
Logyx - NNA09DA04Z- March 2013

Q. 4. RFP Section B.6, page 5 and RFP Section I.1.I, Clause 52.216-19, page 32 FAR 52.216-19 in Section I.1.I includes "\$250M." Please validate this is consistent with the values stated in Clause B.6.

A.4. Please see Final RFP for correction to B.6 and Section I Clause FAR 52.216-19.

Q.5. RFP Section F.2, page 9 Paragraph (a) states (*emphasis added*), "Phase-In (NTE 30 days) shall be included in the Base Period performance period. The performance of the base period shall be for twelve (12) months from the effective date of the contract. *Phase-In will begin 30 days from start of the effective date of the contract.*"

Please clarify the start of Phase-In. For example, if the contract is awarded on 10/15/12 with an effective date of 11/01/12, when will Phase-In begin?

A.5. The 30 day phase-in will start at contract award, see Final RFP.

Q.6. RFP Section F.2, page 9, Paragraph (a) states, in part, "The performance of the base period shall be for twelve (12) months from the effective date of the contract."

For pricing purposes, what effective date shall Offerors use in their proposal?

A.6. We plan to issue the Final RFP in November 2012 with an estimated award date in May 2013. We will continue to update the APT as information changes.

Q.7. RFP Section G.2, page 11 and Attachment J.1(a) 9, DD254, The only item indicated on the DD254 is Limited Dissemination Information. RFP Section G.2 indicates "Performance under this contract will involve access to and/or generation of classified information, work in a security area, or both, up to the level of SECRET." Please clarify.

A.7. The DD 254 is provided as a sample and no boxes should be marked, the Final RFP will include a blank form.

Q.8. RFP Section J.1(a), page 46 and RFP Attachment J.1(a) 2, Wage Determination, Section J.1(a) indicates that Attachment 2 is dated 8/8/11, but the Wage Determination itself has a revision date of 6/13/11. Please clarify

A.8. The Wage Determination will be updated with current version and revised date, please see Final RFP.

- Q.9. RFP Attachment J.1(a) 3, Contract Data Requirements List and RFP Section L.7(a)B.3, page 65, The submission date for the Phase-in Plan is shown as "Contract Award" in CDRL 1, yet RFP Section L indicates the Phase-in Plan should be submitted with the proposal. Please clarify.
- A.9. Phase-in plan should be submitted with the proposal, CDRL 1 will be corrected, see Final RFP.
- Q.10. RFP Attachment J.1 (b) 1, RFP Attachment J.1 (b) 1, Cost Model Staffing List, includes a labor category description for a "Test Engineer" but there is no such position in the Cost Model Staffing List. There is, however, a position for a "Systems Integration and Test Engineer" with no labor category description. Please clarify.
- A.10. Systems Integration and Test Engineer is covered under the category description Engineering Levels. Test Engineer will be added to the Cost Model Staffing List, please see Final RFP.
- Q.11. RFP Attachment J.1 (b) 1, RFP Attachment J.1 (b) 1, Cost Model Staffing List, includes labor categories for a "Technical Writer" and several engineering "Intern" positions. However, there are no accompanying labor category descriptions.
- Please provide the labor category descriptions for the Technical Writer and the Engineering Intern positions.
- A.11. Labor category descriptions for the Technical Writer and the Engineering Intern positions will be added, please see Final RFP.
- Q.12. RFP Attachment J.1 (b) 1, The position descriptions included in RFP Attachment J.1 (b) 1 indicate experience for Engineering Level I to be "fresh-outs through 2 years of engineering experience," while Engineering Level II is shown as "5-10 years experience working in the engineering field specified."
- Please specify the classification of engineering personnel with 3-4 years of experience.
- A.12. The category descriptions are meant to be a guideline.
- Q.13. RFP Attachment J.1 (b) 1, Cost Model Staffing List and RFP Attachment J.1(b) 3, Cost Template Workbook, Exhibit 7
- a) RFP Attachment J.1 (b) 1, Cost Model Staffing List, includes 2 Computer Science Engineer III positions for Core but RFP Attachment J.1(b) 3, Cost Template Workbook, Exhibit 7, does not list any Computer Science Engineers in Core. Please clarify.
- b) RFP Attachment J.1 (b) 1, Cost Model Staffing List, includes 3 Mechanical Engineer III positions for Core but RFP Attachment J.1(b) 3, Cost Template Workbook, Exhibit 7, shows 2 WYEs for Mechanical Engineer III in Cell D29. Please clarify.

- c) RFP Attachment J.1 (b) 1, Cost Model Staffing List, includes 1 Systems Engineer III position for Core but RFP Attachment J.1(b) 3, Cost Template Workbook, Exhibit 7, shows 3 WYEs for Systems Engineer III in Cell D30. Please clarify.

A.13. RFP Attachment J.1(b)3, Cost Template Workbook, Exhibit 7 has been corrected to match the information contained in RFP Attachment J.1(b)1, Cost Model Staffing List, see Final RFP.

- Q.14. RFP Attachment J.1(b) 2, Proposal Cover Sheet and RFP Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 1), page 72, Section L.7(c)3, Exhibit 1, states that Exhibit 1 must be used to satisfy the requirements of Items 1 through 11 of Table 15-2 as shown in FAR 15.408. There is no place on Exhibit 1 for Offerors to enter the information required in Items 7 (government property), 8 (CAS information), and 9 (the proposal statement) of Table 15-2. We respectfully request that either: (1) Items 7, 8, and 9 be eliminated from the requirements stated in Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 1) or (2) the Government provide confirmation that Exhibit 1 will suffice regardless of the requirements identified in Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 1).

A.14. Item 7 from Table 15-2 is addressed in the space for Item 9 on Exhibit 1. Item 8 from Table 15-2 is addressed in the space for Item 10 on Exhibit 1 and the statement required by Item 9 from Table 15-2 is already printed on Exhibit 1 in the space below Item 10. If offerors require more space than is available on Exhibit 1 to provide the required information, supplemental pages may be provided with the information labeled to correspond to the item numbers on Exhibit 1.

- Q.15. RFP Attachment J.1(b) 3, Cost Template Workbook, Exhibit 7, Cell D34 in Exhibit 7 shows 1 WYE with no identifying labor category in Cell A34. Please clarify.

A.15. See question A. 13, above, Computer Science Engineer III was inadvertently left out of RFP Attachment J.1(b)3, Cost Template Workbook, Exhibit 7, while the WYEs were included causing a misalignment. RFP Attachment J.1(b)3, Cost Template Workbook, Exhibit 7 has been corrected and labor categories and WYEs match RFP Attachment J.1(b)1, Cost Model Staffing List, see Final RFP.

- Q.16. RFP Attachment J.1(b) 3, Cost Template Workbook, Exhibit 7, The asterisked note at the bottom of Exhibit 7 states "It is possible that these categories may be defined as either exempt or non-exempt labor categories."

To what is this asterisked note referring?

A.16. The note refers to the categories shown in the Exhibit, which could be defined as either exempt or non-exempt in accordance with the Service Contract Act.

Q.17. RFP Attachment J.1(b) 3, Cost Template Workbook, Exhibit 19, In Exhibit 19 of the Excel Costing Model, please clarify what is meant by "Affiliation with Prime" in Cell A23.

A.17. Possible types of affiliation with the prime could be subcontractor, consultant, teaming partner, other company or corporate division, wholly owned subsidiary, etc.

Q.18. RFP Section L, Throughout RFP Section L, there are numerous requirements levied upon subcontractors with a total subcontract value of \$1,000,000 or more for the five year inclusive effort (e.g., past performance information, past performance questionnaires, complete cost proposals (including, but not limited to, ECM Exhibits 13, 14, 16, and 17)). In most areas of Section L, subcontractors with a subcontract value of \$1M or more for the 5-year period of performance are identified as "major" subcontractors.

Since the maximum contract ceiling has been increased from \$100M to \$235M and the scope of work has been expanded, there are greater opportunities for subcontractor participation and most subcontractors will meet the very low threshold for major subcontractors of \$1M for the five year inclusive effort. This will increase proposal complexity and require a much greater proposal evaluation effort by the Government. We respectfully request that the threshold for subcontractors be modified from \$1M for the five year effort to \$1M per year (i.e., \$5M total).

A.18. The Government has reviewed your request and the threshold for major subcontractors will remain as \$1M, see Final RFP.

Q.19. RFP Section L.6(c), page 62 and RFP Section L.7(a)B.2, page 65, RFP page 62 states: "The commitment letter(s) and resume(s) for key personnel are not counted as part of the page limitation under Management Approach. However, commitment letters shall be limited to no more than one page per individual, and the resume(s) with job descriptions shall be limited to no more than 3 combined pages per individual." RFP page 65 states: "Position descriptions including authorities, assignments, experience, and skills required of key personnel."

Please confirm that:

(1) the job descriptions mentioned on page 62 and the position descriptions mentioned on page 65 are the same; and

(2) the job/position descriptions are excluded from the 120 page limit (but part of the 3 combined pages per individual).

A.19. 1. In Section L, all "position" descriptions will be changed to "job" descriptions.
2. The job descriptions are part of the resumes and are not counted as part of the page limit as stated in L.6 (c) paragraph 2.

Q.20. RFP Section L.7(a)A.1, page 63 and RFP Section M.2(c)A, page 82, In a previous Q&A response (specifically, Set 3 Q&A #12), the Government indicated that technical areas of the SOW included SOW 3.0-3.6 which includes SOW 3.1, Contract Management. Please confirm that the Government desires a response to SOW 3.1 as part of the Offerors response to "Demonstration of an understanding of all the technical areas of the SOW" under the Technical Approach Subfactor.

A.20. The Government desires a response to SOW 3.0 through 5.0 (which includes SOW 3.1).

Q.21. RFP Section L.7(a)B.1, page 64 and RFP Section M.2(c)B.1, page 83, The first bullet in Section L.7(a)B.1 states, in part: "If you propose a subcontractor arrangement, then respond to paragraph L.9 SBA Ostensible Subcontractor Rule Information." The first bullet in Section M.2(c)B.1 states, in part: "If a subcontractor arrangement is proposed, the Government will evaluate for appropriateness and reasonableness the response to paragraph L.9 SBA Ostensible Subcontractor Rule Information."

There is no Section L.9. Please confirm that the references to Section L.9 should instead be to Section L.8.

A.21. Correct, there is no Section L.9 and it should be L.8, please see Final RFP.

Q.22. RFP Section L.7(b)(1), page 67, In a previous Q&A response (specifically, Set 3 Q&A #6), the Government clarified that the prime can submit up to 4 relevant contracts and each major subcontractor can submit up to four relevant contracts in the Past Performance Proposal (Volume II). Given the relatively low threshold for a "major" subcontractor, it is likely there could be numerous relevant contracts. Further, considering that Offerors must provide a large amount of important information for each cited contract, industry believes the 25 page limit for the Past Performance volume is insufficient for demonstrating past performance capabilities. We respectfully request the Volume II page limit be increased to 30 pages in order to allow for the optimal number of citations as well as the most value-added content, allowing the Government the ability to best discriminate in its evaluations.

A.22. The Government has reviewed your request and decided to keep the page limit to 25.

Q.23. RFP Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 1), page 72, The second paragraph in Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 1) states, in part: "NOTE: Clause B.7 states that the maximum total contract value of work that can be ordered under this contract is \$100M."

a) There is no Clause B.7. Please clarify.

Clause B.6 indicates that the maximum contract value is \$235M. Please confirm that Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 1) should state \$235M instead of \$100M.

A.23. There is no B.7.

Section L.7 (c)3 (Exhibit 1) of the solicitation has been corrected to show that Clause B.6 states a maximum contract value of \$235M, see Final RFP.

Q.24. RFP Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 12), page 74, Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 12) states, in part: "This exhibit summarizes the Offeror's fiscal year date from Exhibits 11 and 12."

Please confirm that the reference should be to Exhibits 13 and 14 (instead of 11 and 12).

A.24. The instructions for RFP Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 12), Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 12) have been corrected to reference Exhibits 13 and 14, see Final RFP.

Q.25. RFP Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 12), page 74 and RFP Attachment J.1(b) 3, Cost Template Workbook, Exhibit 12, Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 12) states, in part: "Other burden rates (e.g., material overhead, subcontracts admin.) must be shown separately."

Please confirm that, if necessary, Offerors should add columns to show the "other burden rates."

A.25. Yes, Offerors should add columns to the Exhibit, if necessary, to show burden rates other than those already listed in the Exhibit.

Q.26. RFP Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 13), page 75 and RFP Attachment J.1(b) 3, Cost Template Workbook, Exhibit 13, Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 13) states, in part: "A separate template for each of the proposed burden pools is to be completed."

Please confirm that, if necessary, Offerors should add worksheets for the "other burden rates" that may need to be added to Exhibit 13.

A.26. Yes, Offerors should add worksheets to show the details of "other burden rates" not already included in the provided Exhibits.

Q.27. RFP Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 17), page 79 and RFP Attachment J.1(b) 3, Cost Template Workbook, Exhibit 17, Section L.7(c)3 (Exhibit 17) states, in part: "This template provides visibility, by employee category, into personnel policies and fringe benefits..."

We respectfully request that the Government provide an example to demonstrate the level of detail required.

A.27. As policies and practices vary so widely from company to company, there is no standard example that would apply to all cases.

Q.28. RFP Section L.8, pages 77-78, Section L.8 states, in part: "Offerors shall include specific detail in the following areas so that the Government can determine that the prime contractor making the offer will be performing the primary and vital requirements for the contract" and "For purposes of the Ostensible Subcontractor Rule, major subcontractor is defined by this solicitation as a company that the Offeror anticipates providing at least \$500,000 of contract value in support of the Statement of Work effort."

The \$500K threshold seems extremely low given that \$500K is ~0.2% of the \$235M maximum value of the contract. We respectfully request that the Ostensible Subcontractor threshold be increased commensurate with the threshold associated with "major" subcontractors identified elsewhere in Section L.

A.28. The Government has reviewed your request and will increase the threshold to \$1M. See Final RFP.

Q.29. While Q&A set 2, answer 2 stated that TCP and Safety/Health plans were not to be included in the 120 page count, the Draft RFP instructions issued following that Q&A set is not as clear on that subject. Can the government confirm that the TCP and Safety Health plan will not in fact be counted as part of the 120 page limit?"

A.29. The TCP and the Safety and Health Plan are NOT included in the page limit. See Final RFP.

Q.30. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the recent updated draft RFP. We have reviewed the updated Draft RFP for the PESS contract, and have questions about the task orders:

1. Reference Task Order A. What is the duration of the demonstration – how long will the satellites need to be in orbit?
2. Reference Task Order A. Does power transmission have to be a specific type?
3. Reference Task Order A. Is laser communication the required communication between all satellites?
4. Reference Task Order A. Must all satellites be in communication with each other or just within proximity?
5. Reference Task Order A. If satellites have to be in communication with each other, does it need to be in parallel or in serial?
6. Reference Task Order A. The Task Order states: "The third satellite will provide a science platform for future missions. Are there examples of possible future missions?"

7. Reference Task Order A. Regarding single launch vehicle/single launch insertion: will the satellites already be within proximity when launched, or will they need to maneuver from separate trajectories?
8. Reference Task Order A. Will we be permitted to send commands to the satellites?
9. Reference Task Order B. The Task Order states: "The bus will send housekeeping data to the MOC," but it does not mention the science data. Is the science data being transmitted as well?
10. Reference Task Order B. What happens to the satellite after the 30-day mission? Will the ground data system design need to address post-processing of the satellite?
11. Reference Task Order B. Will we be permitted to send commands to the satellite?

- A.30.
 1. It's the offeror's decision based on the proposed design.
 2. No.
 3. No, just for communication to ground. See revision on final Task Order.
 4. Intersatellite communication is desirable.
 5. This is the offeror's choice.
 6. No.
 7. Assume satellites are launched from one launch vehicle.
 8. Yes.
 9. Yes, science data is being transmitted to the MOC as well as housekeeping data.
 10. Assume decommissioning of the satellite after the 30-day mission.
 11. Correct, reference task order B, will be permitted to send commands to the satellite.

Q.31. L7(b)(3)B.3, Questionnaires, Twenty (20) days prior to the proposal due date, each Offeror shall provide... Q: Please confirm if this is 20 calendar days or 20 working days?

A.31. L.7(b)(3)B.3 Questionnaires, Twenty (20) days prior to the proposal due date is 20 calendar days.

Q.32. L.6(c), Proposal Page Limitations, Q: Will the government confirm that the Acronym List is not included in the page count?

A.32. The Acronym List is not included in the page count.

Q.33. L.7 (b) 1 Q&As Set 3, Past Performance and Questions 6 & 7, **RFP Text:** For purposes of this solicitation, "major subcontractors", is defined as subcontracting dollars of \$1,000,000 or more covering a performance period of five (5) years.

Q&As text: Prime can submit up to four and each major subcontractor can submit up to four (still within the page limit of 25 pages)

Q: Must every major subcontractor submit at least one past performance contract?

A.33. If the Offeror or major subcontractor does not have enough references to meet these requirements, references shall be provided to the maximum extent possible.

Q.34. F.2 Period of Performance , What specific date should offerors use as the basis for pricing with regard to the “start of the effective date of the contract” – should we assume that the Phase-In starts January 2, 2013 with Contract Year 1 being from February 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013 and Contract years 2 through 5 being January 1 through December 31 of each calendar year?

A.34. See response to A.6.

Q.35. I.7 STATEMENT OF EQUIVALENT RATES FOR FEDERAL HIRES, The table in this section does not provide information for all positions – will information be provided for all positions and if so when?

A.35. No, this table is for information only; information for all positions will not be provided.

Q.36. Attachment 149909-DRAFT-003-009, Exhibit 4, Will the title of this Exhibit be changed from “Summary of Elements of Cost – Core” to “Summary of Elements of Cost – Core Contract Management”?

A.36. The title of Attachment 149909-DRAFT-003-009, Exhibit 4, is changed in the final RFP from “Summary of Elements of Cost – Core” to “Summary of Elements of Cost – Core Contract Management”, see Final RFP.

Q.37. Attachment 149909-DRAFT-003-009, Exhibit 7 The list and quantity of resources for the Core Technical Engineering task is not consistent with the listing in 149909-DRAFT-003-007 - Cost Model Staffing List. Can the government confirm that 149909-DRAFT-003-007 - Cost Model Staffing List is correct? And, will the government correct Exhibit 7 in Attachment 149909-DRAFT-003-009?

A.37. See responses to A.13 and A.15.

Q.38. There are no degree requirements or years of experience indicated for IT Security Expert; will such information for that position be provided and if so when?

A.38. This information will not be provided; there are no degree requirements or years of experience indicated for the IT Security Expert.

Q.39. References to SOW need updated for the new section references.

A.39. References to SOW will be updated to new section references, please see final RFP.

Q.40. There are no Position Descriptions / Qualifications for the following labor categories that are included in the Cost Model Staffing List -- Technical Writer, Systems Integration and Test Engineer (unless this is an Engineer Level I, II, or III or a Test Engineer) and Intern (3 categories) -- will Position Descriptions /Qualifications for those positions be provided and if so when?

A.40. Please see response to A.10 and A.11.

Q.41. Paragraph H.15 "Other" – requires contractor to meet small business goals set forth in Contractor's small business plan.

As this procurement is a 100% Small Business Set-Aside and small business plans are not required for small business concerns, will the government remove this requirement from the final RFP?

A.41. H.15 Performance Assessment has been deleted. H.15 is now marked as "RESERVED."

Q.42. As this procurement is a 100% Small Business Set-Aside and these clauses do not pertain to small business concerns, will the government remove these requirements from the final RFP?

52.219-16 Liquidated Damages – Subcontracting Plan - Small business plans are not required for small business concerns

52.230-2 Cost Accounting Standards (not applicable to small business)

52.230-6 - Administration of Cost Accounting Standards (not applicable to small business)

1852.219-75 Small Business Subcontracting reporting (not applicable to small business)

A.42. All clauses listed above have been deleted from the Final RFP.

Q.43. Section B.1 says, "Only Line Item No. 01 (Phase-In), 01A Contract Management Core Requirement, and 01B Technical Work Core Requirement will be imitated at award:" The word imitated is most likely meant to be initiated, right?

A.43. Correct, "Imitated" should be "initiated", see Final RFP.

Q.44. Section F.2 (a) says, "Phase In will begin 30 days from start of the effective date of the contract." Should this say that Phase In of 30 days begins with the effective date of the contract?

A.44. See response to A.5.

Q.45. Section L.7 (a) B 3 Phase In Plan refers to "contract turnover" and "transition of personnel" in the first bullet. This does not correlate with the "no incumbents" declaration in Q&A Set 1. Will this be changed?

A.45. Yes, all areas of the RFP with "turnover" will be replaced with "start-up", please see Final RFP.

Q.46. Section L.7, (c) 3. COST PROPOSAL ORGANIZATION under Exhibit 1, under second paragraph it says, "NOTE: Clause B.7 states that the maximum total contract value of work that can be ordered under this contract is \$100M. However, Offerors are advised that the maximum contract value identified represents an upper-bound value for ordering additional work beyond the requirements detailed in the Statement of Work."

a) Where does Clause B.7 occur in this draft RFP?

b) How does the amount of \$100M compare with B.6 MINIMUM/MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF SUPPLIES OR SERVICES (COST REIMBURSEMENT) where the maximum amount for IDIQ CLINs is \$235M?

A.46. a) See response to A.23.

b) Exhibit 1 has been updated. See Final RFP.

Q.47. Document -003 Cost Model Staffing List. A Contract Management Task and a Technical Engineering Task are listed and identified as CORE.

a. The Technical Engineering Task identifies several labor categories. Are these specific to any particular task in SOW Sections 3.2 – 5.0?

b. Under Position Description Qualifications; There is no write up for Technical Writer. Will this be included in the Final RFP

c. Under Position Description Qualifications; There is no write up for Systems Integration and Test Engineer. Will this be included in the Final RFP?

A.47. a. The Technical Engineering Task are not specific to any particular task in the SOW Sections 3.2 – 5.0.

- b. See response to A.11
- c. See response to A.10

Q.48. Is the start up model for this contract, Day One - after Phase In - just CLIN 01A (Contract Management) and CLIN 01B (Technical Engineering - 13 jobs)?

A.48. See response to A.2

Q.49. Section L.7(a)A.1 states the offeror shall demonstrate its understanding of the SOW (Sections 3.0-3.11). Section L.7(a)B.1 states that the offeror shall describe its processes for managing and executing contract and task order requirements. Sections 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 of the SOW appear to address functional requirements and activities that are associated with the management of contracts, projects, and tasks in support of customer needs rather than delivering technical services. As such, it seems appropriate that the response to the requirements in these three SOW sections be presented as part of the Management Approach Subfactor rather than the Technical Approach Subfactor. Please confirm that responding in this manner is acceptable or clarify how these requirements should otherwise be discussed.

A.49. The Government has reviewed your request and decided to keep the requirements of the Draft RFP, please see Final RFP.