

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 4
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 02	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 05/10/2012	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 4200411365	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center Procurement Operations Division Greenbelt, MD 20771	CODE	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center Procurement Operations Division Greenbelt, MD 20771	CODE	210.5
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)			(X) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. NNG12411365R	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)
			() 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE	FACILITY CODE			

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment your desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return 1 copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

The purpose of this amendment is to modify clauses and provisions in this solicitation.

See continuation pages...

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Makara K. Nevils		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED 5/10/12
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)	

1. Clause I.1 52.227-21 was incorrectly titled, "Authorization and Consent (DEC 2001)". The following clause 52.227-21 is correctly titled and has been modified into this solicitation:

- I.1 52.227-21 Technical Data Declaration, Revision, and Withholding of Payment-Major Systems (DEC 2007)

2. Clause J.1 LIST OF ATTACHMENTS has been modified. The date for Attachment F, IT Security Management Plan has been revised as follows: "To be submitted within 30 days after contract award"

3. The following provisions have been modified:

- L.14 PROPOSAL PREPARATION – GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS (JAN 2012) (b) PROPOSAL CONTENT AND PAGE LIMITATIONS (1).

"Position Qualifications" and "and List of Acronyms" has been added to the table under Mission Suitability (c).

- L.16 MISSION SUITABILITY PROPOSAL INSTRUCTIONS (COMPETITIVE) (MAR 2011) 3. Mission Suitability Instructions by Subfactor. The following sections have been revised as follows in bold and underlined print:

SUBFACTOR A – UNDERSTANDING THE TECHNICAL APPROACH, SCENARIOS, AND REPRESENTATIVE TASK ORDERS

The full SOW will be incorporated into the contract in order to define the scope of the contract. For the purpose of demonstrating a thorough understanding of the work required within the scope of the SOW, **Offerors shall address the following sections only: Enhancements, Efficiencies, or Innovations; Scenarios; and Representative Task Orders (RTOs).** No response to the objectives or the functional requirements in the SOW beyond what appears below is required, nor is a response expected.

Note that any enhancements or efficiencies proposed to provide new or innovative methods, techniques, or technologies in the "Enhancements, Efficiencies, or Innovations" section below shall also be described separately in the proposal for the "Scenarios" and "RTO" sections below, if and as applicable to those areas.

Representative Task Orders (RTO)

The Offeror shall provide a written task plan addressing the following RTOs. In accordance with the Task Ordering Procedure clause in Section H of this RFP, the task plan shall identify the technical approach, staffing from labor categories, projected hours per labor category, Government interfaces, the flow of activities from start to completion (including time lines), proposed service level agreements if any, and any other information required to determine the adequacy of the Offeror's proposed plan. The plan shall be specific, detailed, and complete to demonstrate a clear and full understanding of

the objectives, management of the project, risks, and risk management. Offerors shall describe any new or innovative methods, techniques or technologies that might be applied to the **RTOs**. Any efficiency related to any new or innovative method, technique, or technology should be quantified where possible, and explanations must be clear and concise. The Offeror shall propose a Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) at the lowest WBS Level only (WBS Level 2), in response to the RTOs. The Offeror shall document any assumptions and the rationale for those assumptions made in their response to the RTOs.

SUBFACTOR B – MANAGEMENT PLAN

Offerors shall provide written position qualifications for **all** labor categories envisioned for this requirement for the entire SOW. Offerors need to address the minimum requirements in the position qualifications, to include the necessary experience, summary of duties and responsibilities, specific requirements/licensing, minimum education and minimum experience required for the position. Offerors shall include the position title and to whom the position reports. Furthermore, the Offeror shall identify the positions considered critical to meet the requirements of the contract, and describe the rationale for identifying these positions as critical **within their staffing plan**. All position qualifications will be incorporated into the resultant contract as **Attachment B**.

- L.17 COST VOLUME (MAR 2011) The following language has been added to this section:

List of Acronyms

The Offeror shall provide a list of acronyms used in its Cost Proposal. The list should be comprehensive without including extraneous acronyms. All information submitted shall be current, specific, complete, and meet the requirements of the solicitation.

- L.18 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (MAR 2012) The following language has been added to this section:

List of Acronyms

The Offeror shall provide a list of acronyms used in its Past Performance Proposal. The list should be comprehensive without including extraneous acronyms. All information submitted shall be current, specific, complete, and meet the requirements of the solicitation.

- M.3 MISSION SUITABILITY FACTOR (MAR 2011) The following bolded and highlighted language has been added to this section:

1. Mission Suitability Subfactors and Description of Each Subfactor

SUBFACTOR A – UNDERSTANDING THE TECHNICAL APPROACH, SCENARIOS, AND REPRESENTATIVE TASK ORDERS

Enhancements, Efficiencies, or Innovations

The Government will evaluate the effectiveness of all proposed enhancements or efficiencies associated with the proposed innovative methods, techniques, or technologies across the entire SOW. The Government will evaluate the realism of the method, technique, or technology and the proposed impacts to the performance of the SOW. The Government will evaluate any assumptions and underlying rationale associated with those assumptions for reasonableness. The Government will evaluate the **benefit** of the quantified efficiencies associated with the proposed enhancement. The Government will evaluate the **magnitude** of technical and risk impacts of the proposed enhancements.

SUBFACTOR B – MANAGEMENT PLAN

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's written position qualifications for the proposed labor categories to assess whether the proposed range of skills and expertise would be sufficient to meet the requirements of the SOW and to further assess the Offeror's understanding of those requirements. The Government will evaluate for reasonableness the rationale for those positions proposed as critical. The combination of the Offeror's staffing plan, position descriptions, and critical positions will be evaluated to assess the ability of the Offeror to supply a sufficient workforce to meet the requirements of the SOW.

- M.5 PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FACTOR (MAR 2012) The following bolded and highlighted language has been added to this section:

For a prime contractor's contract reference(s) to be considered at least minimally "relevant", it must meet/exceed an average annual cost/fee incurred of at least **\$5M**.

A proposed significant subcontractor for this procurement is defined as any proposed subcontractor that is estimated to meet/exceed an average annual cost/fee of **\$2M**. Note, the definition of significant subcontractor for the past performance evaluation may be different than for the cost evaluation.

3. All other terms and conditions stated in this solicitation remain unchanged.

(End of Amendment 02)